He writes about the merchants, industrialists, lawyers, and street railway magnates—Field, Pullman, Armour, and Yerkes; the politicians and boosters—Carter Harrison, "Hinky Dink" Kenna, and "Bathouse" John Coughlin. They and others did what they thought best for themselves and saw no conflict between their interests and those of the public. They sweated their employees but contributed generously to provide Christmas baskets for the poor; they wrested favorable franchises from the city council and, at the same time, established the Chicago Art Institute and patronized the Symphony.

Mr. Ginger shows the other side of the society of the time, too—he tells of those who fought, and sometimes won, the battle of the individual against increasingly powerful and impersonal economic forces: of Jane Addams and Hull House, Florence Kelley and factory inspection, Clarence Darrow and the reform of the criminal law, Thorstein Veblen and philosophic condemnation of conspicuous consumption, Theodore Dreiser and Upton Sinclair and literary social criticism, Peter Altgeld and pardon for the Haymarket anarchists, and William Rainey Harper and Chicago University.

But, for Mr. Ginger, there is no absolute black and white; as he finds good and bad in his villains, so he finds clay feet on his heroes. Darrow the champion of labor took legal fees from business tycoons, Veblen and Sinclair criticized the status quo so savagely that they are looked upon as mad dogs, and Jane Addams accepted contributions from the very men who refused to support social legislation advocated by her.

In conclusion, Ginger finds that while American society has changed in many material ways in the last fifty years, yet there has been little spiritual change. The struggle to make money and get ahead in the world still goes on, and Ginger feels there is no other goal for the modern American. Ray Ginger's volume is more than a competent history of an era in one city; it is a call for Americans to understand themselves today by taking a long, sharp look at their past.
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American Labor Unions and Politics, 1900-1918. By Marc Karson.
(Carbondale, Ill.: Southern Illinois University Press, 1958. Pp. xv, 368. Notes, bibliography, index. $6.50.)

To the regret of Republicans and businessmen in recent years, labor unions in the United States have participated more frequently and more earnestly in both national and state elections. They have usually followed the advice of the deified first president of the American Federation of Labor, Sam Gompers, to support labor's friends and defeat its enemies. From 1900 to 1918 "friends" always seemed to mean Democrats. The Socialist party or an independent labor party did not receive the support from labor unions which these political groups enjoyed in many other democratic countries, such as Great Britain, the Scandinavian countries, and Australia. The author of this volume, a student of Harold Laski, has endeavored to analyze the reasons for continued opposition to independent labor parties in the United States...
by presenting a vivid picture of three hitherto neglected aspects of the labor movement between 1900 and 1918: (1) the political activity of the American Federation of Labor, (2) the rise and fall of the Industrial Workers of the World, and (3) the influence of the Roman Catholic church in fighting Socialists in the trade union movement.

The thought-provoking, objective, and brilliant essays on these subjects display a broad knowledge of the material used and contribute significantly to knowledge of subjects discussed. The book is written with a lucid, concise style. Quotations are very appropriate. Psycho-analyses of labor leaders, notably Daniel DeLeon and Samuel Gompers, are written ably by an author interested in psychology.

Instead of being the definitive work on a complicated subject, however, Karson's book still may be a pioneering study. For example, in the last chapter the author lists his reasons why this country has not favored an independent labor party: (1) the vitality of American capitalism, (2) the middle-class psychology of American workers, (3) the American's faith in individual rights, (4) the conservative features of the American political system, (5) the anti-Socialist position of the Roman Catholic church, (6) the anti-Socialist leadership of Samuel Gompers. These considered judgments of the author are not well documented in this chapter, and the problems he examines could be studied further either by classifying the thought of labor leaders, according to a method similar to the one Professor Cochrane has used with railroad entrepreneurs, or by studying the development of separate institutions. For example, is the American capitalistic system a distinctive contribution to the world because of the anti-trust laws, the regulation of monopoly, and the mobility of labor among social classes?

Even the whole question of labor unions in politics needs further exploration: the attitude of railroad brotherhoods and other labor unions, the labor politics of William Randolph Hearst, and the lobbying activities by both laborers and manufacturers. No mention is made in this book of the important Mulhall lobbying investigation of 1913. How much control did manufacturers have over the voting of their laborers? How much influence did labor spies have on union political activities? What political devices were used by manufacturers and retailers? These and other topics still require investigation.
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