Especially pertinent to Indiana history are Clifton's assessments of Potawatomi life in the Old Northwest, their participation in the Tecumseh resistance, relations with other tribes, involvement with the powerful political-trade combine of John Tipton and the Ewing family, and many forced and voluntary migrations and resettlements. No one can fault Clifton for portraying the latter simplistically as a series of "trail of tears" removals in which the Potawatomi were helpless victims. Instead, he stresses the various adaptive strategies and choices many of them made in the face of American pressures.

Clifton writes clearly, even flashing occasional witty or sarcastic asides. Perhaps inherently, his discussions of Potawatomi resistance to allotment and the Indian Reorganization Act and post-World War II politics are bound to offend some modern factions.

Ethnohistorians are fortunate to have this attractively designed and well-documented book. Along with R. David Edmunds' The Potawatomies: Keepers of the Fire, soon to be published by the University of Oklahoma Press, it will serve as an important benchmark for Great Lakes Indian history.

University of Wisconsin, La Crosse

Martin Zanger


After the Civil War ended in 1865, relations between Indian tribes and the United States government were chaotic. On the Great Plains the warriors of many tribes were wreaking vengeance upon emigrants and wagon trains so that programs had to be initiated to bring peace and security to the western frontiers. Missionaries, church groups, and reformers concerned about the American Indians heartily endorsed President Ulysses S. Grant's peace policy and the creation of the Board of Indian Commissioners. At best, however, all United States Indian policy could achieve was an amelioration of the harsh conditions of life for Indians as they were concentrated upon reservations. The reservation system itself, the friends of the Indians believed, was a barrier to the solution of the "Indian problem." Reservations segregated Native Americans and permitted tribal society and government and communal land ownership to flourish. Unless reservations were destroyed, the American Indian would never become an Indian American.
In the 1880s, eastern humanitarians formed organizations to influence public opinion, the United States Congress, and the executive branch of the federal government. Among the key organizations were the Boston Indian Citizenship Committee, the Women's National Indian Association, the Indian Rights Association, and the Lake Mohonk Conference of the Friends of the Indian. The leaders of these groups, including Lyman Abbott, Amelia S. Quinton, Albert K. Smiley, and Herbert Welsh, were imbued with the values of American evangelical Protestantism. They applied those beliefs in their campaigns to replace tribalism with individualism, tribal membership with American citizenship, communal property with private ownership of land, supernaturalism with Christianity, and tribal lore with American education. The Christian reformers tied individualism, Prucha contends, "closely to the Puritan work ethic. Hard work and thrift were the virtues that seemed to be at the very basis of individual salvation. . . . Allotment of land in severalty to the Indians was insisted upon because the reformers believed that without the personal labor needed to maintain the private homestead the virtue of hard work could never be inculcated" (pp. 153-54). The Christian reformers attained their objective when Senator Henry L. Dawes managed the General Allotment Act of 1887 through Congress.

By 1900, the Christian reformers "looked at their works and judged them good. . . . Congress had enacted their legislative program, which they were convinced would quickly Americanize all the Indians. Tribal organization and communal traditions they had dealt crippling if not fatal blows, and they had established programs and structures to transform the tribesmen into individual citizens of a Christian nation" (p. 402). With proper administration of the Dawes Act and its perfecting amendments, the philanthropists were certain that the Indians would rapidly blend into white society. Prucha concludes they were wrong because the reformers did not understand the tenacity with which the Indians would maintain their own culture. He might also have added that the reformers did not give sufficient consideration to the mendacity and greed of whites. Allotments and property were ripped away from Indian citizens by their white neighbors who exploited every opportunity provided by Congress during the Progressive era to appropriate Indian resources.

This is a very significant study of United States Indian policy. The research is thorough, the prose is clear, the exposition of ideas is crisp, and argumentation is skillfully presented.
It provides a new understanding of the intellectual and social sources of the assimilationist movement which long dominated United States Indian policy.

Purdue University, West Lafayette

Donald J. Berthrong


The accuracy of Frederick Douglass' oft quoted remark that "if there is no struggle there is no progress" becomes painfully evident after reading Lester C. Lamon's Black Tennesseans, 1900-1930. Lamon traces the attitudes of urban blacks in Tennessee from the beginning of the century, when racial proscriptions were tightened, until the onset of the Great Depression. His thesis is that as new constraints were imposed, most blacks, after some abortive protest attempts, adopted the accommodationist approach of Booker T. Washington. "The overriding feature of life for black Tennesseans in the early twentieth century was the separate community—separated . . . partly by force and partly by choice" (p. vii).

Black Tennesseans had already settled into a segregated existence by 1900 with little outward protest. Many did, however, express their dissatisfaction by leaving the more constricted rural setting for the greater mobility offered by the cities. This growing urban black population is probably what instigated the new race codes after 1900. Laws closing the last loophole to integrated education, a Jim Crow streetcar law, and political trends including lily white Republicanism, the white Democratic primary, and commission type city governments in the first decade of the new century effectively isolated the black urban dwellers.

As the brief reaction against these new restrictions subsided, blacks pursued the Washingtonian approach of separate industrial education and black economic development. Black leaders in Tennessee seemed willing to accept the conditions imposed by whites as a way to avoid racial conflict and a sure road to eventual equality, but the result was a reinforcement of white discriminatory patterns. The willingness to accept "half a loaf" never proved to be a gradual road to equality, but rather one of permanent second class citizenship.

Lamon's conclusions about the overall accommodationist stand of black Tennesseans are not surprising, but are perhaps