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1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to present the results of benchmark tests with Big Red, an IBM e1350 BladeCenter Cluster. This report is particularly focused on providing details of system architecture and test run results in detail to allow for analysis in other reports and comparison with other systems, rather than presenting such analysis here.

Big Red is based on the open blade cluster architecture [1], [2], employing the IBM JS21 blade and PowerPC 970MP processor. Having decided in early 2006 to make a major investment in an HPC system, Indiana University (IU) issued a call for proposals for a large supercomputer cluster on 3 March 2006. The system, purchased on 13 April 2006, was selected based on analysis of the proposals submitted by supercomputer vendors. After the initial 20.4 TFLOPS implementation, Big Red was upgraded to 30.72 TFLOPS in spring 2007. This upgrade was part of an economic development initiative in the state of Indiana (US), led jointly by Indiana University, Purdue University, the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC), and IBM, Inc. [3].

2. Hardware

2.a. Basic building blocks and system components

Big Red is based on the IBM JS21 Bladeserver node (used as user login nodes and compute nodes), configured as follows:

- 2 x 2.5GHz dual-core PowerPC 970MP processors
- 8GB 533MHz Double Data Rate, version 2 (DDR2) Synchronous Dynamic Random Access Memory (SDRAM)
- 73GB Serial Attached Small Computer System Interface (SAS) disk (67GB in /scratch)
- 1 x Myricom M3S-PCIXD-2-I (Lanai XP)

In addition to the JS21 Bladeserver node, there are storage nodes based on the IBM 505 pSeries 505 configured as follows:

- 2 x 1.65GHz dual-core Power5+ processor
- 8 GB 533MHz DDR2 SDRAM
- 73GB SAS disk
- 2 x Emulex LP10000 PCI-X/133MHz FC adapters

2.b. Initial 20.48 TFLOPS configuration

Big Red’s initial 20.48 TFLOPS configuration was a distributed shared-memory cluster, consisting of 512 IBM JS21 blades, each with two dual-core PowerPC 970 MP processors, 8GB of memory, a 73B SAS disk, and a PCI-X Myrinet 2000 adapter for high-bandwidth, low-latency Message Passing Interface (MPI) applications. In addition to local scratch disks, the Big Red compute nodes are connected via gigabit Ethernet to a 266TB GPFS file system, hosted on 16 IBM p505 Power5 systems. Two 256-port Myricom M3-CLOS-ENCL switches provide a 2+2Gb/s low-latency interconnect for the 512 compute nodes. The allocation of nodes is described in Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Node type</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User login</td>
<td>JS21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute</td>
<td>JS21</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>pSeries 505</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

The disk for Big Red’s 266TB GPFS volume is hosted on 10 DataDirect Networks S2A 9500 storage controllers, each dual-pathed to 5 Service Availability Forum (standard) (SAF) 4248 chassis. Physical disks are aggregated in an 8+2 Redundant Array of Independent (or Inexpensive) Disks (RAID) configuration; combined with the dual-pathed controllers and active/active GPFS storage hosts, this provides multiple levels of redundancy for Big Red’s storage space.
Home directories are available via NFSv3 over Gigabit Ethernet. They are housed in an IBM N5500 A20. They consist of two nodes. Each node contains:

- 2 Intel 2.8 GHz Xeon processors,
- 4 GB of Error Correction Codes (ECC) memory
- 512 MB of non-volatile random access memory (NVRAM)
- 4 integrated 10/100/1000 Ethernet ports
- 4 integrated 2 Gbps Fibre Channel ports
- 2 redundant hot-plug integrated power supplies and cooling fans
- 3 PCI-X expansion slots for additional FC HBAs or Ethernet NICs
- Infiniband (IB) cluster interconnect between the two processing nodes

2.c. Expanded 30.72 TFLOPS configuration

Big Red’s 30.72 TFLOPS configuration is a distributed memory cluster, consisting of 768 IBM JS21 blades, each with two dual-core PowerPC 970 MP processors, 8GB of memory, a 73B SAS disk, and a PCI-X Myrinet 2000 adapter for high-bandwidth, low-latency MPI applications. In addition to local scratch disks, the Big Red compute nodes are connected via gigabit Ethernet to a 266TB GPFS file system, hosted on 16 IBM p505 Power5 systems. Four 256-port Myricom M3-CLOS-ENCL switches provide a 2+2Gb/s low-latency interconnect for the 768 compute nodes. The allocation of nodes is described in Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Node type</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Login</td>
<td>JS21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute</td>
<td>JS21</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>pSeries 505</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

3. System software

The Big Red cluster runs the SuSE Linux Enterprise Server (SLES) operating system. Batch jobs are managed with IBM's LoadLeveler and the Moab Workload Manager. Big Red uses LoadLeveler to submit and monitor jobs. LoadLeveler relies on the Moab scheduler software for job scheduling, incorporating a fair share mechanism based on research system time used by each user trying to run a job.

Compilers on Big Red:

- GCC version 3.3.3
- IBM compilers:
  - C compiler: xlc version 8.0
  - C++ compiler xlC version 8.0
  - Fortran compiler: xlf/xlf90 version 10.1

Message passing libraries:

- MPICH Version: 1.2.7
- MPICH Release date: 2005/11/08 03:56:31
- MPICH Patches applied: none
- MPICH Device: ch_mx

4. System architecture benchmarks

We ran the HPC Challenge Benchmarks [4] as specified in the National Science Foundation (NSF) Benchmark guidance document NSF 06-05 [5].
4.a. **System architecture benchmarks utilizing 1024 cores**

System Architecture benchmarks run on Big Red with its initial 20.48 TFLOPS configuration were run using SUSE Linux SLES 9, update 3.

The system benchmarks performed are summarized here. Detailed benchmark results are available in section 6. Benchmarks were run without modifications to the run and make files provided as part of the NSF benchmark suite.

- High Performance Linpack (HPL) – the Linpack TPP benchmark, which measures the floating point rate of execution for solving a linear system of equations.
  - Time to completion: 199.22 seconds
  - Speed: 3.346e+03 GFLOPS
- Double precision, General Equation, Matrix Multiply (DGEM)M – measures the floating point rate of execution of double precision real matrix-matrix multiplication.
  - StarDGEMM
    - Minimum GFLOPS: 4.538
    - Average GFLOPS: 7.009
    - Maximum GFLOPS: 7.552
  - SingleDGEMM
    - Single DGEMM GFLOPS: 7.874
- STREAM – a simple synthetic benchmark program that measures sustainable memory bandwidth (in GB/s) and the corresponding computation rate for simple vector kernel.
  - StarSTREAM
    - Table 3.
    | Function | Rate (GB/s) |
    |----------|-------------|
    | Copy     | 2.986       |
    | Scale    | 3.023       |
    | Add      | 3.145       |
    | Triad    | 3.244       |
  - SingleSTREAM
    - Table 4.
    | Function | Rate (GB/s) | Avg time | Min time | Max time |
    |----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
    | Copy     | 1.0220      | 0.0176   | 0.0175   | 0.0177   |
    | Scale    | 1.0678      | 0.0169   | 0.0168   | 0.0170   |
    | Add      | 1.1617      | 1.2032   | 1.0231   | 1.0233   |
    | Triad    | 1.2247      | 0.0235   | 0.0220   | 0.0240   |
  - PTRANS (parallel matrix transpose) – exercises the communications where pairs of processors communicate with each other simultaneously. It is a useful test of the total communications capacity of the network.
    - Average CPU time to completion: 23.997 seconds
    - Average wall clock time to completion: 26.687 seconds
    - Average speed: 25.112 GB/s
- RandomAccess – measures the rate of integer random updates of memory (GUPS).
  - MPIRandomAccess
    - CPU time used: 62.250 seconds
    - Wall clock time used: 62.290 seconds
    - Updates per second [GUP/s]: 0.1799E+09
    - Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]: 0.00018E+09
  - StarRandomAccess
    - CPU time used: 11.830 seconds
• Wall clock time used: 11.836 seconds
• Average GUP/s: 0.0028 billion (10^9)
  o SingleRandomAccess
    • Single GUP/s 0.004045
• FFTE – measures the floating-point rate of execution of double precision complex one-dimensional Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT).
  o MPIFF
    • Generation time: 0.178 seconds
    • Tuning: 0.164 seconds
    • Computing: 3.567 seconds
    • Inverse FFT: 3.469 seconds
  o StarFFT
    • Generation time: 0.357 seconds
    • Tuning: 0.001 seconds
    • Computing: 0.481 seconds
    • Inverse FFT: 3.649 seconds
  o SingleFFT
    • GFLOP/S: 0.718
• Communication bandwidth and latency – a set of tests to measure latency and bandwidth of a number of simultaneous communications patterns; based on b_eff (effective bandwidth benchmark).
  o Max Ping Pong Latency: 0.005811 msecs
  o Randomly Ordered Ring Latency: 0.017495 msecs
  o Min Ping Pong Bandwidth: 195.131555 MB/s
  o Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 135.169320 MB/s
  o Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 23.885 499 MB/s

4.b. System architecture benchmarks utilizing 2048 cores

System Architecture Benchmarks run on Big Red with its 30.72 TFLOPS configuration were run using the SUSE Linux SLES 9, update 3. A subset of the previously described benchmarks was performed on the upgraded system and is summarized here. Detailed benchmark results are available in section 6. Benchmarks were run without modifications to the run and make files provided as part of the NSF benchmark suite.

• HPL – the Linpack TPP benchmark which measures the floating point rate of execution for solving a linear system of equations.
  o Time to completion: 10641.34 seconds
  o Speed: 1.353e+04 GFLOPS
• DGEMM – measures the floating point rate of execution of double precision real matrix-matrix multiplication.
  o StarDGEMM
    • Minimum GFLOPS: 6.793
    • Average GFLOPS: 8.269
    • Maximum GFLOPS: 8.320
  o SingleDGEMM
    • Single DGEMM GFLOPS: 8.494

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>2.959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.

- **STREAM** – a simple synthetic benchmark program that measures sustainable memory bandwidth (in GB/s) and the corresponding computation rate for simple vector kernel.
  - StarSTREAM
    - Not performed.
  - SingleSTREAM
    - Not performed.
- **PTRANS** (parallel matrix transpose) – exercises the communications where pairs of processors communicate with each other simultaneously. It is a useful test of the total communications capacity of the network.
  - Average wall clock time to completion: 26.95 seconds
  - Average CPU time to completion: 26.71 seconds
  - Average speed: 28.955 GB/s
- **RandomAccess** – measures the rate of integer random updates of memory (GUPS).
  - MPIRandomAccess
    - CPU time used: 61.37 seconds
    - Wall clock time used: 61.41 seconds
    - Updates per second [GUP/s]: 0.2497 billion (10^9)
    - Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]: 0.00012 billion (10^9)
  - StarRandomAccess
    - CPU time used: 211.78 seconds
    - Wall clock time used: 211.81 seconds
    - Average GUP/s: 0.0025 billion (10^9)
  - SingleRandomAccess
    - GUP/s: 0.0035 billion (10^9)
- **FFTE** – measures the floating-point rate of execution of double precision complex one-dimensional Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>1.0377</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>1.0497</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td>1.1782</td>
<td>1.2032</td>
<td>1.0231</td>
<td>1.0233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad</td>
<td>1.941</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.

- **MPIFFT**
  - Generation time: 2.846 seconds
  - Tuning: 2.592 seconds
  - Computing: 43.377 seconds
  - Inverse FFT: 44.124 seconds
- **StarFFT**
  - Generation time: 5.694 seconds
  - Tuning: 0.003 seconds
  - Computing: 10.507 seconds
  - Inverse FFT: 11.355 seconds
  - Average GFLOPS: 0.399
• SingleFFT
  • GFLOPS: 0.436
• Communication bandwidth and latency – a set of tests to measure latency and bandwidth of a number of simultaneous communications patterns; based on b_eff (effective bandwidth benchmark).
  o Max Ping Pong Latency: 0.006124 msecs
  o Randomly Ordered Ring Latency: 0.017735 msecs
  o Min Ping Pong Bandwidth: 195.954309 MB/s
  o Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 92.852883 MB/s
  o Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 21.201995 MB/s

5. Application benchmarks

Use of Big Red is aimed at a large user community with diverse computational needs. Performance of several applications was measured to provide information about expected performance under real-use conditions.
• WRF – A next-generation mesoscale numerical weather prediction system designed to serve both operational forecasting and atmospheric research needs [6]. Expanded charted data are in section 6.c.1.
• OOCORE1 – Out-of-core solver software code developed by the SCALAPACK group at the University of Tennessee at Knoxville [7].
• GAMESS1 – General Atomic and Molecular Electronic Structure System. a general ab initio quantum chemistry package [8]. Expanded data are in section 6.c.3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Processors</th>
<th># Nodes</th>
<th># Cores</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Linear Scaling</th>
<th>Scaling Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF Small</td>
<td>NSF Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14508</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7917</td>
<td>7254</td>
<td>3090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4626</td>
<td>3627</td>
<td>2843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>12103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>2837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>9976</td>
<td>9976</td>
<td>9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>8593</td>
<td>8593</td>
<td>8593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>7545</td>
<td>7545</td>
<td>7545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>6869</td>
<td>6869</td>
<td>6869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>5283</td>
<td>5283</td>
<td>5283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>4923</td>
<td>4923</td>
<td>4923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4641</td>
<td>4641</td>
<td>4641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2932.375</td>
<td>2932.375</td>
<td>2932.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>3351.28571</td>
<td>3351.28571</td>
<td>3351.28571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>23459</td>
<td>23459</td>
<td>23459</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. GAMESS benchmarks.
• MILC2 – Large-scale numerical simulations software to study quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong interactions of subatomic physics [9]. Expanded charted data are in section 6.c.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case</th>
<th>Core Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>92.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>1683.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>11316.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-large</td>
<td>6554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small Target</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium Target</td>
<td>1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large Target</td>
<td>11821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.

• PARATEC2 – Parallel Total Energy Code. A package designed primarily for a massively parallel computing platform. The code performs ab-initio quantum-mechanical total energy calculations using pseudopotentials and a plane wave basis set [10]. Expanded charted data are in section 6.c.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case</th>
<th>Core Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>1323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>3794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU case1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU case2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU case3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Tuning level.


6. Architecture benchmark output files

6.a. HPCC benchmark data for initial 1024 core configuration

Hostname: 'slc1bl'

HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N    : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB   : The partitioning blocking factor.
P    : The number of process rows.
Q    : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:
N    : 100000
NB   : 200
PMAP : Row-major process mapping
P    : 31
Q    : 96
- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) \( ||Ax-b||_\infty / ( \varepsilon \cdot ||A||_1 \cdot N ) \)
  2) \( ||Ax-b||_\infty / ( \varepsilon \cdot ||A||_1 \cdot ||x||_1 ) \)
  3) \( ||Ax-b||_\infty / ( \varepsilon \cdot ||A||_\infty \cdot ||x||_\infty ) \)
- The relative machine precision (\( \varepsilon \)) is taken to be \( 1.110223 \times 10^{-16} \)
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

Begin of PTRANS section.

M: 50000
N: 50000
MB: 200 100 200
NB: 200 100 200
P: 31
Q: 96

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>GB/s</th>
<th>RESID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>49.60</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>49.62</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>1.538</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>49.55</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 3 tests, with the following results:
3 tests completed and passed residual checks.
0 tests completed and failed residual checks.
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

END OF TESTS.
Current time (1182228795) is Tue Jun 19 00:53:15 2007

End of PTRANS section.

Begin of HPL section.

HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N   : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB  : The partitioning blocking factor.
P   : The number of process rows.
Q   : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops: Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:
N   : 100000
NB  : 200
PMAP : Row-major process mapping
The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.

- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) \( \frac{||Ax-b||_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot ||A||_1 \cdot N} \)
  2) \( \frac{||Ax-b||_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot ||A||_1 \cdot ||x||_1} \)
  3) \( \frac{||Ax-b||_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot ||A||_\infty \cdot ||x||_\infty} \)

- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

The relative machine precision (\text{eps}) is taken to be 1.110223e-16

Finished 1 tests with the following results:
1 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of Tests.

Current time (1182228906) is Tue Jun 19 00:55:06 2007

End of HPL section.

Begin of StarDGEMM section.
Scaled residual: 0.00618034
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 4.538357
Average Gflop/s 7.008804
Maximum Gflop/s 7.551706
Current time (1182228907) is Tue Jun 19 00:55:07 2007

End of StarDGEMM section.

Begin of SingleDGEMM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 2000
Single DGEMM Gflop/s 7.873863
Current time (1182228908) is Tue Jun 19 00:55:08 2007

End of SingleDGEMM section.

Begin of StarSTREAM section.

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 1120071, Offset = 0
Total memory required = 0.0250 GB.
Each test is run 10 times, but only the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds. Each test below will take on the order of 11660 microseconds. (= 11660 clock ticks)

Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline. For best results, please be sure you know the precision of your system timer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy:</td>
<td>1.0220</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale:</td>
<td>1.0678</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add:</td>
<td>1.1617</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad:</td>
<td>1.2247</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results Comparison:
Expected : 1291778759355468800.000000 258355751871093760.000000 344474335828124992.000000
Observed  : 1291778759353353728.000000 258355751869478400.000000 344474335828124992.000000
Solution Validates

Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Copy GB/s 0.972553
Average Copy GB/s 1.036761
Maximum Copy GB/s 1.496659
Minimum Scale GB/s 0.990273
Average Scale GB/s 1.040788
Maximum Scale GB/s 1.562295
Minimum Add GB/s 1.042977
Average Add GB/s 1.140035
Maximum Add GB/s 1.529104
Minimum Triad GB/s 1.075315
Average Triad GB/s 1.173333
Maximum Triad GB/s 2.186392
Current time (1182228909) is Tue Jun 19 00:55:09 2007

End of StarSTREAM section.
Begin of SingleSTREAM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1143
Single STREAM Copy GB/s 2.985886
Single STREAM Scale GB/s 3.023113
Single STREAM Add GB/s 3.144787
Single STREAM Triad GB/s 3.243859
Current time (1182228909) is Tue Jun 19 00:55:09 2007

End of SingleSTREAM section.
Begin of MPIRandomAccess section.
########################################################################
This is the DARPA/DOE HPC Challenge Benchmark version 1.0.0 October 2003
Produced by Jack Dongarra and Piotr Luszczek
Innovative Computing Laboratory
University of Tennessee Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

See the source files for authors of specific codes.
Compiled on Jun 18 2007 at 11:44:38
Current time (1182262355) is Tue Jun 19 10:12:35 2007

Hostname: 'slc1b1'
########################################################################
HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:

- **T/V** : Wall time / encoded variant.
- **N** : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
- **NB** : The partitioning blocking factor.
- **P** : The number of process rows.
- **Q** : The number of process columns.
- **Time** : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
- **Gflops** : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMAP</td>
<td>Row-major process mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFACT</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBMIN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDIV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFACT</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAST</td>
<td>2ringM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAP</td>
<td>Mix (threshold = 64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>transposed form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>transposed form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIL</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIGN</td>
<td>16 double precision words</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_{\infty}}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_{1} \cdot N}$
  2) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_{\infty}}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_{1} \cdot \|x\|_{1}}$
  3) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_{\infty}}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_{\infty} \cdot \|x\|_{\infty}}$

- The relative machine precision (eps) is taken to be $1.110223 \times 10^{-16}$
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

Begin of PTRANS section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>GB/s</th>
<th>RESID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.26 PASSED</td>
<td>15.859</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.27 PASSED</td>
<td>15.748</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.26 PASSED</td>
<td>15.931</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.27 PASSED</td>
<td>15.748</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.26 PASSED</td>
<td>15.873</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.26 PASSED</td>
<td>15.873</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 3 tests, with the following results:
- 3 tests completed and passed residual checks.
- 0 tests completed and failed residual checks.
- 0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

END OF TESTS.

Current time (1182262368) is Tue Jun 19 10:12:48 2007

End of PTRANS section.

Begin of HPL section.
HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK
============================================================================

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB : The partitioning blocking factor.
P : The number of process rows.
Q : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMAP</td>
<td>Row-major process mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFACT</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBMIN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDIV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFACT</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAST</td>
<td>2ringM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAP</td>
<td>Mix (threshold = 64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>transposed form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>transposed form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIL</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIGN</td>
<td>16 double precision words</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------------------------------------------------------------

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) \(|Ax-b|_{\infty} / (eps * |A|_1 * N) = 0.0146222 ...... PASSED
  2) \(|Ax-b|_{\infty} / (eps * |A|_1 * |x|_1) = 0.0098357 ...... PASSED
  3) \(|Ax-b|_{\infty} / (eps * |A|_{\infty} * |x|_{\infty}) = 0.0017685 ...... PASSED

- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

============================================================================

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WR03R2L4</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>199.22</td>
<td>3.346e+03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ax-b | oo   | (eps * |A|_1 * N) |
|------|------|----------|
| Ax-b | oo   | (eps * |A|_1 * |x|_1)     |
| Ax-b | oo   | (eps * |A|_\infty * |x|_{\infty}) |

----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Finished 1 tests with the following results:
1 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of Tests.
============================================================================

Current time (1182262568) is Tue Jun 19 10:16:08 2007

End of HPL section.
Begin of StarDGEMM section.
Scaled residual: 0.00510712
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 7.262569
Average Gflop/s 7.564677
Maximum Gflop/s 7.745041
Current time (1182262570) is Tue Jun 19 10:16:10 2007

End of StarDGEMM section.
Begin of SingleDGEMM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 823
Single DGEMM Gflop/s 8.091957
Current time (1182262572) is Tue Jun 19 10:16:12 2007

End of SingleDGEMM section.
Begin of StarSTREAM section.

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 3255208, Offset = 0
Total memory required = 0.0728 GB.
Each test is run 10 times, but only the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds.
Each test below will take on the order of 33359 microseconds. (= 33359 clock ticks)
Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline.
For best results, please be sure you know the precision of your system timer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy:</td>
<td>1.3551</td>
<td>0.0463</td>
<td>0.0384</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale:</td>
<td>1.3465</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add:</td>
<td>1.5210</td>
<td>0.0644</td>
<td>0.0514</td>
<td>0.0683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad:</td>
<td>1.4984</td>
<td>0.0640</td>
<td>0.0521</td>
<td>0.0682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results Comparison:
Expected : 3754233929531250176.000000 750846785906249984.000000 1001129047875000064.000000
1001129047875000064.000000
Observed  : 3754233929605240320.000000 750846785916409344.000000 1001129047886046464.000000
0.000000
Solution Validates

Node(s) with error 0

Minimum Copy GB/s 1.032456
Average Copy GB/s 1.783751
Maximum Copy GB/s 3.059742
Minimum Scale GB/s 1.033463
Average Scale GB/s 1.745111
Maximum Scale GB/s 2.983031
Minimum Add GB/s 1.173118
Average Add GB/s 1.975090
Maximum Add GB/s 3.382328
Minimum Triad GB/s 1.176597
Average Triad GB/s 1.976104
Maximum Triad GB/s 3.383656
Current time (1182262575) is Tue Jun 19 10:16:15 2007

End of StarSTREAM section.
Begin of SingleSTREAM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 96
Single STREAM Copy GB/s 3.016144
Single STREAM Scale GB/s 2.940390
Single STREAM Add GB/s 3.319387
Single STREAM Triad GB/s 3.318245
Current time (1182262576) is Tue Jun 19 10:16:16 2007

End of SingleSTREAM section.
Begin of MPIRandomAccess section.
Running on 1024 processors (PowerofTwo)
Total Main table size = $2^{23} = 8589934592$ words
PE Main table size = $2^{23} = 8388608$ words/PE
Default number of updates (RECOMMENDED) = 34359738368
Number of updates EXECUTED = 11208680448 (for a TIME BOUND of 60.00 secs)
CPU time used = 62.250000 seconds
Real time used = 62.290993 seconds
0.179940629 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
0.000175723 Billion(10^9) Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]
Verification: CPU time used = 25.810000 seconds
Verification: Real time used = 27.247278 seconds
Found 0 errors in 8589934592 locations (passed).
Current time (1182262667) is Tue Jun 19 10:17:47 2007

End of MPIRandomAccess section.
Begin of StarRandomAccess section.
Main table size = $2^{23} = 8388608$ words
Number of updates = 33554432
CPU time used = 11.830000 seconds
Real time used = 11.835544 seconds
0.002835056 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
Found 0 errors in 8388608 locations (passed).
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum GUP/s 0.002813
Average GUP/s 0.002834
Maximum GUP/s 0.002875
Current time (1182262691) is Tue Jun 19 10:18:11 2007

End of StarRandomAccess section.
Begin of SingleRandomAccess section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 686
Single GUP/s 0.004045
Current time (1182262708) is Tue Jun 19 10:18:28 2007

End of SingleRandomAccess section.
Begin of MPIFFT section.
Number of nodes: 1024
Vector size: 1073741824
Generation time: 0.178
Tuning: 0.164
Computing: 3.567
Inverse FFT: 3.649
max(|x-x0|): 1.788e-15
Current time (1182262716) is Tue Jun 19 10:18:36 2007

End of MPIFFT section.
Begin of StarFFT section.
Vector size: 2097152
Generation time: 0.357
Tuning: 0.001
Computing: 0.481
Inverse FFT: 0.526
max(|x-x0|): 1.617e-15
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 0.415081
Average Gflop/s 0.450625
Maximum Gflop/s 0.480677
Current time (1182262718) is Tue Jun 19 10:18:38 2007

End of StarFFT section.
Begin of SingleFFT section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 350
Single FFT Gflop/s 0.717585
Current time (1182262719) is Tue Jun 19 10:18:39 2007

End of SingleFFT section.
Begin of LatencyBandwidth section.

Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany

Details - level 2
-----------------

MPI_Wtime granularity.
Max. MPI_Wtick is 0.000001 sec
wtick is set to 0.000001 sec

Message Length: 8
Latency min / avg / max: 0.005677 / 0.005677 / 0.005677 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.409 / 1.409 / 1.409 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 8
max time : 10.000000 secs
latency for msg: 0.005677 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 0.510961 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 19570
max client pings = max server pongs = 139
stride for latency = 11
Message Length: 8
Latency min / avg / max: 0.004366 / 0.004993 / 0.005811 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.377 / 1.605 / 1.832 MByte/s

Message Length: 2000000
Latency min / avg / max: 10.192990 / 10.192990 / 10.192990 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 196.213 / 196.213 / 196.213 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 2000000
max time : 30.000000 secs
latency for msg: 10.192990 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 81.543922 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 367
max client pings = max server pongs = 19
stride for latency = 59
Message Length: 2000000
Latency min / avg / max: 10.137081 / 10.178978 / 10.249496 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.132 / 196.484 / 197.295 MByte/s

Message Size: 8 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 0.006104 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 1.310720 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 0.017494 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 0.457310 MB/s

Message Size: 2000000 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 14.796257 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 135.169320 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 83.732812 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 23.885499 MB/s

Execution time (wall clock) = 63.332 sec on 1024 processes
- for cross ping pong latency = 4.310 sec
- for cross ping pong bandwidth = 26.381 sec
- for ring latency = 0.443 sec
for ring bandwidth = 32.198 sec

Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany

Major Benchmark results:
------------------------
Max Ping Pong Latency: 0.005811 msecs
Randomly Ordered Ring Latency: 0.017494 msecs
Min Ping Pong Bandwidth: 195.131555 MB/s
Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 135.169320 MB/s
Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 23.885499 MB/s

Detailed benchmark results:
Ping Pong:
Latency min / avg / max: 0.004366 / 0.004993 / 0.005811 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.132 / 196.484 / 197.295 MByte/s
Ring:
On naturally ordered ring: latency= 0.006104 msec, bandwidth= 135.169320 MB/s
On randomly ordered ring: latency= 0.017494 msec, bandwidth= 23.885499 MB/s

Benchmark conditions:
The latency measurements were done with 8 bytes
The bandwidth measurements were done with 2000000 bytes
The ring communication was done in both directions on 1024 processes
The Ping Pong measurements were done on
- 8742 pairs of processes for latency benchmarking, and
- 324 pairs of processes for bandwidth benchmarking,
out of 1024*(1024-1) = 1047552 possible combinations on 1024 processes.
(1 MB/s = 10**6 byte/sec)

Current time (1182262782) is Tue Jun 19 10:19:42 2007

End of LatencyBandwidth section.
Begin of Summary section.
VersionMajor=1
VersionMinor=0
VersionMicro=0
VersionRelease=b
LANG=C
Success=1
sizeof_char=1
sizeof_short=2
sizeof_int=4
sizeof_long=8
sizeof_void_ptr=8
sizeof_size_t=8
sizeof_double=8
sizeof_s64Int=8
sizeof_u64Int=8
CommWorldProcs=1024
MPI_Wtick=9.536743e-07
HPL_Tflops=3.34646
HPL_time=199.22
HPL_eps=1.11022e-16
HPL_RnormI=4.0893e-09
HPL_Anorm1=25189.8
HPL_AnormI=25157.5
HPL_Xnorm1=148665
HPL_XnormI=8.2789
HPL_N=100000
HPL_NB=200
HPL_nprow=32
HPL_npcol=32
HPL_depth=0
HPL_nbdiv=2
HPL_nbmin=4
HPL_cpfact=L
HPL_crfact=R
HPL_ctop=3
HPL_order=R
HPL_dMACH_EPS=1.110223e-16
HPL_dMACH_SFMIN=2.225074e-308
HPL_dMACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_dMACH_PREC=2.220446e-16
HPL_dMACH_MLEN=5.300000e+01
HPL_dMACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_dMACH_RMIN=2.225074e-308
HPL_dMACH_EMAX=1.024000e+03
HPL_dMACH_RMAX=1.797693e+308
HPL_sMACH_EPS=5.960464e-08
HPL_sMACH_SFMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_PREC=1.192093e-07
HPL_sMACH_MLEN=2.400000e+01
HPL_sMACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_EMIN=-1.250000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_EMAX=1.280000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMAX=3.402823e+38
dweps=1.110223e-16
sweps=5.960464e-08
HPLMaxProcs=1024
HPLMinProcs=1024
DGEMM_N=1562
StarDGEMM_Gflops=7.56468
SingleDGEMM_Gflops=8.09196
PTRANS_GB=15.931
PTRANS_time=1.25541
PTRANS_residual=0
PTRANS_n=50000
PTRANS_nb=100
PTRANS_nprow=32
PTRANS_npcol=32
MPIRandomAccess_N=8589934592
MPIRandomAccess_time=62.291
MPIRandomAccess_CheckTime=27.2473
MPIRandomAccess_Errors=0
MPIRandomAccess_ErrorsFraction=0
MPIRandomAccess_ExpUpdates=11208680448
MPIRandomAccess_GUPs=0.179941
MPIRandomAccess_TimeBound=60
RandomAccess_N=8388608
StarRandomAccess_GUPs=0.00283447
SingleRandomAccess_GUPs=0.00404454
STREAM_VectorSize=3255208
STREAM_Threads=1
StarSTREAM_Copy=1.78375
StarSTREAM_Scale=1.74511
StarSTREAM_Add=1.97509
StarSTREAM_Triad=1.9761
SingleSTREAM_COPY=3.01614
SingleSTREAM_Scale=2.94039
SingleSTREAM_Add=3.31939
SingleSTREAM_Triad=3.31824
FFT_N=2097152
6.b. **HPCC benchmark data for initial 2048 core configuration**

6.b.1. **HPCC benchmark data for N=70000**

This is the DARPA/DOE HPC Challenge Benchmark version 1.0.0 October 2003
Produced by Jack Dongarra and Piotr Luszczek
Innovative Computing Laboratory
University of Tennessee Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

See the source files for authors of specific codes.
Compiled on Jun 18 2007 at 11:44:38
Current time (1182266522) is Tue Jun 19 11:22:02 2007

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:

**T/V** : Wall time / encoded variant.
**N** : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
**NB** : The partitioning blocking factor.
**P** : The number of process rows.
**Q** : The number of process columns.
**Time** : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

- \( N \) : 70000
- \( NB \) : 200
- \( PMAP \) : Row-major process mapping
- \( P \) : 16
- \( Q \) : 32
- \( PFACT \) : Left
- \( NBMIN \) : 4
- \( NDIV \) : 2
- \( RFAC \) : Right
- \( BCAST \) : 2ringM
- \( DEPTH \) : 0
- \( SWAP \) : Mix (threshold = 64)
- \( L1 \) : transposed form
- \( U \) : transposed form
- \( EQUIL \) : yes
- \( ALIGN \) : 16 double precision words

- The matrix \( A \) is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot N} \)
  2) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1} \)
  3) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty} \)

- The relative machine precision (\( \text{eps} \)) is taken to be \( 1.110223 \times 10^{-16} \)
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than \( 16.0 \)

Begin of PTRANS section.

M: 35000
N: 35000
MB: 200 100 200
NB: 200 100 200
P: 16
Q: 32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>GB/s</th>
<th>RESID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>12.064</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>11.951</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>13.726</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>13.425</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>12.018</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>11.951</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 3 tests, with the following results:
3 tests completed and passed residual checks.
0 tests completed and failed residual checks.
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

END OF TESTS.

Current time (1182266528) is Tue Jun 19 11:22:08 2007

End of PTRANS section.

Begin of HPL section.

HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
- \( T/V \) : Wall time / encoded variant.
- \( N \) : The order of the coefficient matrix \( A \).
- \( NB \) : The partitioning blocking factor.
- \( P \) : The number of process rows.
Q : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

N : 70000
NB : 200
PMAP : Row-major process mapping
P : 16
Q : 32
PFACT : Left
NBMIN : 4
NDIV : 2
RFACT : Right
BCAST : 2ringM
DEPTH : 0
SWAP : Mix (threshold = 64)
L1 : transposed form
U : transposed form
EQUIL : yes
ALIGN : 16 double precision words

The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
-- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
1) \|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_1 * N )
2) \|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_1 * \|x\|_1 )
3) \|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_\infty * \|x\|_\infty )
- The relative machine precision (eps) is taken to be 1.110223e-16
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

============================================================================
T/V                N    NB     P     Q               Time             Gflops
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
WR03R2L4       70000   200    16    32             122.87          1.861e+03
----------------------------------------------------------------------------

\|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_1 * N ) = 0.0074097 ...... PASSED
\|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_1 * \|x\|_1 ) = 0.0100375 ...... PASSED
\|Ax-b\|_\infty / ( eps * \|A\|_\infty * \|x\|_\infty ) = 0.0016702 ...... PASSED

Finished 1 tests with the following results:
1 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

============================================================================
End of Tests.

Current time (1182266652) is Tue Jun 19 11:24:12 2007

End of HPL section.
Begin of StarDGEMM section.
Scaled residual: 0.00505038
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 5.596380
Average Gflop/s 7.287612
Maximum Gflop/s 7.635199
Current time (1182266655) is Tue Jun 19 11:24:15 2007

End of StarDGEMM section.
Begin of SingleDGEMM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 402
Single DGEMM Gflop/s 8.067628
Current time (1182266657) is Tue Jun 19 11:24:17 2007
End of SingleDGEMM section.
Begin of StarSTREAM section.

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 3190104, Offset = 0
Total memory required = 0.0713 GB.
Each test is run 10 times, but only
the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds.
Each test below will take on the order of 34368 microseconds.
(= 34368 clock ticks)
Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that
you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline.
For best results, please be sure you know the
precision of your system timer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>1.0233</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.0499</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>1.0230</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.0499</td>
<td>0.0503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td>1.1475</td>
<td>0.0670</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad</td>
<td>1.1906</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>0.0643</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results Comparison:
Expected : 3679149435468749824.000000 735829887093750016.000000 981106516124999936.000000
            735829887103518720.000000
Observed  : 3679149435538703872.000000 735829887103518720.000000 981106516135525632.000000
Solution Validates

Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Copy GB/s 0.992160
Average Copy GB/s 1.029710
Maximum Copy GB/s 1.086132
Minimum Scale GB/s 0.988011
Average Scale GB/s 1.030611
Maximum Scale GB/s 1.078901
Minimum Add GB/s 1.073509
Average Add GB/s 1.162837
Maximum Add GB/s 1.200585
Minimum Triad GB/s 1.075087
Average Triad GB/s 1.168802
Maximum Triad GB/s 1.456752

Current time (1182266659) is Tue Jun 19 11:24:19 2007

End of StarSTREAM section.
Begin of SingleSTREAM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 368
Single STREAM Copy GB/s 3.020930
Single STREAM Scale GB/s 2.946100
Single STREAM Add GB/s 3.314271
Single STREAM Triad GB/s 3.318587

Current time (1182266660) is Tue Jun 19 11:24:20 2007

End of SingleSTREAM section.
Begin of MPIRandomAccess section.
Running on 512 processors (PowerofTwo)
Total Main table size = $2^{32} = 4294967296$ words
PE Main table size = $2^{21} = 8388608$ words/PE
Default number of updates (RECOMMENDED) = 17179869184
Number of updates EXECUTED = 9200124928 (for a TIME BOUND of 60.00 secs)
CPU time used = 57.540000 seconds
Real time used = 57.549086 seconds
0.159865701 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
0.000312238 Billion(10^9) Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]

Verification: CPU time used = 23.180000 seconds
Verification: Real time used = 23.601585 seconds
Found 0 errors in 4294967296 locations (passed).
Current time (1182266743) is Tue Jun 19 11:25:43 2007

End of MPIRandomAccess section.
Begin of StarRandomAccess section.
Main table size = 2^21 = 8388608 words
Number of updates = 33554432
CPU time used = 11.820000 seconds
Real time used = 11.830897 seconds
0.002836170 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
Found 0 errors in 8388608 locations (passed).
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum GUP/s 0.002805
Average GUP/s 0.002836
Maximum GUP/s 0.002885
Current time (1182266767) is Tue Jun 19 11:26:07 2007

End of StarRandomAccess section.
Begin of SingleRandomAccess section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 475
Single GUP/s 0.004057
Current time (1182266783) is Tue Jun 19 11:26:23 2007

End of SingleRandomAccess section.
Begin of MPIFFT section.
Number of nodes: 512
Vector size: 536870912
Generation time: 0.178
Tuning: 0.163
Computing: 2.916
Inverse FFT: 2.921
max(|x-x0|): 1.717e-15
Current time (1182266790) is Tue Jun 19 11:26:30 2007

End of MPIFFT section.
Begin of StarFFT section.
Vector size: 2097152
Generation time: 0.357
Tuning: 0.001
Computing: 0.483
Inverse FFT: 0.539
max(|x-x0|): 1.617e-15
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 0.412050
Average Gflop/s 0.450655
Maximum Gflop/s 0.466624
Current time (1182266792) is Tue Jun 19 11:26:32 2007

End of StarFFT section.
Begin of SingleFFT section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 453
Single FFT Gflop/s 0.707194
Current time (1182266793) is Tue Jun 19 11:26:33 2007

End of SingleFFT section.
Begin of LatencyBandwidth section.

Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany
Details - level 2
-----------------

MPI_Wtime granularity.
Max. MPI_Wtick is 0.000001 sec
wtick is set to   0.000001 sec

Message Length: 8
Latency   min / avg / max:   0.005260 /   0.005260 /   0.005260 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max:   1.521 /   1.521 /   1.521 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size:                                  8
max time :                             10.000000 secs
latency for msg:                        0.005260 msecs
estimation for ping pong:               0.473410 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs =      21123
max client pings = max server pongs =        145
stride for latency =                  5
Message Length: 8
Latency   min / avg / max:   0.000998 /   0.005111 /   0.005379 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max:   1.487 /   1.600 /   8.013 MByte/s

Message Length: 2000000
Latency   min / avg / max:   10.195971 /   10.195971 /   10.195971 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max:   196.156 /   196.156 /   196.156 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size:                            2000000
max time :                             30.000000 secs
latency for msg:                        10.195971 msecs
estimation for ping pong:               81.567764 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs =      367
max client pings = max server pongs =         19
stride for latency =                  29
Message Length: 2000000
Latency   min / avg / max:  10.139465 /  10.179064 /  10.231495 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max:  195.475 /  196.482 /  197.249 MByte/s

Message Size:                           8 Byte
Natural Order Latency:            0.005603 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth:          1.427848 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency:        0.017202 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth:       0.465049 MB/s

Message Size:                          2000000 Byte
Natural Order Latency:             17.032743 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth:           117.420903 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency:         66.029662 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth:        30.289417 MB/s

Execution time (wall clock)      =    56.667 sec on 512 processes
   - for cross ping_pong latency =     5.185 sec
   - for cross ping_pong bandwidth =    25.942 sec
   - for ring latency =                  0.276 sec
   - for ring bandwidth =               25.264 sec

------------------------------------------------------------------
Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany

Major Benchmark results:
------------------------

Max Ping Pong Latency:           0.005379 msecs
Randomly Ordered Ring Latency:   0.017202 msecs
Min Ping Pong Bandwidth:         195.474857 MB/s
Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 117.420903 MB/s
Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 30.289417 MB/s

------------------------------------------------------------------
Detailed benchmark results:
Ping Pong:
Latency   min / avg / max:  0.000998 / 0.005111 / 0.005379 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.475 / 196.482 / 197.249 MByte/s
Ring:
On naturally ordered ring: latency= 0.005603 msec, bandwidth= 117.420903 MB/s
On randomly ordered ring: latency= 0.017202 msec, bandwidth= 30.289417 MB/s
------------------------------------------------------------------
Benchmark conditions:
The latency measurements were done with 8 bytes
The bandwidth measurements were done with 2000000 bytes
The ring communication was done in both directions on 512 processes
The Ping Pong measurements were done on
- 10609 pairs of processes for latency benchmarking, and
- 324 pairs of processes for bandwidth benchmarking,
out of 512*(512-1) = 261632 possible combinations on 512 processes.
(1 MB/s = 10**6 byte/sec)
------------------------------------------------------------------
Current time (1182266850) is Tue Jun 19 11:27:30 2007
End of LatencyBandwidth section.
Begin of Summary section.
VersionMajor=1
VersionMinor=0
VersionMicro=0
VersionRelease=b
LANG=C
Success=1
sizeof_char=1
sizeof_short=2
sizeof_int=4
sizeof_long=8
sizeof_void_ptr=8
sizeof_size_t=8
sizeof_float=4
sizeof_double=8
sizeof_s64Int=8
sizeof_u64Int=8
CommWorldProcs=512
MPI_Wtick=9.536743e-07
HPL_Tflops=1.86107
HPL_time=122.873
HPL_eps=1.11022e-16
HPL_RnormI=1.01772e-09
HPL_Anorm1=17673.5
HPL_AnormI=17648.8
HPL_Xnorm1=51674.1
HPL_XnormI=4.44249
HPL_N=70000
HPL_NB=200
HPL_nprow=16
HPL_npcol=32
HPL_depth=0
HPL_nbdiv=2
HPL_nbmin=4
HPL_cpfact=L
HPL_crfact=R
HPL_ctop=3
HPL_order=R
HPL_dMACH_EPS=1.110223e-16
HPL_dMACH_SFMIN=2.225074e-308
HPL_dMACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_dMACH_PREC=2.220446e-16
HPL_dMACH_MLEN=5.300000e+01
HPL_dMACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_dMACH_EMIN=-1.021000e+03
HPL_dMACH_RMIN=2.225074e-308
HPL_dMACH_EMAX=1.024000e+03
HPL_dMACH_RMAX=1.797693e+308
HPL_sMACH_EPS=5.960464e-08
HPL_sMACH_SFMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_PREC=1.192093e-07
HPL_sMACH_MLEN=2.400000e+01
HPL_sMACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_EMIN=-1.250000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_EMAX=1.280000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMAX=3.402823e+38
dweps=1.110223e-16
sweps=5.960464e-08
HPLMaxProcs=512
HPLMinProcs=512
DGEMM_N=1546
StarDGEMM_Gflops=7.28761
SingleDGEMM_Gflops=8.06763
PTRANS_GB=13.7264
PTRANS_time=0.713952
PTRANS_residual=0
PTRANS_n=35000
PTRANS_nb=100
PTRANS_nproc=16
PTRANS_npcol=32
MPIRandomAccess_N=4294967296
MPIRandomAccess_time=57.5491
MPIRandomAccess_CheckTime=23.6016
MPIRandomAccess_Errors=0
MPIRandomAccess_ErrorsFraction=0
MPIRandomAccess_ExecUpdates=9200124928
MPIRandomAccess_GUPs=0.159866
MPIRandomAccess_TimeBound=60
RandomAccess_N=8388608
StarRandomAccess_GUPs=0.00283563
SingleRandomAccess_GUPs=0.00405748
STREAM_VectorSize=3190104
STREAM_Threads=1
StarSTREAM_Copy=1.02971
StarSTREAM_Scale=1.03061
StarSTREAM_Add=1.16284
StarSTREAM_Triad=1.1688
SingleSTREAM_Copy=3.02093
SingleSTREAM_Scale=2.9461
SingleSTREAM_Add=3.31427
SingleSTREAM_Triad=3.31859
FFT_N=2097152
StarFFT_Gflops=0.450655
SingleFFT_Gflops=0.707194
MPIFFT_N=536870912
MPIFFT_Gflops=26.6959
MPIFFT_maxErr=1.71659e-15
MaxPingPongLatency_usec=5.37932
RandomlyOrderedRingLatency_usec=17.2025
MinPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.195475
NaturallyOrderedRingBandwidth_GBytes=0.0302894
MinPingPongLatency_usec=0.998378
AvgPingPongLatency_usec=5.1106
MaxPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.197249
AvgPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.196482
NaturallyOrderedRingLatency_usec=5.60284
FFTEnblk=16
FFTEnp=8
FFTEl2size=1048576
M_OPENMP=-1
omp_get_num_threads=0
omp_get_max_threads=0
omp_get_num_procs=0
MemProc=-1
MemSpec=-1
MemVal=-1
MPIFFT_time0=4.05312e-06
MPIFFT_time1=0.747922
MPIFFT_time2=0.259638
MPIFFT_time3=0.683326
MPIFFT_time4=0.474093
MPIFFT_time5=0.725643
MPIFFT_time6=3.09944e-06
End of Summary section.
End of HPC Challenge tests.
Current time (1182266850) is Tue Jun 19 11:27:30 2007

6.2.2. HPCC benchmark data for N=10000

This is the DARPA/DOE HPCC Challenge Benchmark version 1.0.0 October 2003
Produced by Jack Dongarra and Piotr Luszczek
Innovative Computing Laboratory
University of Tennessee Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

See the source files for authors of specific codes.
Compiled on Jun 18 2007 at 11:44:38
Current time (1182266961) is Tue Jun 19 11:29:21 2007

Hostname: 'slc1bl1.dim'

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB : The partitioning blocking factor.
P : The number of process rows.
Q : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:
N : 100000
NB : 200
PMAP : Row-major process mapping
P : 32
Q : 64
PFACT : Left
NBMIN : 4
NDIV : 2
RFACT : Right
BCAST : 2ringM
- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
  - The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
    1) $\|Ax-b\|_\infty / (\varepsilon \|A\|_1 \cdot N)$
    2) $\|Ax-b\|_\infty / (\varepsilon \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1)$
    3) $\|Ax-b\|_\infty / (\varepsilon \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty)$
  - The relative machine precision ($\varepsilon$) is taken to be $1.110223e-16$
  - Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

Begin of PTRANS section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>GB/s</th>
<th>RESID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 3 tests, with the following results:
- 3 tests completed and passed residual checks.
- 0 tests completed and failed residual checks.
- 0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of PTRANS section.

Begin of HPL section.

HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK
============================================================================

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N   : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB  : The partitioning blocking factor.
P   : The number of process rows.
Q   : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMAP</td>
<td>Row-major process mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFAC</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBMIN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDIV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RFAC  T : Right  
BCAST : 2ringM 
DEPTH : 0  
SWAP : Mix (threshold = 64)  
L1 : transposed form  
U : transposed form  
EQUIL : yes  
ALIGN : 16 double precision words 

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.  
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:  
  1) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot N} \)  
  2) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1} \)  
  3) \( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty} \)  
- The relative machine precision (eps) is taken to be \( 16.0 \)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WR03R2L4</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>129.38</td>
<td>5.153e+03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot N} = 0.0162180 \ldots \ldots \text{PASSED} \)  
\( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1} = 0.0109091 \ldots \ldots \text{PASSED} \)  
\( \frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{\text{eps} \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty} = 0.0019615 \ldots \ldots \text{PASSED} \)

Finished 1 tests with the following results: 
1 tests completed and passed residual checks, 0 tests completed and failed residual checks, 0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of Tests.

End of HPL section. 
End of StarDGEMM section. 
End of SingleDGEMM section. 
End of StarSTREAM section.

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 1627604, Offset = 0  
Total memory required = 0.0364 GB.  
Each test is run 10 times, but only the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds. 
Each test below will take on the order of 17235 microseconds.
Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline. For best results, please be sure you know the precision of your system timer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy:</td>
<td>1.10223e-16</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale:</td>
<td>1.0667</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>0.0247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add:</td>
<td>1.1655</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>0.0341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad:</td>
<td>1.2319</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results Comparison:

Expected: 1877116964765625088.000000 375423392953124992.000000 500564523937500032.000000
Observed: 1877116964762494976.000000 375423392953518720.000000 500564523937500032.000000
Solution Validates

Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Copy GB/s 0.953661
Average Copy GB/s 1.020977
Maximum Copy GB/s 1.196598
Minimum Scale GB/s 0.987395
Average Scale GB/s 1.031436
Maximum Scale GB/s 1.385633
Minimum Add GB/s 1.054997
Average Add GB/s 1.143028
Maximum Add GB/s 1.461643
Minimum Triad GB/s 1.074565
Average Triad GB/s 1.153736
Maximum Triad GB/s 2.150413

Current time (1182267109) is Tue Jun 19 11:31:49 2007

End of StarSTREAM section.

Begin of SingleSTREAM section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 2028
Single STREAM Copy GB/s 2.923391
Single STREAM Scale GB/s 3.046514
Single STREAM Add GB/s 3.180433
Single STREAM Triad GB/s 3.170646

Current time (1182267109) is Tue Jun 19 11:31:49 2007

End of SingleSTREAM section.

Begin of MPIRandomAccess section.
Running on 2048 processors (PowerofTwo)
Total Main table size = 2^{31} = 8589934592 words
PE Main table size = 2^{22} = 4194304 words/PE
Default number of updates (RECOMMENDED) = 34359738368
Number of updates EXECUTED = 14134075392 (for a TIME BOUND of 60.00 secs)
CPU time used = 58.090000 seconds
Real time used = 58.085687 seconds
0.24331467 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
0.000118814 Billion(10^9) Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]
Verification: CPU time used = 32.160000 seconds
Verification: Real time used = 33.033619 seconds
Found 0 errors in 8589934592 locations (passed).
Current time (1182267202) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:22 2007

End of MPIRandomAccess section.

Begin of StarRandomAccess section.
Main table size = 2^{22} = 4194304 words
Number of updates = 16777216

End of StarRandomAccess section.
CPU time used = 5.280000 seconds
Real time used = 5.280341 seconds
0.00317298 Billion(10^9) Updates per second [GUP/s]
Found 0 errors in 4194304 locations (passed).
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum GUP/s 0.003133
Average GUP/s 0.003171
Maximum GUP/s 0.003232
Current time (1182267213) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:33 2007

End of StarRandomAccess section.
Begin of SingleRandomAccess section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1563
Single GUP/s 0.004696
Current time (1182267220) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:40 2007

End of SingleRandomAccess section.
Begin of MPIFFT section.
Number of nodes: 2048
Vector size: 1073741824
Generation time: 0.089
Tuning: 0.081
Computing: 2.119
Inverse FFT: 2.076
max(|x-x0|): 1.755e-15
Current time (1182267224) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:44 2007

End of MPIFFT section.
Begin of StarFFT section.
Vector size: 1048576
Generation time: 0.178
Tuning: 0.001
Computing: 0.218
Inverse FFT: 0.238
max(|x-x0|): 1.590e-15
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 0.410551
Average Gflop/s 0.458268
Maximum Gflop/s 0.490154
Current time (1182267225) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:45 2007

End of StarFFT section.
Begin of SingleFFT section.
Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1143
Single FFT Gflop/s 0.722309
Current time (1182267226) is Tue Jun 19 11:33:46 2007

End of SingleFFT section.
Begin of LatencyBandwidth section.

------------------------------------------------------------------
Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany

Details - level 2
-------------------

MPI_Wtime granularity.
Max. MPI_Wtick is 0.000001 sec
wtick is set to 0.000001 sec

Message Length: 8
Latency min / avg / max: 0.005439 / 0.005439 / 0.005439 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.471 / 1.471 / 1.471 MByte/s

32
MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 8
max time : 10.000000 secs
latency for msg: 0.005439 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 0.489503 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 20428
max client pings = max server pongs = 142
stride for latency = 17
Message Length: 8
Latency min / avg / max: 0.004306 / 0.005327 / 0.005990 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.335 / 1.508 / 1.858 MByte/s

Message Length: 2000000
Latency min / avg / max: 10.218978 / 10.218978 / 10.218978 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.714 / 195.714 / 195.714 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 2000000
max time : 30.000000 secs
latency for msg: 10.218978 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 81.751823 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 366
max client pings = max server pongs = 19
stride for latency = 109
Message Length: 2000000
Latency min / avg / max: 10.151982 / 10.201659 / 10.652542 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 187.749 / 196.053 / 197.006 MByte/s

Message Size: 8 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 0.006413 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 1.247377 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 0.018138 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 0.441071 MB/s

Message Size: 2000000 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 18.761754 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 106.599841 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 90.116342 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 22.193533 MB/s

Execution time (wall clock) = 71.540 sec on 2048 processes
- for cross ping_pong latency = 7.573 sec
- for cross ping_pong bandwidth = 29.036 sec
- for ring latency = 1.127 sec
- for ring bandwidth = 33.804 sec

----------------------------------------------
Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany
Major Benchmark results:
----------------------------------------------
Max Ping Pong Latency: 0.005990 msecs
Randomly Ordered Ring Latency: 0.018138 msecs
Min Ping Pong Bandwidth: 187.748612 MB/s
Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 106.599841 MB/s
Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 22.193533 MB/s

----------------------------------------------
Detailed benchmark results:
Ping Pong:
Latency min / avg / max: 0.004306 / 0.005327 / 0.005990 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 187.749 / 196.053 / 197.006 MByte/s
Ring:
On naturally ordered ring: latency= 0.006413 msec, bandwidth= 106.599841 MB/s
On randomly ordered ring: latency= 0.018138 msec, bandwidth= 22.193533 MB/s
Benchmark conditions:

The latency measurements were done with 8 bytes.
The bandwidth measurements were done with 2000000 bytes.
The ring communication was done in both directions on 2048 processes.
The Ping Pong measurements were done on
- 14641 pairs of processes for latency benchmarking, and
- 361 pairs of processes for bandwidth benchmarking,
out of 2048*(2048-1) = 4192256 possible combinations on 2048 processes.
(1 MB/s = 10**6 byte/sec)

Current time (1182267297) is Tue Jun 19 11:34:57 2007

End of LatencyBandwidth section.

Begin of Summary section.

VersionMajor=1
VersionMinor=0
VersionMicro=0
VersionRelease=b
LANG=C
Success=1
sizeof_char=1
sizeof_short=2
sizeof_int=4
sizeof_long=8
sizeof_void_ptr=8
sizeof_size_t=8
sizeof_float=4
sizeof_double=8
sizeof_s64Int=8
sizeof_u64Int=8
CommWorldProcs=2048
MPI_Wtick=9.536743e-07
HPL_Tflops=5.153
HPL_time=129.377
HPL_eps=1.11022e-16
HPL_RnormI=4.53557e-09
HPL_AnormI=25189.8
HPL_XnormI=148665
HPL_XnormI=8.2789
HPL_N=100000
HPL_NB=200
HPL_nprow=32
HPL_npcol=64
HPL_depth=0
HPL_nbdiv=2
HPL_nbmin=4
HPL_cpfact=L
HPL_crifact=R
HPL_clop=3
HPL_order=R
HPL_dmACH_EPS=1.110223e-16
HPL_dmACH_SFMIN=2.225074e-308
HPL_dmACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_dmACH_PREC=2.220446e-16
HPL_dmACH_MLEN=5.300000e+01
HPL_dmACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_dmACH_EMIN=-1.021000e+03
HPL_dmACH_RMIX=2.225074e-308
HPL_dmACH_EMAX=1.024000e+03
HPL_dmACH_RMAX=1.797693e+308
HPL_dmACH_EPS=5.960464e-08
HPL_dmACH_SFMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_BASE=2.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_PREC=1.192093e-07
HPL_sMACH_MLEN=2.400000e+01
HPL_sMACH_RND=1.000000e+00
HPL_sMACH_EMIN=-1.250000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMIN=1.175494e-38
HPL_sMACH_EMAX=1.280000e+02
HPL_sMACH_RMAX=3.402823e+38
dweps=1.110223e-16
sweps=5.960464e-08
HPLMaxProcs=2048
HPLMinProcs=2048
DGEMM_N=1104
StarDGEMM_Gflops=7.07986
SingleDGEMM_Gflops=7.9122
PTRANS_GBs=37.4932
PTRANS_time=0.53343
PTRANS_residual=0
PTRANS_n=50000
PTRANS_nb=200
PTRANS_nprow=32
PTRANS_npcol=64
MPIRandomAccess_N=8589934592
MPIRandomAccess_time=58.0857
MPIRandomAccess_CheckTime=33.0336
MPIRandomAccess_Errors=0
MPIRandomAccess_ErrorsFraction=0
MPIRandomAccess_ExeUpdates=14134075392
MPIRandomAccess_GUPs=0.243331
MPIRandomAccess_TimeBound=60
RandomAccess_N=4194304
SingleRandomAccess_GUPs=0.00469598
STREAM_VectorSize=1627604
STREAM_Threads=1
StarSTREAM_Copys=1.02098
StarSTREAM_Scale=1.03144
StarSTREAM_Add=1.14303
StarSTREAM_Triad=1.15374
SingleSTREAM_Copys=2.92339
SingleSTREAM_Scale=3.04651
SingleSTREAM_Add=3.18043
SingleSTREAM_Triad=3.17065
FFT_N=1048576
StarFFT_Gflops=0.458268
SingleFFT_Gflops=0.722309
MIPFFT_N=1073741824
MIPFFT_Gflops=75.9955
MIPFFT_maxErr=1.75542e-15
MaxPingPongLatency_usec=5.99027
RandomlyOrderedRingLatency_usec=18.1377
MinPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.187749
NaturallyOrderedRingBandwidth_GBytes=0.1066
RandomlyOrderedRingBandwidth_GBytes=0.0221935
MinPingPongLatency_usec=4.30644
AvgPingPongLatency_usec=5.32659
MaxPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.197006
AvgPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.196053
NaturallyOrderedRingLatency_usec=6.41346
FFTEnlk=16
FFTEnp=8
FFTE12size=1048576
M_OPENMP=-1
omp_get_num_threads=0
omp_get_max_threads=0
omp_get_num_procs=0
MemProc=-1
HPCC benchmark data for N=600000

This is the DARPA/DOE HPC Challenge Benchmark version 1.0.0 October 2003
Produced by Jack Dongarra and Piotr Luszczek
Innovative Computing Laboratory
University of Tennessee Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

See the source files for authors of specific codes.
Compiled on May 10 2007 at 14:49:43
Current time (1180068203) is Fri May 25 00:43:23 2007

Hostname: 's11c1b2'

HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:

T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N   : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB  : The partitioning blocking factor.
P   : The number of process rows.
Q   : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

N   : 600000
NB  : 200
PMAP: Row-major process mapping
P   : 30
Q   : 68
PFAC  : Left
NBMIN : 4
NDIV : 2
RPAC  : Right
BCAST : 2ringM
DEPTH : 0
SWAP : Mix (threshold = 64)
L1   : transposed form
U    : transposed form
EQUIL : yes
ALIGN : 16 double precision words

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) ||Ax-b||_oo / ( eps * ||A||_1 * N )
\[ 2) \|Ax-b\|_\infty / (\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1) \]
\[ 3) \|Ax-b\|_\infty / (\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty) \]

- The relative machine precision (\(\varepsilon\)) is taken to be \(1.110223 \times 10^{-16}\)
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

Begin of PTRANS section.
M: 300000
N: 300000
MB: 200 100 200
NB: 200 100 200
P: 30
Q: 68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>GB/s</th>
<th>RESID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>23.062</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.24</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>23.047</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>40.765</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>40.701</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALL</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.18</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>23.095</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>PASSED</td>
<td>23.062</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 3 tests, with the following results:
3 tests completed and passed residual checks.
0 tests completed and failed residual checks.
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

END OF TESTS.
Current time (1180068420) is Fri May 25 00:47:00 2007
End of PTRANS section.

Begin of HPL section.

============================================================================
HPLinpack 1.0a -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- January 20, 2004
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK
============================================================================

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V     : Wall time / encoded variant.
N       : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB      : The partitioning blocking factor.
P       : The number of process rows.
Q       : The number of process columns.
Time    : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops  : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:
N       : 600000
NB      : 200
PMAP    : Row-major process mapping
P       : 30
Q       : 68
PFECT   : Left
NBMIN   : 4
NDIV    : 2
RFECT   : Right
BCAST   : 2ringM
DEPTH   : 0
SWAP    : Mix (threshold = 64)
L1      : transposed form
U       : transposed form
EQUIL   : yes
ALIGN   : 16 double precision words

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
1) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot N)}$
2) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1)}$
3) $\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty)}$

- The relative machine precision ($\varepsilon$) is taken to be $1.110223\cdot 10^{-16}$
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WR03R2L4</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10641.34</td>
<td>1.353e+04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot N)} = 0.0099666 \ldots \text{ PASSED}$

$\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_1 \cdot \|x\|_1)} = 0.0066616 \ldots \text{ PASSED}$

$\frac{\|Ax-b\|_\infty}{(\varepsilon \cdot \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|x\|_\infty)} = 0.0011291 \ldots \text{ PASSED}$

Finished 1 tests with the following results:
1 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of Tests.

Current time (1180079078) is Fri May 25 03:44:38 2007
End of HPL section.

Begin of StarDGEMM section.

Scaled residual: 0.00242414
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 6.792508
Average Gflop/s 8.268927
Maximum Gflop/s 8.319971
Current time (1180079189) is Fri May 25 03:46:29 2007
End of StarDGEMM section.

Begin of SingleDGEMM section.

Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 719
Single DGEMM Gflop/s 8.494016
Current time (1180079284) is Fri May 25 03:48:04 2007
End of SingleDGEMM section.

Begin of StarSTREAM section.

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 58823529, Offset = 0
Total memory required = 1.3148 GB.
Each test is run 10 times, but only the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds.
Each test below will take on the order of 630958 microseconds.
(= 630958 clock ticks)
Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that
you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

-------------------------------------------------------------
WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline.
For best results, please be sure you know the
precision of your system timer.
-------------------------------------------------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (GB/s)</th>
<th>Avg time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy:</td>
<td>1.0377</td>
<td>0.9083</td>
<td>0.9070</td>
<td>0.9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale:</td>
<td>1.0497</td>
<td>0.9008</td>
<td>0.8966</td>
<td>0.9028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add:</td>
<td>1.1782</td>
<td>1.2023</td>
<td>1.1982</td>
<td>1.2069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad:</td>
<td>1.1941</td>
<td>1.1958</td>
<td>1.1823</td>
<td>1.2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------------------------------------

Results Comparison:

- **Expected**: 67841221951582027776.000000 13568244390316406784.000000
  1809099251786793472.000000
- **Observed**: 67841221942089768960.000000 135682443919422272.000000
  1809099251786793472.000000

Solution Validates

-------------------------------------------------------------

Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Copy GB/s 1.002325
Average Copy GB/s 1.039966
Maximum Copy GB/s 1.119272
Minimum Scale GB/s 1.039187
Average Scale GB/s 1.057497
Maximum Scale GB/s 1.113818
Minimum Add GB/s 1.156317
Average Add GB/s 1.176485
Maximum Add GB/s 1.195994
Minimum Triad GB/s 1.169383
Average Triad GB/s 1.210038
Maximum Triad GB/s 1.327515

Current time (1180079330) is Fri May 25 03:48:50 2007

End of StarSTREAM section.

Begin of SingleSTREAM section.

Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1806
Single STREAM Copy GB/s 2.959842
Single STREAM Scale GB/s 3.050984
Single STREAM Add GB/s 3.318012
Single STREAM Triad GB/s 3.312121

Current time (1180079348) is Fri May 25 03:49:08 2007

End of SingleSTREAM section.

Begin of MPIRandomAccess section.

Running on 2040 processors
Total Main table size = 238 = 274877906944 words
PE Main table size = (238)/2040 = 134744073 words/PE MAX
Default number of updates (RECOMMENDED) = 1099511627776
Number of updates EXECUTED = 15331277280 (for a TIME BOUND of 2660.33 secs)
CPU time used = 61.370000 seconds
Real time used = 61.405342 seconds
0.249673348 Billion(109) Updates per second [GUP/s]
Begin of StarRandomAccess section.

Main table size = 227 = 134217728 words
Number of updates = 536870912
CPU time used = 211.780000 seconds
Real time used = 211.805222 seconds

0.002534739 Billion(109) Updates/PE per second [GUP/s]
Found 0 errors in 134217728 locations (passed).
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum GUP/s 0.002520
Average GUP/s 0.002536
Maximum GUP/s 0.002540
Current time (1180079912) is Fri May 25 03:58:32 2007

End of StarRandomAccess section.

Begin of SingleRandomAccess section.

Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1960
Single GUP/s 0.003517
Current time (1180080218) is Fri May 25 04:03:38 2007

End of SingleRandomAccess section.

Begin of MPIFFT section.

Number of nodes: 1024
Vector size: 17179869184
Generation time: 2.846
Tuning: 2.592
Computing: 43.377
Inverse FFT: 44.124
max(|x-x0|): 2.220e-15
Current time (1180080317) is Fri May 25 04:05:17 2007

End of MPIFFT section.

Begin of StarFFT section.

Vector size: 33554432
Generation time: 5.694
Tuning: 0.003
Computing: 10.507
Inverse FFT: 11.355
max(|x-x0|): 2.095e-15
Node(s) with error 0
Minimum Gflop/s 0.375156
Average Gflop/s 0.399146
Maximum Gflop/s 0.416085
Current time (1180080352) is Fri May 25 04:05:52 2007

End of StarFFT section.
Begin of SingleFFT section.

Node(s) with error 0
Node selected 1334
Single FFT Gflop/s 0.435536
Current time (1180080381) is Fri May 25 04:06:21 2007

End of SingleFFT section.

Begin of LatencyBandwidth section.

------------------------------------------------------------------
Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany
Details - level 2
--------------------
MPI_Wtime granularity.
Max. MPI_Wtick is 0.000001 sec
wtick is set to 0.000001 sec

Message Length: 8
Latency   min / avg / max:   0.005186 /   0.005186 /   0.005186 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.543 / 1.543 / 1.543 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 8
max time : 10.000000 secs
latency for msg: 0.005186 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 0.466704 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 21426
max client pings = max server pongs = 146
stride for latency = 17

Message Length: 8
Latency   min / avg / max: 0.000998 / 0.005393 / 0.006124 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 1.306 / 1.516 / 8.013 MByte/s

Message Length: 2000000
Latency   min / avg / max: 10.182977 / 10.182977 / 10.182977 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 196.406 / 196.406 / 196.406 MByte/s

MPI_Wtime granularity is ok.
message size: 2000000
max time : 30.000000 secs
latency for msg: 10.182977 msecs
estimation for ping pong: 81.463814 msecs
max number of ping pong pairs = 368
max client pings = max server pongs = 19
stride for latency = 109

Message Length: 2000000
Latency   min / avg / max: 10.131001 / 10.173062 / 10.206461 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.954 / 196.598 / 197.414 MByte/s

Message Size: 8 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 0.006104 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 1.310720 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 0.017735 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 0.451096 MB/s

Message Size: 2000000 Byte
Natural Order Latency: 21.539450 msec
Natural Order Bandwidth: 92.852883 MB/s
Avg Random Order Latency: 94.330747 msec
Avg Random Order Bandwidth: 21.201995 MB/s
Execution time (wall clock) = 72.957 sec on 2040 processes
- for cross ping_pong latency = 7.494 sec
- for cross ping_pong bandwidth = 28.893 sec
- for ring latency = 0.985 sec
- for ring bandwidth = 35.585 sec

Latency-Bandwidth-Benchmark R1.5.1 (c) HLRS, University of Stuttgart
Written by Rolf Rabenseifner, Gerrit Schulz, and Michael Speck, Germany
Major Benchmark results:

Max Ping Pong Latency: 0.006124 msecs
Randomly Ordered Ring Latency: 0.017735 msecs
Min Ping Pong Bandwidth: 195.954309 MB/s
Naturally Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 92.852883 MB/s
Randomly Ordered Ring Bandwidth: 21.201995 MB/s

Detailed benchmark results:

Ping Pong:
Latency min / avg / max: 0.000998 / 0.005393 / 0.006124 msecs
Bandwidth min / avg / max: 195.954 / 196.598 / 197.414 MByte/s

Ring:
On naturally ordered ring: latency= 0.006104 msec, bandwidth= 92.852883 MB/s
On randomly ordered ring: latency= 0.017735 msec, bandwidth= 21.201995 MB/s

Benchmark conditions:

The latency measurements were done with 8 bytes
The bandwidth measurements were done with 2000000 bytes
The ring communication was done in both directions on 2040 processes
The Ping Pong measurements were done on
- 14400 pairs of processes for latency benchmarking, and
- 361 pairs of processes for bandwidth benchmarking,
out of 2040*(2040-1) = 4159560 possible combinations on 2040 processes.
(1 MB/s = 10**6 byte/sec)

Current time (1180080454) is Fri May 25 04:07:34 2007
End of LatencyBandwidth section.

Begin of Summary section.

VersionMajor=1
VersionMinor=0
VersionMicro=0
VersionRelease=b
LANG=C
Success=1
sizeof_char=1
sizeof_short=2
sizeof_int=4
sizeof_long=8
sizeof_void_ptr=8
sizeof_size_t=8
sizeof_double=8
sizeof_s64Int=8
sizeof_u64Int=8
CommWorldProcs=2040
MPI_Wtick=9.536743e-07
HPL_Tflops=13.5322
StarSTREAM_Add=1.17648
StarSTREAM_Triad=1.21004
SingleSTREAM_Copy=2.95984
SingleSTREAM_Scale=3.05098
SingleSTREAM_Add=3.31801
SingleSTREAM_Triad=3.31212
FFT_N=33554432
StarFFT_Gflops=0.399146
SingleFFT_Gflops=0.435536
MPIFFT_N=17179869184
MPIFFT_Gflops=67.3302
MPIFFT_maxErr=2.22045e-15
MaxPingPongLatency_usec=6.12438
RandomlyOrderedRingLatency_usec=17.7346
MinPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.195954
NaturallyOrderedRingBandwidth_GBytes=0.0928529
RandomlyOrderedRingBandwidth_GBytes=0.021202
MinPingPongLatency_usec=0.998378
AvgPingPongLatency_usec=5.39269
MaxPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.197414
AvgPingPongBandwidth_GBytes=0.196598
NaturallyOrderedRingLatency_usec=6.10352
FFTEnblk=16
FFTEnp=8
FFTEl2size=1048576
M_OPENMP=-1
omp_get_num_threads=0
omp_get_max_threads=0
omp_get_num_procs=0
MemProc=-1
MemSpec=-1
MemVal=-1
MPIFFT_time0=3.09944e-06
MPIFFT_time1=9.02557
MPIFFT_time2=3.8635
MPIFFT_time3=12.7379
MPIFFT_time4=9.16573
MPIFFT_time5=4.05312e-06
End of Summary section.

******************************************************************************
End of HPC Challenge tests.
Current time (1180080454) is Fri May 25 04:07:34 2007
******************************************************************************
## 6.c. Comparison of application benchmarks

### 6.c.1. WRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Processors</th>
<th># Nodes</th>
<th># Cores</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Linear Scaling</th>
<th>Scaling Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF Small</td>
<td>NSF Medium</td>
<td>NSF Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8379</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4372</td>
<td>4189.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>33863</td>
<td>2094.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1253</td>
<td>18102</td>
<td>1047.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>12114</td>
<td>11287.7</td>
<td>523.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>10006</td>
<td>523.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>349.125</td>
<td>349.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>5666</td>
<td>261.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10.

### 6.c.2. OOCORE1

Expanded data not available.
### 6.c.3. GAMESS1

#### standard.inp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#-way</th>
<th>#-nodes</th>
<th>Total wall time (s)</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>CPU utilization</th>
<th>Real time (min + sec)</th>
<th>(s)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14508</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>99.17%</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>17.192</td>
<td>14537.19</td>
<td>29.192</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7917</td>
<td>1.832512</td>
<td>91.63%</td>
<td>97.54%</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>33.846</td>
<td>7953.846</td>
<td>36.846</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5707</td>
<td>2.542141</td>
<td>84.74%</td>
<td>96.29%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15.588</td>
<td>5775.588</td>
<td>68.588</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4626</td>
<td>3.136187</td>
<td>78.40%</td>
<td>94.57%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11.126</td>
<td>4691.126</td>
<td>65.126</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>4.050251</td>
<td>67.50%</td>
<td>91.36%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>46.772</td>
<td>3706.772</td>
<td>124.772</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>4.695146</td>
<td>58.69%</td>
<td>87.81%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51.608</td>
<td>3171.608</td>
<td>81.608</td>
<td>2.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>5.10306</td>
<td>42.53%</td>
<td>83.62%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43.659</td>
<td>2983.659</td>
<td>140.659</td>
<td>4.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>5.113853</td>
<td>31.96%</td>
<td>76.66%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2945.8</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>3.69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### socket ver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#-way</th>
<th>#-nodes</th>
<th>Total wall time (s)</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>CPU utilization</th>
<th>Real time (min + sec)</th>
<th>(s)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14398</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>96.74%</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>8.068</td>
<td>14408.07</td>
<td>10.068</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7967</td>
<td>1.807</td>
<td>90.36%</td>
<td>93.22%</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>3.157</td>
<td>7983.157</td>
<td>16.157</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5820</td>
<td>2.474</td>
<td>82.46%</td>
<td>91.08%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>10.373</td>
<td>5830.373</td>
<td>10.373</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4774</td>
<td>3.016</td>
<td>75.40%</td>
<td>89.44%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>4800.201</td>
<td>26.201</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3762</td>
<td>3.827</td>
<td>63.79%</td>
<td>84.44%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>19.452</td>
<td>3799.452</td>
<td>37.452</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3321</td>
<td>4.335</td>
<td>54.19%</td>
<td>80.01%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13.991</td>
<td>3373.991</td>
<td>52.991</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3087</td>
<td>4.664</td>
<td>38.87%</td>
<td>74.26%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40.465</td>
<td>3160.465</td>
<td>73.465</td>
<td>2.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3123</td>
<td>5.1106</td>
<td>23.18%</td>
<td>68.16%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>44.793</td>
<td>3224.793</td>
<td>101.793</td>
<td>3.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### large.inp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#-way</th>
<th>#-nodes</th>
<th>Total wall time (s)</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>CPU utilization</th>
<th>Real time (min + sec)</th>
<th>(s)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23459</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>92.77%</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>21.645</td>
<td>23541.65</td>
<td>82.645</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15757</td>
<td>1.489</td>
<td>99.25%</td>
<td>91.39%</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>30.869</td>
<td>15870.69</td>
<td>113.689</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12103</td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>96.91%</td>
<td>90.34%</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>45.447</td>
<td>12225.45</td>
<td>122.447</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9976</td>
<td>2.352</td>
<td>94.06%</td>
<td>88.38%</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2.116</td>
<td>10142.12</td>
<td>166.116</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8593</td>
<td>2.730</td>
<td>91.00%</td>
<td>88.00%</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>43.477</td>
<td>8923.477</td>
<td>330.477</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>7545</td>
<td>3.109</td>
<td>88.83%</td>
<td>86.09%</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>7822.32</td>
<td>277.32</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6869</td>
<td>3.415</td>
<td>85.38%</td>
<td>84.85%</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>7038.43</td>
<td>169.43</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>5283</td>
<td>4.440</td>
<td>74.01%</td>
<td>77.67%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>41.957</td>
<td>5741.957</td>
<td>458.957</td>
<td>7.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>4923</td>
<td>4.765</td>
<td>68.07%</td>
<td>73.29%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>5418.02</td>
<td>495.02</td>
<td>9.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>4641</td>
<td>5.055</td>
<td>63.18%</td>
<td>70.80%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>13.444</td>
<td>5353.444</td>
<td>712.444</td>
<td>13.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#-way</td>
<td>#-nodes</td>
<td>Total wall time (s)</td>
<td>Scalability</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>CPU utilization</td>
<td>Real time (min + sec)</td>
<td>Startup (s)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Scalerlity</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23971</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.9022</td>
<td>400 13.418</td>
<td>24013.42</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16208</td>
<td>1.478961</td>
<td>98.60%</td>
<td>0.8536</td>
<td>271 6.213</td>
<td>16266.21</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>1.476276</td>
<td>98.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12887</td>
<td>1.950924</td>
<td>97.55%</td>
<td>0.8503</td>
<td>205 59.374</td>
<td>12359.37</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>1.942932</td>
<td>97.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10030</td>
<td>2.38993</td>
<td>95.60%</td>
<td>0.8239</td>
<td>167 27.305</td>
<td>10047.31</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>2.390036</td>
<td>95.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8640</td>
<td>2.774421</td>
<td>92.48%</td>
<td>0.8083</td>
<td>146 1.655</td>
<td>8761.655</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
<td>2.74074</td>
<td>91.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>7645</td>
<td>3.135513</td>
<td>89.59%</td>
<td>0.8046</td>
<td>129 27.663</td>
<td>7767.663</td>
<td>1.58%</td>
<td>3.09146</td>
<td>88.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. (Compiler flags: "-O3 -q64 -qintsize=8 -qspillsize=1500 -qtbtable=full -qarch=ppc970 -qtune=ppc970 -lessl")

6.c.4. **MILC2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Processors</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Perfect Scaling</th>
<th>Scaling Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NFS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>NSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>NSF Small</td>
<td>NSF X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF Medium</td>
<td>NSF X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF Large</td>
<td>NSF X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF X-Large</td>
<td>NSF X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92.581</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>841.716</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>865.036</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1683.432</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>841.716</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>447.845</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>235.026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12.
### 6.c.5. **PARATEC2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Processors</th>
<th># Nodes</th>
<th># Cores</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Linear Scaling</th>
<th>Scaling Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>NSF Small</td>
<td>NSF Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>3794</td>
<td>661.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>2415</td>
<td>330.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2068</td>
<td>948.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13.**

### 6.c.6. **HOMME3**

Expanded data not available.
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