

observations of only one of the samples and calculate the values of  $R_i$  in succession feeding them thereupon into the adder. Thus an apparatus with restricted memory could process quite effectively arbitrarily long sequences of pairs of observations.

4. In conclusion, the author wishes to express his gratitude to E. M. Gabidullin and D. M. Chibisov for the great number of suggestions during the writing of the paper.

*Received by the editors  
December 13, 1966*

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, *Mathematische Statistik*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [2] D. A. FRASER, *Nonparametric Methods in Statistics*, John Wiley, New York, 1957.
- [3] E. LEHMANN, *Testing Statistical Hypotheses*, John Wiley, New York, 1960.
- [4] G. E. NOETHER, *On a theorem of Pitman*, Ann. Math. Statist., 26 (1955), pp. 64–68.
- [5] D. VAN DANTZIG, *Consistency and power of the Wilcoxon test*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad., Amsterdam (Section of Sciences), A54, 1 (1951), pp. 1–8.
- [6] J. L. HODGES, JR. and E. LEHMANN, *The efficiency of some nonparametric competitors of the  $t$ -test*, Ann. Math. Statist., 27 (1956), pp. 324–335.
- [7] L. A. LYUSTERNIK and V. I. SOBOLEV, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1965. (In Russian.)

## ON THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF ONE SAMPLE RANK ORDER TEST STATISTICS\*

*M. L. PURI AND P. K. SEN*

### Summary

The asymptotic normality of a class of one sample rank order test statistics is established. This class includes among other test statistics the well-known normal scores test of symmetry developed by Fraser [2] and the Wilcoxon paired comparison test [8].

### 1. Introduction

Chernoff and Savage [1] established the asymptotic normality of a class of two sample non-parametric test statistics which includes among other test statistics the well-known Fisher-Yates normal scores statistic and the Wilcoxon statistic. With the aid of this theorem they also established that the test for translation based on the normal scores statistic is at least as efficient as the  $t$ -test. Their theorem was extended by the present authors [6], [7] in order to derive optimum tests for the  $c$ -sample univariate as well as multivariate problems. Recently, Govindarajulu, Le Cam and Raghavachari [3] established the results of Chernoff and Savage [1] and Puri [6] by relaxing some of the sufficient conditions required by them in proving the asymptotic normality. The purpose of the present note is to provide a formal proof of the asymptotic normality of a class of one sample Chernoff-Savage type test statistics which include among other tests the well-known normal scores test of symmetry developed by Fraser [2] and the Wilcoxon [8] paired comparison test.

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed random variables having an absolutely continuous distribution function (cdf)  $F(x)$ , defined on the real line  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . Let  $Z_{n,\alpha}$  be equal to 1 if the  $\alpha$ -th smallest observation among the values of  $|X_i|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is from a positive  $X$  and otherwise let  $Z_{n,\alpha}$  be equal to zero,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ . By virtue of the assumed continuity of  $F(x)$ , the possibilities of ties among the observations  $|X_i|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and of some

---

\* Prepared under Contract Non-r285(38) with the Office of Naval Research, and Research Grant GM-12868 with the National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service.

of them being exactly equal to zero may be ignored, in probability. We shall be concerned with the Chernoff-Savage [1] type of one sample rank order statistics which may be expressed as

$$(1) \quad T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n Z_{n,\alpha} E_{n,\alpha},$$

where  $E_{n,\alpha} = J_n(\alpha/(n+1))$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ , are functions of the ranks  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$  and are explicitly known.

The statistics given by (1) include as special cases a number of well-known test statistics. For example, if  $E_{n,\alpha}$  is the expected value of the  $\alpha$ -th order statistic in a sample of size  $n$  from the rectangular distribution  $(0, 1)$ , then the statistic  $T_n$  reduces to the one sample Wilcoxon [8] signed rank statistic. If  $E_{n,\alpha}$  is the expected value of the  $\alpha$ -th order statistic in a sample of size  $n$  from the chi-distribution with one degree of freedom, then the statistic  $T_n$  coincides with Fraser's [2] one sample normal scores statistic.

The function  $J_n(u)$  is defined only at  $u = \alpha/(n+1)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ , but we may extend its domain of definition to  $(0, 1)$  by letting it have constant values over

$$\left[ \frac{\alpha}{n+1}, \frac{\alpha+1}{n+1} \right), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n.$$

Further, we define

$$(2) \quad F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} (\text{Number of } X_\alpha \leq x),$$

$$(3) \quad H_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} (\text{Number of } |X_\alpha| \leq x) = F_n(x) - F_n(-x-0),$$

$$(4) \quad H(x) = F(x) - F(-x).$$

Then,  $T_n$  defined in (1), can equivalently be written as

$$(5) \quad T_n = \int_0^\infty J_n \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] dF_n(x).$$

## 2. The Main Theorem

**Theorem.** *If*

(I)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_n(u) = J(u)$  exists for  $0 < u < 1$  and is not a constant,

$$(II) \int_0^\infty \left\{ J_n \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] - J \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] \right\} dF_n(x) = o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

and

(III)  $J(u)$  is absolutely continuous for  $0 < u < 1$  and

$$|J^{(i)}(u)| = \left| \frac{d^i}{du^i} J(u) \right| \leq K \{u(1-u)\}^{-1/2-i+\delta} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1,$$

where  $K$  is some constant and  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{n^{1/2}[T_n - \sigma_n]/\mu_n \leq x\} = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

where

$$(7) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^\infty J[H(x)] dF(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_n^2 = & \int_0^\infty J^2[H(x)] dF(x) - \left[ \int_0^\infty J[H(x)] dF(x) \right]^2 \\
 & + 2 \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H(x)[1 - H(y)] J'[H(x)] J'[H(y)] dF(x) dF(y) \right. \\
 & - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H(x) J'[H(x)] J[H(y)] dF(x) dF(y) \\
 & \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty J[H(x)] [1 - H(y)] J'[H(y)] dF(x) dF(y) \right],
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

provided  $\sigma_n \neq 0$ .

PROOF. We write  $T_n$  as

$$T_n = \int_0^\infty J_n \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] dF_n(x) = B_{1n} + B_{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^4 C_{in},$$

where

$$B_{1n} = \int_0^\infty J[H(x)] d[F_n(x)],$$

$$B_{2n} = \int_0^\infty [H_n(x) - H(x)] J'[H(x)] dF(x),$$

$$C_{2n} = \frac{-1}{n+1} \int_0^\infty H_n(x) J'[H(x)] dF_n(x),$$

$$C_{2n} = \int_0^\infty [H_n(x) - H(x)] J'[H(x)] d[F_n(x) - F(x)],$$

$$C_{3n} = \int_0^\infty \left\{ J \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] - J[H(x)] - \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) - H(x) \right] J'[H(x)] \right\} dF_n(x),$$

$$C_{4n} = \int_0^\infty \left\{ J_n \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] - J \left[ \frac{n}{n+1} H_n(x) \right] \right\} dF_n(x).$$

The term  $\mu_n$  is finite by condition (III), and in the appendix we show that  $C_{in}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are all  $o_p(N^{-1/2})$ . Thus we require only to show that  $n^{1/2}(B_{1n} + B_{2n} - \mu_n)$  has asymptotically a normal distribution with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ , defined by (8). Now,

$$B_{1n} + B_{2n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n B(X_\alpha),$$

$$B(X_\alpha) = J[H(|X_\alpha|)]c(X_\alpha) + \int_0^\infty [c(x - |X_\alpha|) - H(x)] J'[H(x)] dF(x),$$

where

$$c(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \geq 0, \\ 0, & u < 0. \end{cases}$$

By an application of Fubini's theorem [5], it is easily seen that

$$\mathbf{E}_F\{B(X)\} = \mu_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}_F\{B(X)\} = \sigma_n^2,$$

defined by (8). Also by condition III,  $\mathbf{E}_F|B(X)|^{2+\sigma} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $B_{1n} + B_{2n}$  defined by (16) is the average of  $n$  independent and identically distributed random variables having mean  $\mu_n$  and finite variance, the asymptotic normality of  $n^{1/2}(B_{1n} + B_{2n} - \mu_n)$  follows from the central limit theorem.

**Corollary.** If (i) the assumptions of the above theorem hold, and (ii)  $F(x)$  is replaced by a sequence of cdfs  $\{F^{(n)}(x)\}$ , where  $F^{(n)}(x) = F(x + \theta/\sqrt{n})$ ,  $\theta$  being real and finite, and  $F(x)$  is symmetric about  $x = 0$ , then

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 J^2(x) dx.$$

The proof is an immediate consequence of the above theorem and is therefore omitted.

**REMARK 1.** Let  $J$  be the inverse of the chi-distribution with one degree of freedom. Then the statistic  $T_n$  reduces to Fraser's [2] normal scores statistic. The asymptotic Pitman efficiency of this statistic relative to the Student's  $t$ -statistic is

$$\sigma^2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f^2(x) dx}{\varphi[\Phi^{-1}\{F(x)\}]} \right)^2,$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of  $F$ ,  $f$  is the density of  $F$ , and  $\varphi$  is the density of the standard cumulative normal distribution function  $\Phi$ . This efficiency is the same as that of the corresponding tests in the two-sample or  $c$ -sample location problem and is known to be at least one for all  $F$  (see, e.g., Kendall and Stuart [4], or Puri [6]).

**REMARK 2.** Let  $J$  be the inverse of the rectangular distribution over  $(0, 1)$ . Then the statistic  $T_n$  reduces to the Wilcoxon signed rank statistic. The asymptotic Pitman efficiency of this statistic relative to the Student's  $t$ -statistic is  $12\sigma^2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(x) dx \right)^2$ . This efficiency is the same as that of the corresponding tests in the two-sample or  $c$ -sample location problem and is known to be at least 0.864 for all  $F$  (see, e.g., Kendall and Stuart [4]).

### 3. Appendix. Treatment of Higher Order Terms

The proof that  $C_{1n}$  is  $o_p(n^{-1/2})$  is essentially the same as in Chernoff and Savage [1], and that  $C_{4n} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$  follows from the assumption II. We shall show that  $C_{in} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$ . Let  $a_n$  be a value of  $x$  such that  $1 - H(a_n) = k_e/n$ ;  $S_{n,e} = \{x: 0 \leq x \leq a_n\}$ , where  $k_e$  is so chosen that

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{P}\{|X_\alpha| \in S_{n,e} \text{ for } \alpha = 1, \dots, n\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2,$$

$\varepsilon$  being arbitrarily small. Denoting  $\bar{S}_{n,e} = \{x: x > a_n\}$ , we have

$$(21) \quad n^{1/2}C_{2n} = \int_{S_{n,e}} + \int_{\bar{S}_{n,e}} n^{1/2}[H_n(x) - H(x)]J'(H(x))d(F_n(x) - F(x)).$$

From (20), we have with probability greater than (or equal to)  $1 - \varepsilon/2$ ,  $H_n(x) = F_n(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \bar{S}_{n,e}$ . Hence

$$(22) \quad \left| \int_{\bar{S}_{n,e}} n^{1/2}[H_n - H]J'(H)d[F_n - F] \right| = \left| n^{1/2} \int_{a_n}^{\infty} [1 - H]J'(H)d[1 - F] \right| \\ \leq n^{1/2} \int_{a_n}^{\infty} [1 - H]|J'(H)|d[1 - H],$$

since by (4),

$$(23) \quad H(x) \leq F(x) \Rightarrow 1 - F(x) \leq 1 - H(x) \quad \text{and} \quad dH(x) \leq dF(x).$$

Thus, using assumption III, (22) and (23), it readily follows that (22) is bounded by

$$(24) \quad Kn^{1/2}[u^{1/2+\delta}]_0^{k_e/n} = Kn^{1/2}[k_e/n]^{1/2+\delta} = O(n^{-\delta}) = o(1).$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad n^{1/2}C_{2n} = n^{1/2} \int_{S_{n,e}} [H_n(x) - H(x)]J'(H(x))d[F_n - F] + o_p(1).$$

Now  $H(x)$  and  $H_n(x)$  being the true and empirical cdf (respectively) of  $|X_\alpha|$ 's it follows precisely as in [3] that

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{P}\{|n^{1/2}[H_n - H]| \leq c(\varepsilon)[H(x)(1 - H(x))]^{(1-\delta)/2}\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2,$$

where  $c(\varepsilon)$  is a finite constant. Thus, with probability greater than or equal to  $1 - \varepsilon/2$ ,

$$(27) \quad n^{1/2}|[H_n - H]J'(H)| \leq K[H(1 - H)]^{-1 + \delta/2}.$$

Also

$$(28) \quad \int [H(1 - H)]^{-1 + \delta/2} dH < \infty,$$

and by Khintchine's law of large numbers, we have

$$(29) \quad \int [H(1 - H)]^{-1 + \delta/2} dH_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \int [H(1 - H)]^{-1 + \delta/2} dH < \infty.$$

Thus noting that  $0 < dF_n < dH_n$ ;  $0 < dF < dH$  we get from (28), (29) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$(30) \quad \int_0^\infty [H(1 - H)]^{-1 + \delta/2} d[F_n - F] \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0.$$

Hence, from (25), (27), and (30), we obtain  $C_{2n} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$ . Finally, noting again that  $0 < dF_n < dH_n$ , the proof of  $C_{3n} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$  follows as an immediate corollary to the corresponding proof for  $C_{3n}$  in the two-sample case considered in Govindarajulu, LeCam and Raghavachari [3].

*Received by the editors*  
*January 16, 1968*

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. CHERNOFF and I. R. SAVAGE, *Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain nonparametric test statistics*, Ann. Math. Statist., 29 (1958), pp. 972–994.
- [2] D. A. S. FRASER, *Most powerful rank-type tests*, Ann. Math. Statist., 28 (1957), pp. 1040–1043.
- [3] Z. GOVINDARAJULU, L. LECAM and M. RAGHAVACHARI, *Generalizations of Chernoff–Savage theorems on asymptotic normality of nonparametric test statistics*, Proc. 5th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., 1 (1967), pp. 608–638.
- [4] M. G. KENDALL and A. STUART, *The Advanced Theory of Statistics*, Vol. 2, Griffin, London, 1961.
- [5] M. LOËVE, *Probability Theory*, 2nd ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [6] M. L. PURI, *Asymptotic efficiency of a class of c-sample tests*, Ann. Math. Statist., 35 (1964), pp. 102–121.
- [7] M. L. PURI and P. K. SEN, *On a class of multivariate multisample rank order tests*, Sankhyā, Ser. A, 28 (1966), pp. 353–376.
- [8] F. WILCOXON, *Probability tables for individual comparisons by ranking methods*, Biometrics, 3 (1947), pp. 119–122.

## A REMARK ON WALD'S SEQUENTIAL PROBABILITY RATIO TEST

**I. L. ROMANOVSKAYA**

(Translated by B. Seckler)

It is well-known that Wald's probability ratio test, which is used to test two simple hypotheses  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ , is executed as follows: Two positive quantities  $A$  and  $B$  are chosen ( $A > B$ ). If

$$(1) \quad \log B < \sum_{i=1}^m z_i < \log A,$$

where  $z_i = \log[f(x_i, \theta_1)/f(x_i, \theta_0)]$  and  $f(x, \theta)$  is the probability density of the random variable  $x$ , the experiment is continued and the next observation  $x_{m+1}$  is made; if  $\sum_{i=1}^m z_i \geq \log A$ , hypothesis  $H_0$  is rejected (hypothesis  $H_1$  is accepted). Finally, if  $\sum_{i=1}^m z_i \leq \log B$ , hypothesis  $H_0$  is accepted.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.