Majorana edge modes of topological exciton condensate with superconductors
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I study the edge states of the topological exciton condensate formed by a Coulomb interaction between two parallel surfaces of a strong topological insulator. When the condensate is contacted by superconductors with a $\pi$ phase shift across the two surfaces, a pair of counterpropagating Majorana modes close the gap at the boundary. I propose a nanostructured system of topological insulators and superconductors that hosts unpaired Majorana fermions when and only when the exciton condensate forms. Therefore, measuring the Majorana signal in this structure provides a way of detecting the topological exciton condensate that is uniquely related to its topological nature. The relevant experimental signatures as well as implications for related systems are discussed.
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Introduction. Topological states of matter exhibit a form of quantum order, leading to precise quantization of certain physical quantities. Important examples of such topologically ordered states are provided by the hierarchy of quantum Hall states and time-reversal invariant topological insulators (TIs)\textsuperscript{5,7}. The combination of topological order with the conventional order arising from broken symmetries gives rise to special degrees of freedom, such as protected chiral or helical surface states and Majorana modes, that are otherwise not realized in nature. The study of these states is crucial to our understanding of the collective properties of matter and could be important for applications ranging from high-precision metrology to quantum computation.

Recently, a topological exciton condensate (TEC) was predicted by a group including the author to exist, due to Coulomb interaction, when a thin film of strong TI is gated so that electron and hole gases form separately on opposite surfaces\textsuperscript{8,9}. In the exciton condensate state, the system acquires a coherent tunneling amplitude between the two surfaces even though there is no direct electronic tunneling between them. It was shown that the topological nature of the TEC gives rise to fractionally charged vortices of the condensate. A similar system based on graphene has also been proposed and studied\textsuperscript{10–12} however, due to the higher multiplicity of Dirac cones, the exciton condensate in graphene is topologically trivial.

In this Rapid Communication, I study the structure of edge states of TEC and propose a way to detect the TEC experimentally that is intimately related to its topological nature. I show that gapless edge modes arise at the interface of TEC with other ordered states, reflecting the nontrivial bulk topology of TEC. Remarkably, a (nonchiral) Majorana channel exists at the boundary of TEC with a superconductor that spans the two surfaces with a $\pi$ phase shift, and is protected by a combination of fermion parity and time-reversal (T) symmetry.

In the laboratory, it might be better to substitute the thin film with a dielectric wafer sandwiched between two TIs. This design offers greater control on the dielectric screening of the insulating spacer.\textsuperscript{13} Figure 1 shows the schematics of the proposed setup for both a thin-film and a sandwich structure. As the superconducting phase shift across the Josephson junction is cycled through $2\pi$, the Majorana channel opens once, resulting in two unpaired Majorana modes moving along the channel in opposite directions. These Majorana modes exhibit non-Abelian fractional statistics and may be detected using a suite of experimental techniques that are currently used or being developed.\textsuperscript{15–19} This prediction can be exploited either to realize and manipulate unpaired Majorana fermions or, more significantly, to detect the TEC itself.

General considerations. The existence of the Majorana channel may be understood in terms of the Majorana edge modes on an isolated surface of a TI, which are found in the following two cases. First, there is a pair of counterpropagating Majorana edge modes at a domain wall separating (proximity-induced) superconducting regions with a phase difference $\pi$.\textsuperscript{20} As shown in Fig. 2(a), by folding this domain wall across the side surface to the opposite surface, these Majorana edge modes map to the ones described in this Rapid Communication. Second, there is a chiral Majorana edge state at the boundary of a superconductor on a single surface and a magnetic region dominated by the Zeeman energy. As shown in Fig. 2(b), by folding the magnetic region as before, we obtain a pair of counterpropagating Majorana edge modes in each surface living at the boundary between superconducting and antiparallel magnetic regions on the two surfaces. The mass term associated with the antiparallel magnetic order, indicated by $M_M$ in Eq. (1) below, anticommutes with the TEC mass term, i.e., they add in squares to give the square of the energy gap in a region with both orders. Therefore, we may adiabatically switch the TEC on and the magnetic region off without closing the bulk gap. Since this process couples the two surfaces through exciton tunneling terms, the pair of Majorana modes will survive only if protected by a symmetry. I will show that this is precisely what happens when the phase of the superconductor between the two surfaces is adjusted to $\pi$ and the symmetry is closely related to T symmetry.

Mass terms. The Hamiltonian describing the surface states of the system is $H = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{h}_0 \psi(\mathbf{r})$, where

$$\hat{h}_0 = \tau_z (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + V) + |m| \tau_\varepsilon e^{i\epsilon \tau_\varepsilon} + M,$$

and the spinor $\psi^\dagger = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sigma_\varepsilon \psi_{1\uparrow}, \psi_{2\uparrow}, \psi_{1\downarrow}, \psi_{2\downarrow}}$ has four components indexed by surface ($\alpha = 1, 2$) and spin ($\uparrow, \downarrow$) labels, with $\mathbf{v}$ the Fermi velocity, $\tau$ and $\sigma$ Pauli matrices acting on the surface and spin index, respectively, and $\mathbf{p} = -i(\partial_x, \partial_y)$ the momentum operator. Here, $|m| e^{i\epsilon}$ is the complex exciton order parameter, $V$ is a symmetric bias, and I have included...
FIG. 1. (Color online) Majorana fermions. The TEC is attached to superconducting leads and contacts with a phase difference $\delta \varphi$ between the top and bottom surfaces, (a) top view, and the proposed setup in (b) thin-film TI and (c) sandwich structures, where a dielectric wafer is sandwiched between two TI slabs. By tuning the setup in (b) thin-film TI and (c) sandwich structures, where a dielectric layer is placed between the top and bottom surfaces, (a) top view, and the proposed superconducting TEC contact, a pair of counterpropagating Majorana modes (arrows) form at the boundary with the TEC, resulting in two unpaired Majorana fermions (dots) at the intersection.

The Zeeman term $M = M_r \sigma_r + M_z \tau_z \sigma_z$ for the parallel ($M_r$) and antiparallel ($M_z$) Zeeman field components normal to the surface.

To include the superconducting order parameter, we pass to the Nambu spinor $\Psi_T = (\psi^T, i \psi^\dagger \sigma_y)$ and the Bogoliubov–de Gennes Hamiltonian $\hat{h} = (\hat{h}_0 + \Delta'_A \tau_z \sigma_z)$. The superconducting order parameter in each parameter has the form $|\Delta_0^\alpha| e^{i \varphi_0}$, so that

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2}, \quad \Delta' = \sqrt{\Delta_1' \Delta_2'},$$

where $|\Delta_1| = |\Delta_2|$, $|\Delta_1'| = |\Delta_2'|$, the total phase $\varphi = \frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2}$ and the phase shift $\delta \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, and $\Psi_0$, $\Psi_\pm$ are Pauli matrices acting on the Nambu index. Set $\Delta = 1$, for now, and consider other values later. We may write the full Hamiltonian as $\hat{h} = U' (\hat{h}_0 + \Delta') U'$, where $U = e^{i \varphi_0 \gamma_0 / 2} e^{|\Delta|^2 \tau_z \sigma_z / 2}$ and

$$\hat{h}_0 = \eta_0 \tau_z (V \vec{p} + V) + |m| \eta_0 \tau_x + |\Delta| \eta_0 e^{i \delta \varphi} |\Delta| m \tau_x, \quad \delta \varphi - 2 \chi$$

which shows only the combination $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi$ is physically significant.

These eight mass terms leave out two additional superconducting mass terms $\sim \eta_0 \tau_x \sigma_z$ and $\eta_0 \tau_x \sigma_z$ that mediate pairing between the two surfaces. They may be generated either by intersurface proximity effect via the superconductor or by an intersurface pairing interaction. I assume these processes are negligible.

**Symmetries.** The T symmetry is given by the antiunitary operator $T = i \sigma_y K$, with $K$ the complex conjugation and is broken for a general value $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi = 2\pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Physically, these values amount to having an in-plane flux. For $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi = (2n + 1)\pi$, $\Theta$ anticommutes with the last term in Eq. (3).

Note that $\eta_0$ also commutes with all the terms except the last term with which it anticommutes. Therefore at these special values, a new symmetry is obtained, given by the antiunitary operator $\mathcal{Y} = \eta_0 \Theta$. The eigenvalue of $\eta_0$ is the fermion parity. The structure of $\mathcal{Y}$ reflects the fundamental fact that in a topological superconductor $\Theta$ changes the fermion parity of a vortex defect.$^3$

**Majorana edge modes.** The edge modes for various phase boundaries can be understood in terms of the algebraic relations of the corresponding mass terms: if they anticommute, the gap will never close at the boundary and hence there are no gapless edge modes; if they commute, the gap closes at the boundary and a gapless edge channel opens. To see this, let us consider a boundary along the $y$ axis with $|m| - |\Delta| < 0$ for $x \to \infty$ and $x > 0$ for $x \to -\infty$ and set $M = 0$. For $V = 0$, we find the edge modes

$$\Psi(p_y) = C e^{i p_y \gamma} e^{i |m| - |\Delta| \sin(\delta \varphi/2 - \chi)/|\Delta| dr/\chi} \Psi_0,$$

where $\tau_x \sigma_0 \Psi_0 = s \eta_0 \sigma_0 \Psi_0 = -\Psi_0$, $s = \text{sgn}(|\sin(\delta \varphi/2 - \chi)|)$, and $C$ is a normalization factor. This eigenvalue problem has two solutions, which can be chosen as eigenstates $\eta_0 \tau_x \sigma_0 \Psi_0^\pm = \pm \Psi_0^\pm$ since $\eta_0 \tau_x \sigma_0$ commutes with both $\tau_x \sigma_0$ and $\eta_0 \sigma_0$. Projecting to the subspace spanned by $\Psi_0^\pm$ on which Pauli matrices $\rho$ act, the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\hat{h}_{10} = v \rho_x p_y + \delta \cos(\delta \varphi/2 - \chi) \rho_x,$$

where the overlap $\delta = \int \Psi_0^\dagger(x) \eta_0 \Psi_0^+(x) |\Delta(x)| dx$. The energy of the bound states is then found to be

$$E(p_y) = \pm \sqrt{v^2 p_y^2 + \delta^2 \cos^2(\delta \varphi/2 - \chi)}.$$

When $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi = (2n + 1)\pi$, the edge states form a pair of counterpropagating gapless Majorana modes along the edge. The degeneracy at $p_y = 0$ is protected by $\mathcal{Y}$ symmetry. The two solutions $\Psi_0^\pm$ are $\mathcal{Y}$ partners, i.e., $\mathcal{Y} \Psi_0^\pm = \pm \Psi_0^\mp$. Since $\mathcal{Y}^2 = -1$, Kramers theorem applies and ensures that a pair of zero-energy states survive in the presence of potentials that do not break $\mathcal{Y}$ symmetry. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is fundamentally connected to $\Theta$, this protection should be at least as robust as T symmetry itself. As a direct consequence, since $\mathcal{Y} \eta_0 \tau_x \mathcal{Y}^{-1} = \eta_0 \tau_x$, a nonzero $V$ term will not split the degeneracy. A $\Delta \neq \pm 1$ introduces an additional term $-\eta_0$, in Eq. (3) for $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi = (2n + 1)\pi$. Again, since $\eta_0 = \mathcal{Y} \eta_0 \mathcal{Y}^{-1}$, the gapless edge states persist.

**Unpaired Majorana fermions.** The above discussion suggests that an unpaired Majorana fermion must exist when $\delta \varphi$ contains a vortex of winding $2\pi$. Imagine starting with a circular droplet of TEC, as in Fig. 3, and slowly opening a hole with the superconducting order parameter where $\delta \varphi$ varies from 0 up to the pie angle. As the angle ranges from 0 to $2\pi$, at some point along the process the condition $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi = \pi$ is satisfied and a channel of counterpropagating Majorana modes open along the radius, thereby localizing a Majorana fermion at the center of the droplet and another at the boundary. Finally, the pie closes onto itself, creating a vortex of winding $2\pi$ in $\delta \varphi$ and leaving a single Majorana fermion at the vortex core and its partner at the boundary.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Constructing the Majorana edge modes by bending a single surface, starting from (left) a domain wall in the single-surface superconductor with $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = \pi$, and (right) the boundary between superconducting and magnetic regions.
The Hamiltonian (3), since we have mapped to $\varphi = 0$, the vortex in $\delta \varphi$ consists of $\pm \pi$ windings of the superconducting phase for each surface. Therefore, this symmetric description introduces branch cuts in the order parameter. A nonsingular description is obtained if $\varphi$ also contains a $\pm \pi$ winding, so that there is a full quantum vortex in one surface and none in the other. In other words, the vortex in $\delta \varphi$ appears as a magnetic monopole with flux $\hbar / 2 e$ penetrating one surface and then spreading between the planes. The single Majorana mode then lives in the surface containing the vortex.20,21

Discussion. Although expected from our general arguments, it is still remarkable that we find a single Majorana bound state for an $8 \times 8$ underlying Hamiltonian, $\hat{h}_s$. This is the same dimensionality as the low-energy Hamiltonian of the superconducting state of graphene have finite momentum. A related system is the family of iron pnictide high-temperature superconductors where there is evidence for $s^\pm$ pairing. Indeed, a recent study25 reached similar conclusions in a lattice model with an $8 \times 8$ Hamiltonian. However, it seems difficult practically to engineer a vortex configuration in these systems, since the phase shifts that need to be tuned occur in momentum space.

These findings can be utilized in two ways. First, they can be used to create and manipulate Majorana fermions. The protocols for doing so are similar to those proposed by Fu and Kane.20 In view of the increased complexity of the device designs, this may not be a particularly advantageous application. However, it is worth noting that compared to a single-surface setup,20 fewer superconducting junctions are needed and controlling the phase shift $\delta \varphi - 2 \chi$ by an in-plane field or a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) geometry may be easier. Second, and more significantly, the detection of the Majorana modes can be used as a proxy for the TEC. This opens the way to fundamentally different experiments and techniques13–18 to signal the formation of the TEC, which are not possible for other schemes of creating an exciton condensate, such as quantum Hall bilayers, graphene, or semiconductor quantum wells. I will briefly outline the procedure, discussing separately the thin-film and sandwich structures of Fig. 1.
In a thin film, when the two opposite surfaces are so close that there is direct electronic tunneling between them, the bulk TI is lost. There will be no Majorana signal in this case as its existence relies on there being helical surface states of the bulk TI. (Note that in the thin film the superconducting contacts must be placed outside the tunneling region.) The TEC is expected to form when the two surfaces are far enough so that there is no direct tunneling but are close enough so that the Coulomb interaction between the surfaces is not negligible. In this case, the Majorana signal can be used to infer the existence of interaction-mediated tunneling between the surfaces.

In the sandwich structure, when there is direct tunneling between the two surfaces, bulk TI behavior is expected to permeate across the spacer. There is a Majorana signal in this situation. Nevertheless, it is possible to differentiate this single-particle tunneling from the interaction-mediated tunneling of TEC, for instance, by studying the temperature dependence of the Majorana signal. This is based on the fact that the bulk TI gap, which is $\sim 300$ meV in B$_{12}$Se$_3$, is much larger than the typical TEC gap, which is expected to be $\sim 0.1$ meV. Therefore, the Majorana signal caused by the single-particle tunneling would not be sensitive to the TEC gap and is instead controlled by the superconducting gap as the temperature is increased. It should be pointed out, however, that it is unlikely that the thickness necessary to allow separate superconducting contacts inside the spacer will be in the range where direct intersurface tunneling is important.

It might appear that achieving a TEC and detecting Majorana fermions at the same time is a tall order. For example, the effects of disorder and screening should be studied further and, in a real experiment, one would need to optimize the geometry of the device and the interface. However, as shown in this Rapid Communication, the TEC and Majorana edge modes appear together, and their detection should not be more challenging than what is already achieved in recent experiments.$^{13-19}$

I would like to note that in the final stages of this project, I became aware of a separate work$^{27}$ where similar mass terms have been considered for the interface of a magnetic domain and a tunneling region.
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