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1. Metaphor

Dwight Bolinger (1965:567) has described a dictionary as a "frozen pantomime" which presses between its pages the pale flowers of a nosegay of faded metaphors. It would seem that this not only applies to dictionaries, much the same can be claimed for grammar as well. This at least is suggested by observations made within the research project on grammaticalization in African languages which has been carried out at the University of Cologne since 1981. In this lecture some examples are provided to substantiate this claim.

It would seem that lexicographers working on African languages are still largely unaware of the potential that a study of metaphor offers to a better understanding of lexical semantics. In Somali, a Cushitic language of eastern Africa, the word il is used to refer to both an 'eye' and a 'spring of river'. Is this an example of "homophony"? A similar instance is found in Maasai, a Nilotic language, which uses one and the same word, en-kon, for 'eye' and 'spring', and exactly the same situation is encountered in Kikuyu, a Bantu language, where the noun ri-itho refers to both 'eye' and 'spring'. Hence, the same kind of "homophony" exists in all three East African languages, which belong to three different
language families. And in order to distinguish between
the two meanings, the same strategy is employed in all
three languages: The meaning 'spring' receives an attributival
modifier 'of water', that is, it is rendered as 'eye
of water' if it is to be distinguished from the former
meaning.

That we are not dealing with a case of homophony
is suggested in particular by the fact that in all languages
concerned a more pronounced cognitive relationship between
body parts and certain natural phenomena can be observed.
In Kikuyu, for instance, cases like the following have
been found where one and the same lexeme is used to designate
a body part on the one hand and a topographical concept
on the other (cf. Benson 1964):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lexeme</th>
<th>Body part</th>
<th>Topographical entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mütwe</td>
<td>'head'</td>
<td>'source of river, headwater of stream, head of a valley'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kiongo</td>
<td>'skull'</td>
<td>'place where a river bursts out from underground'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngingo</td>
<td>'neck'</td>
<td>'land between two rivers which converge closely and then separate to join further on'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikai</td>
<td>'cheek'</td>
<td>'broad sweep of bend of river in flood'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>numero</td>
<td>'throat'</td>
<td>'main channel of river, riverbed'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mūgongo</td>
<td>'back'</td>
<td>'ridge'.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underlying such cases there appears to be a process
whereby natural phenomena like topographical entities
are conceptualized in terms of body parts. As is well
known, this is not the only domain which exploits the body part metaphor. In Lugbara (cf. Crazzolara 1960), a Central Sudanic language, the parts of a house are rendered by terms denoting body parts, e.g.,

- **dz5 ale**
  - house stomach
  - 'interior of house'

- **dz5 drf**
  - house head
  - 'roof'

- **dz5 tilf**
  - house mouth
  - 'door'

- **dz5 mil6**
  - house eye
  - 'window'.

In all cases discussed so far, body parts serve as metaphorical vehicles for other physical concepts. But the body part metaphor is in much the same way employed to render non-physical entities, in particular human behaviour and feelings. Common, though by no means universal, metaphorical transfers in Africa include the following:

- head → intellectual capability
- eye → envy, desire, wish
- heart → courage, hope, conscience
- liver → cowardice
- hand → theft
- tongue → babbling, gossip.

It is obvious that metaphorical processes like these are of immediate concern to lexicography, and a dictionary which ignores them is likely to present a "frozen pantomime" of the lexicon, as Bolinger so appropriately termed it. In addition, however, metaphor may have grammatical implications. In the examples provided so far, metaphor involved
a transfer from one "thing-like" concept to another, even if the latter represented some non-physical, abstract entity. But metaphor need not be confined to what in Lyons' (1977:442ff.) terminology could be referred to as the same "order of entities", it may as well move a "first-order entity" to some other order. Such a transfer is likely to have grammatical effects.

Once again we may use the body part metaphor as an example. Another, probably universal, use of it can be seen in the expression of spatial orientation. In Africa, some more common transfers of this kind are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body part</th>
<th>Spatial concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'head'</td>
<td>'on (top of), above'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'eye'</td>
<td>'before, in front'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'back'</td>
<td>'behind'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'buttock'</td>
<td>'under, behind'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'belly'</td>
<td>'in (side)'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'heart'</td>
<td>'in the middle'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'foot'</td>
<td>'under, below'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the vehicle of this metaphor appears to be the human body, there is a second, less common, metaphor found in some African societies which uses an animal body, instead - to the effect that, e.g., a body part like 'head' designates 'before, in front', rather than 'above', and 'back' is used metaphorically to designate 'above', rather than 'behind'.

What is remarkable about the BODY PART TO SPACE metaphor is that it leads from "thing-like" to "non-thing-like" locative concepts, which again may be further metaphorized to temporal, manner, and other concepts. Apart
from its cognitive aspects, this process also has a noteworthy linguistic effect in addition: it turns nouns or nominal structures into adverbial constituents or word categories. For example, a complex NP like 'the back of the mountain' may turn into an adverbial phrase 'behind the mountain'. The result is that a lexical category, noun, develops into a more grammatical category, preposition or adverb.

The BODY PART TO SPACE metaphor is not the only example of body part nouns assuming a more grammatical function. For instance, nouns like 'body', 'head', and 'belly' frequently have been grammaticalized in African languages to reflexive pronouns (see Heine/Reh 1984:280), and the noun 'hand' has become a marker of possession in a number of African languages in accordance with the metaphorical equation "what is in my hand belongs to me" (cf. Claudi forthcoming).

2. The process

More recent research (especially Heine/Reh 1984) has established a number of details on the evolution from lexical to grammatical structures. Some factors underlying grammaticalization have been isolated in Claudi/Heine (in press). This lecture is confined to outlining some technical aspects of the process leading to the rise of grammatical categories. Typically, this process involves three stages which can be described thus:
Stage I: There is a linguistic structure referring to some physical object, event, or state.

Stage II: This structure is exploited to designate a more abstract, typically non-physical, content. The result is a state of ambiguity since one and the same structure is employed to express different contents.

Stage III: The semantic content of Stage I may be lost, with the effect that the new content introduced in Stage II is the only surviving one.

Two examples, both from Ewe, a Kwa language spoken in Ghana and Togo, now also referred to as Gbe, may illustrate the nature of the process involved. Ewe is a tone language, distinguishing two tonal registers. It has SVO as its basic order, though SOV order occurs in the progressive and ingressive aspects, and a possessor - possessed syntax. Further typological features are an analytic-isolating morphosyntax, serial verb constructions, and nominal compounding. The Ewe examples presented in this paper are taken from the unpublished M.A. thesis of Friederike Hunnemeyer (1985). The first example concerns the development from a verb, ná 'give', to a dative benefactive case marker. In sentence (1a) ná exclusively functions as a verb, in (1b) it can be interpreted as either a verb (i) or a case marker (ii), while in (1c) it forms a grammatical morpheme, a dative case marker:

(1) a  é-ná ga m. 'He gave me money.'
      s/he-give money me

b  é-wu gb3 ná m. i. 'He slaughtered a goat and gave it to me.'
      he-kill goat give/to me
      ii. 'He slaughtered a goat for me.'
The second example concerns the evolution of the lexeme be from verb to subordinating conjunction. The full verbal function of bé is present in (2a), while bé may be used as either a verb or, if followed by the synonymous verb gblɛ, as complementizer in (2b) and (2c), respectively. Finally, in (2d), bé acts exclusively as a complementizer introducing object clauses.

(2) a  é-bé nǔka?  'What did he say?'
           he-say what
(2) b  é-bé ye-á-vá 'He said he would come.'
           he-say he-SUBJ-come
(2) c  é-gblɛ bé ye-á-vá. Same meaning as (2b).
           he-say that he-SUBJ-come
(2) d  é-dí bé ye-á-lɛ-e. 'He wanted to catch him.'
           he-want that he-SUBJ-catch him

This process from lexical to grammatical structure, which has been described under the label "functional split" in Heine/Reh (1984:59ff.), has various implications for synchronic language structure.

The first is that it introduces a dynamic perspective into grammar. What appears in the synchronic structure of a given language is not necessarily any particular state, but a continuum stretching from the least to the most grammaticalized stage. For instance, all three main stages distinguished in the evolution of the lexemes ná and bé, as well as many possible intermediate stages, are represented in modern Ewe, and a competent speaker of the language has to be familiar with the entire range
of stages in order to correctly understand and use these units. Thus, the continuum nature of the grammaticalization process is immediately reflected in synchronic grammar.

With each subsequent stage a slightly different, more grammaticalized, meaning is introduced. The result is that there exists a chain of meanings differing from one another in their degree of grammaticalization. While these meanings exist side by side in the structure of a given language and therefore have to be accounted for in the description of that language, their presence and conceptual interrelationship can only be "explained" with reference to their diachronic raison-d'être.³

Second, due to the continuum nature of such a grammaticalization process, notions like "word category" or "constituent class" may be artificial entities which are inadequate for defining the exact grammatical status of the linguistic units concerned. For example, in sentence (1b), the unit ná may be translated either as a verb ('give') or as a preposition ('to'), or else as including the properties of both "word categories". It would seem that ná can be appropriately defined only with reference to the grammaticalization process as a whole. It occupies a specific position within this process, which means, for example, that it lacks certain verbal properties, such as the capability of being constructed in the progressive and inessive aspects, and exhibits certain prepositional properties, such as marking goal or benefactive case roles.
Similarly, an approach which takes the existence of discrete syntactic constituents for granted is likely to fail in such cases. The constituent structure of (1b) may be represented in the same way as something like either (3) or (4), but neither can be regarded as an adequate description of the syntax of (1b), which appears to be located somewhere between (3) and (4).

(3)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S^1 \\
\downarrow \\
S^2 \\
| \\
NP \quad VP \\
| \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
V \quad NP \\
| \quad | \\
e- \quad wu \quad gb5
\end{array}
\]

(4)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\downarrow \\
NP \quad VP \\
| \quad | \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
V \quad NP \quad PP \\
| \quad | \quad | \\
e- \quad wu \quad gb5 \quad n\acute{a} \quad m
\end{array}
\]

Without a more comprehensive knowledge about the nature of such continua, linguistic analysis in terms of discrete categories like "morpheme class" or "sentence constituent"
can present no more than rough approximations which are inappropriate for achieving descriptive adequacy. It would seem that prototype research offers a more promising approach, although it too is ill-suited to coping with certain problems of grammaticalization continua.

Third, a grammatical model that incorporates such continua as part of the data to be accounted for is able to systematically describe the relationship between certain units which otherwise are likely to be treated as semantically unrelated homophones. The word be for instance might be interpreted as a "homophone" designating a verb 'say' on the one hand and a complementizer 'that' on the other. The fact that these functions reflect differing grammaticalization stages of one and the same unit and, hence, can be regularly derived from one another is likely to remain outside the scope of linguistic descriptions that ignore grammaticalization continua.

Apart from its contribution to language description, the study of grammaticalization can also be pertinent to "understanding" language structure. For example a partial "explanation" may be provided for why in (2) there are two synonymous forms which differ considerably in their structure: The word be occurs both as a verb (2b) and as a complementizer (2c); the meaning 'say' is expressed by entirely different verbs in the two alternative sentences; and (2c) introduces the object clause ye-á-vá 'he comes' by means of a complementizer, while
there is no complementizer in (2b). All these differences are largely predictable within the framework of grammaticalization theory: the lexeme bé 'say' occurring as a verb in (2b) has been grammaticalized to a complementizer 'that' in (2c). As a result of this functional split, bé came to introduce a new type of object clause, and, hence, a new verb denoting 'say' was required, which is increasingly replacing bé as a verb. Since functional split implies that the earlier structure still exists while a new structure has come into being, there is a period of overlap where two functionally equivalent structures co-exist. The presence of the synonymous sentences (2b) and (2c) is indicative of this transitional period.

The "explanatory" parameter underlying the entire process appears to be mainly psychological, relating to concept formation. One aspect of this process involves the "principle of the exploitation of old means for novel functions" (Werner/Kaplan 1963:403), whereby concrete, clearly delineated concepts are employed to refer to less concrete, less clearly delineated entities. In a case like (2) for instance, the verb bé 'say' may be said to constitute the old means employed for a novel function, that of introducing dependent predications, or subordinate object clauses. Thus, a more concrete entity, a process verb, serves to present a less concrete concept, a syntactic relation. The main claim made here is that underlying the genesis of many grammatical categories
there is a process of displacement which has the effect that more abstract phenomena are conceptualized in terms of concrete, perceptual-motor experiences. This includes in particular the following kind of transfers:

a. From body part to spatial orientation,
b. from space to domains like time, manner, and possession,
c. from action to state/quality,
d. from physical to grammatical relation.

Conceptual displacement of this kind hence entails, among other things, that lexical material is used to present grammatical information. In the Ewe examples discussed above, the last two kinds of transfer (c and d) appear to be involved, whereby verbs denoting physical actions such as nä 'give' and bè 'say' serve to express static, non-physical concepts, i.e. grammatical notions such as case marking and clause subordination, respectively.

3. Grammaticalization and grammar

In the discussion above several points were mentioned where a concept-to-grammar approach is of importance to a theory of grammar. Attention was drawn to the distinction between continuity and discontinuity in grammaticalization. The former requires a theoretical framework which is not limited to the analysis of a finite set of discrete taxa and their interrelationship, but takes linguistic variation as the center rather than the periphery of
grammar and views language as a dynamic process evolving through space and time. The latter relates, e.g., to the nature of overlapping stages of grammaticalization, raising such issues as "free variation", "functional equivalence", "ambiguity", and the like. In short, these points suggest that existing grammatical models, both descriptive-iconic and theoretical-explanatory, may profit from incorporating findings on grammaticalization. In the present section, another Ewe example is examined to illustrate the immediate relevance of such findings in more detail.

3.1 The verb-to-adverb channel

Ewe has a small set of words which tend to fulfill an adverbial function, expressing the direction of an action:

\[
\begin{align*}
dzõ, \ yi & \quad \text{'away'} \\
\ddi & \quad \text{'down'} \\
vá, \ yē & \quad \text{'hither'}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Hunnemeyer\(^4\) refers to them as directionals. The following discussion is confined to one of them, \(\ddi\) 'down', which is characteristic of this set. This word occurs as a place adverb after verbs requiring a locative complement as in (5), or as a temporal adverb after verbs of saying or mental process verbs as in (6):

\[
\begin{align*}
(5) \quad \text{me-ts}ẽ \ e \ da \ \ddi. \quad & \quad \text{'I have put it down.'} \\
\quad \text{I-take it lay down} \\
(6) \quad \text{afákalá-wó gblọ-a nya \ddi.} \quad & \quad \text{'The soothsayers predict things.'} \\
\quad \text{soothsayer-PL say-HAB word ahead}
\end{align*}
\]
This word di may also occur as a place adverb after other verbs in the same sentence, but only if immediately preceded by an inanimate object NP, as in (7). If the object is animate then di behaves like a verb, i.e. it takes a subject prefix which shows agreement with the preceding object, as in (8):

(7)  wó-he e di.  'They pulled it (*him/her) they-pull it down down.'  
(8)  wó-he e wó-di.  'They pulled him/her down.'  they-pull it it-go:down

Finally, di also forms a verb having both an intransitive (9) and a transitive reading (10):

(9)  me-di le s5 dzf.  'I got off the horse.'  
I-descend be horse on

(10)  mé-nyé nye-é di ga là di o.  'It wasn't I who NEG-I I-FOC put:down money buried the money.'  
the down NEG

Note that in sentence (10) di occurs both as a verb and an adverb. It is hard to imagine how these different uses of di, and those of the other directionals, can be described in a meaningful way unless one reconstructs the process which gave rise to them. Underlying this process there appears to be displacement to the effect that adverbial notions are conceptualized in terms of action verbs involving transfers like the ones in (11):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(11)</th>
<th>Lexeme</th>
<th>Source concept (action verb)</th>
<th>Resulting concept (adverb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yi</td>
<td>'go (to)'</td>
<td>'away'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vá</td>
<td>'come'</td>
<td>'here, hither'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzó</td>
<td>'leave, go away'</td>
<td>'away'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di</td>
<td>'go down, descend'</td>
<td>'down'.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As has been demonstrated elsewhere (Claudi/Heine in press), such transfers can be described by means of a limited number of **categorial metaphors**. Thus, the transfer from action verb to adverb may be said to have been triggered by the metaphor A QUALITY IS A PROCESS, whereby adverbial qualifiers are expressed in terms of process verbs. In addition, the categorial metaphor AN OBJECT IS A PERSON appears to have been involved. Whereas the verbal concept is typically associated with a human agent, the adverbial concept no longer is, as can be seen in sentences like (8) where the erstwhile verb di may be associated with either an animate or an inanimate concept, or (7), where it no longer tolerates a human agent.

Another, more obvious, example is provided by sentence (1) presented earlier. The lexical unit nà 'give' typically requires a human complement, as is evident in sentences like (1a) and (1b). In (1c) however it has been grammaticalized to the extent that it no longer requires human complements, but may introduce inanimate concepts as well, in accordance with the AN OBJECT IS A PERSON metaphor.

The evolution from action verb to adverb is largely predictable within the framework of grammaticalization theory and accounts for some of the peculiarities in the use of di, like the following:

(a) Conceptual transfer precedes morphosyntactic shift. This means for example that in sentence (8) the shift from verb to adverb has been concluded conceptually but not yet morphologically: the lexeme di no longer has verbal meaning although it still maintains the inflections of a verb.
At first, grammaticalization does not affect the syntactic characteristics of the unit concerned. Thus, \( \text{di} \) occupies the position of a verb in a serial verb construction in sentence (7). Subsequently however the relevant unit acquires the syntax of the new word class it now belongs to. Accordingly, in sentence (5) \( \text{di} \) appears in the position reserved for locative complements, i.e., that of adverbials.

In accordance with the metaphorical structure of Ewe, \( \text{di} \) may serve to express more abstract concepts. In sentence (6), for instance, it has a temporal significance suggesting that a metaphor TIME IS SPACE has been applied. More specific uses of \( \text{di} \) can be observed in sentences like (12), where the phrase \( \text{dó (ga) di} \) 'put down (money)' acquired the metaphorical meaning 'save (money)'.

\[
(12) \quad \text{háfi wo-á-tu xó-a lá á-dó ga sugb } \text{di.}
\]

\text{before he-SUBJ-build house-DEF COMPL he-put money much down}

'Before he built the house, he had saved a lot of money.'

3.2. Synchronic grammar

These few examples may suffice to show that directionals of modern Ewe, such as \( \text{di} \), exhibit the whole range of uses from a full verb at the one end to an adverb at the other. This synchronic spectrum immediately reflects a diachronic process, the grammaticalization of verbs to adverbs. Predictably, it also reflects certain grammatical properties of this process. For example, since morphosyntactic evolution lags behind conceptual transfer,
are cases where these directionals are semantically adverbs although they still require a verbal morphosyntax.

It would seem that information of this kind has to be considered in a synchronic grammar which aims at both describing and "explaining" language structure. Apart from the verb-to-adverb continuum, much the same range of grammaticalizations can be observed in other parts of Ewe grammar. The examples of the verb-to-case marker and the verb-to-complementizer continua have been alluded to in section 2; various others could be added.6 The question that remains to be considered is: how should such information be included within synchronic grammar?

Traditional grammatical models tend to describe the relationship between, e.g., a verb and a more grammaticalized form of it such as an adverb, a case marker, or a complementizer either as one involving "homophones", or else involving a separate, "hybrid", word class combining properties of two existing classes (cf. Ansre 1966). None of these solutions accounts for the nature of grammaticalization continua and the significance they have for synchronic grammar. At the present stage of research it is not yet possible to exactly define this significance. It would seem that a grammar which aims at understanding the structure of a given language should provide information, inter alia, on (a) the conceptual base of grammaticalization, (b) the grammaticalization channels involved, and (c) the range of grammaticalizations each taxonomic unit exhibits.
With reference to a word like di, information of the following nature should be included: There is a common strategy in Ewe to express adverbial concepts by means of process verbs. Accordingly, a verb like di 'go down, descend' is employed to render the notion of 'down'. This triggers off grammaticalization, with the result that in modern Ewe there is a range of different uses of di, extending at the one end from a verb with all its inflections for tense, aspect, modality, person, and negation, which are characteristic of Ewe verbs, to an invariable adverb which may not take any inflections at the other end. In order to mark the dynamic nature of such units in a grammatical description of Ewe, they may be referred to in an abbreviated form roughly thus:

- di (verb → adverb)
- ná (verb → preposition)
- bé (verb → complementizer).

4. Conclusion

To return to the Bolinger phrase quoted in the introduction, there is real justification for viewing grammar as representing "the pale flowers of a nosegay of faded metaphors". To what extent the type of conceptual displacement leading to grammaticalization involves metaphor in the strict sense remains to be investigated. Furthermore, it is still unclear how the relationship between metaphor and processes like desemanticization (see Heine/Reh 1984: 36-39) or "semantic bleaching" is to be defined. The
evolution observed in Ewe from a verb 'give' to a dative case marker, for instance, on the one hand involves a metaphorical process whereby a dynamic verb serves as a vehicle for encoding a grammatical function, case marking, in accordance with the categorical metaphor A QUALITY IS A PROCESS, which uses events or processes as vehicles to express states or qualities (see Claudi/Heine in press).

On the other hand, this can equally be interpreted as an instance of semantic bleaching since the semanto-syntactic content of the relevant lexeme is narrowed down to one constituent part of that content, i.e., that of expressing a case relation. This interpretation would suggest that we are not dealing with a metaphor but rather with a process which can be regarded at best as one involving metonymic usage.

The main purpose of this lecture has been to demonstrate that processes such as the evolution from lexical to grammatical structure are not merely a matter of diachrony of language history but are also of concern for the synchronic linguist and grammarian. A better understanding of such processes can be pertinent, for example, to describing and accounting for certain dynamic aspects of language structure and to reconstructing parts of the cognitive base of grammar.

It is hard to determine exactly what position phenomena like the ones considered above should occupy in grammar. On the one hand one could think of a "core-and-appendage" grammar which,
in addition to looking at language as a static system, includes a chapter on grammaticalization and its implications for understanding language structure. On the other hand, one could conceive of a new type of grammar which views language as "a dynamic process evolving through space and time" (Bickerton 1973:643), one which is equally concerned with linguistic categories and with what happens between such categories. How these, as well as various other, alternatives are to be evaluated is entirely open to future research.

NOTES

1. I wish to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Society) for sponsoring this project. Furthermore, my gratitude is due to the members of the project, Mechthild Reh, Ulrike Claudi, Friederike Hünnemeyer, and Marianne Bechhaus-Gerst, as well as to Paul Newman and Fritz Serzisko for valuable comments.

2. Paul Newman (personal communication) informs me that a similar situation is found in West African languages. The Hausa noun idoo, for example, has both meanings as well, as is also the case with the noun yyi of Grebo, a Kru language of eastern Liberia.

3. Concerning the problem of achieving explanations in linguistics, see Lass (1980).

4. The present account is based on Hünnemeyer (1985:92-124).

5. This structure can be roughly reduced to the following chain of categorical metaphors:

QUALITY - PROCESS - SPACE - OBJECT - PERSON,

where OBJECT, PERSON, etc., stand for abstract categories which serve as metaphorical vehicles to any of the categories to their left (see Claudi/Heine in press). These categorical metaphors differ from the conceptual metaphors proposed by Lakoff/Johnson (1980) in representing more abstract, and more inclusive, relations.
Thus, underlying a given categorical metaphor there are a number of different conceptual metaphors. The QUALITY IS SPACE metaphor for instance may be said to include conceptual metaphors like the following (see Lakoff/Johnson 1980:15-17), which employ spatial orientation as a vehicle to express non-physical states or qualities: HAPPY IS UP, SAD IS DOWN; GOOD IS UP, BAD IS DOWN; VIRTUE IS UP, DEPRAVITY IS DOWN; etc.

6. For more examples, see Heine/Reh (1984).
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