Alkali feldspar dissolution and secondary mineral precipitation in batch systems: 3. Saturation states of product minerals and reaction paths
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Abstract

In order to evaluate the complex interplay between dissolution and precipitation reaction kinetics, we examined the hypothesis of partial equilibria between secondary mineral products and aqueous solutions in feldspar–water systems. Speciation and solubility geochemical modeling was used to compute the saturation indices (SI) for product minerals in batch feldspar dissolution experiments at elevated temperatures and pressures and to trace the reaction paths on activity–activity diagrams. The modeling results demonstrated: (1) the experimental aqueous solutions were supersaturated with respect to product minerals for almost the entire duration of the experiments; (2) the aqueous solution chemistry did not evolve along the phase boundaries but crossed the phase boundaries at oblique angles; and (3) the earlier precipitated product minerals did not dissolve but continued to precipitate even after the solution chemistry had evolved into the stability fields of minerals lower in the paragenesis sequence. These three lines of evidence signify that product mineral precipitation is a slow kinetic process and partial equilibria between aqueous solution and product minerals were not held. In contrast, the experimental evidences are consistent with the hypothesis of strong coupling of mineral dissolution/precipitation kinetics [e.g., Zhu C., Blum A. E. and Veblen D. R. (2004a) Feldspar dissolution rates and clay precipitation in the Navajo aquifer at Black Mesa, Arizona, USA. In Water–Rock Interaction (eds. R. B. Wanty and R. R. I. Seal). A.A. Balkema, Saratoga Springs, New York. pp. 895–899]. In all batch experiments examined, the time of congruent feldspar dissolution was short and supersaturation with respect to the product minerals was reached within a short period of time. The experimental system progressed from a dissolution driven regime to a precipitation limited regime in a short order. The results of this study suggest a complex feedback between dissolution and precipitation reaction kinetics, which needs to be considered in the interpretation of field based dissolution rates.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

As pointed out by Drever (2004) in his foreword to the surficial geochemistry volume of the Treatise on Geochemistry, one fundamental assumption in geochemistry that has received relatively little attention since the initial proposal, is that partial equilibrium may exist among mineral products from the dissolution of the primary mineral (e.g., feldspar) and the aqueous solution (Garrels and MacKenzie, 1967; Helgeson, 1968, 1970, 1971, 1974; Helgeson et al., 1969, 1970; Helgeson and Murphy, 1983). The term “partial equilibrium” was used by Helgeson (1979) to describe the feldspar–water system as an example, in which all aqueous species are at equilibrium with each other and (selected) secondary minerals are at equilibrium with the aqueous solution but the aqueous solution is not at equilibrium with feldspar. As a consequence of partial equilibrium, mass transfer processes in silicate weathering systems is regarded as driven by irreversible dissolution of the primary mineral (Helgeson, 1979). A large body of literature on silicate
weathering has focused on feldspar dissolution rates in soils and groundwater, with little references to the kinetics of product mineral precipitation (see reviews in Blum and Stil- 
lings, 1995; Drever and Clow, 1995; White and Brantley, 
2003; Bricker et al., 2004). Over the years, it has been 
shown through numerical modeling of feldspar hydrolysis – secondary mineral precipitation reactions, that if the part-

equilibrium assumption does not hold, aqueous chemis-
try evolution, mineral paragenesis, and reaction rates could 
deviate significantly from the Helgeson classic reaction path 
model (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990; Lasaga et al., 
1994; Lasaga, 1998; Zhu et al., 2004a; Ganor et al., 2007).
However, to date, the partial equilibrium hypothesis has 
yet to be systematically tested.

What has prompted us to re-examine this hypothesis 
proposed nearly half a century ago is its current relevance to 
the interpretation of the well known and extensively dis-
cussed apparent discrepancy between the field derived feld-
spar dissolution rates and those measured in the laboratory 
(Paces, 1983; Velbel, 1990; Brantley, 1992; Blum and Stil-
lings, 1995; Drever and Clow, 1995; White and Brantley, 
2003; Zhu, 2005; and references therein), which currently 
is under intense and active research. In general, field derived 
feldspar dissolution rates are two to five orders of magni-

tude slower than laboratory measured rates (ibid). Note, 
however, that when comparing field and laboratory derived 
rates, one must be careful that the former represent bulk 
effective rates and the latter are usually measured at 
conditions far from equilibrium (Zhu, 2005). Nevertheless, 
such a large discrepancy underscores our poor understand-
ing of some the fundamental chemical and physical pro-
cesses which control silicate reaction kinetics in nature.

Numerous hypotheses have been proposed in the litera-
ture to explain the persistent discrepancy between field and 
laboratory feldspar dissolution rates. These hypotheses in-
clude the possible armoring effects of the secondary miner-
als that coat the feldspar grain surfaces (Correns and Von 
Engelhardt, 1938; Correns, 1940; Helgeson, 1971, 1972; 
Luce et al., 1972; Paces, 1973; Busenberg and Clemency, 
1976; Chou and Wollast, 1984; Nugent et al., 1998), the 
possible effects of the leached layer (Luce et al., 1972; 
Busenberg and Clemency, 1976; Chou and Wollast, 1984; 
Hellmann et al., 1990; Brantley and Stillings, 1996; 
Hellmann, 1997; Nesbitt and Skinner, 2001; Oelkers, 2001), 
approach to saturation with respect to feldspars (Burch 
et al., 1993; Gautier et al., 1994; Oelkers et al., 1994; Oelkers, 
2001; Beig and Lutte, 2006; Hellmann and Tisserand, 2006), 
biological effects, inhibition of adsorbed Al$^{3+}$ on feldspar 
surfaces (Chou and Wollast, 1985; Gautier et al., 1994; 
Oelkers et al., 1994; Oelkers, 2001).

One distinction that differentiates the field and labora-
yory conditions is that weathering product minerals are of-
ten intimately associated with the primary minerals in 
nature (Banfield and Eggleton, 1990; Banfield et al., 1991; 
Banfield and Barker, 1994; Zhu et al., 2006; Hereford 
et al., 2007). Conversely, in laboratory experiments, the pre-
ception of product minerals was often avoided by adjusting 
the chemistry and rate of recirculation of the fluid phase. Recognizing the close association between the sec-

et al. (2004a) proposed a new hypothesis for explaining the laboratory–field discrepancy wherein the slow kinetics of secondary clay precipitation is the rate limiting step and thus controls the overall feldspar dissolution rate. Clay precipitation removes solutes from the aqueous solution, maintaining a condition of feldspar undersaturation, makes additional feldspar dissolution possible, but the slow clay precipitation (or smaller effective rate constants with re-
spect to that for the dissolution reaction, see below) results in a steady state in which the aqueous solution is near equi-
librium with feldspar. Therefore, slow clay precipitation could effectively reduce feldspar dissolution rates by orders of magnitude, in a fashion consistent with laboratory rates at conditions far from equilibrium, the control of dissolu-
tion rates by the Gibbs free energy of the reaction, and 
many field observations (Zhu et al., 2004a).

The potential control of slow clay precipitation on over-
all feldspar dissolution rates calls for rigorous testing of the 
above mentioned partial equilibrium hypothesis. Therefore, 
we have conducted a series of batch experiments of feldspar 
dissolution and secondary mineral precipitation at elevated 
temperatures and pressures (Fu et al., 2009). The new 
experiments are necessary because earlier studies, mostly 
conducted in the 1960s, typically did not (1) measure the 
aluminum concentrations that are necessary for saturation 
index evaluations; (2) analyze (or had the capacity to ana-
lyze with the techniques of the time) mineral products of 
nanometer size in great detail, or (3) take steps to amelio-
rate the influences of fine particles on the feldspar surfaces 
that were later found to produce parabolic rate law artifacts 
(Holdren and Berner, 1979).

This paper presents speciation–solubility modeling 
evaluating solid–fluid equilibria at discrete time points 
during physical experiments when fluid samples were ana-
yzed. These calculations were then used to constrain fluid 
evolution paths in order to determine whether the partial 
equilibrium assumption provides a reasonable prediction 
for the reaction paths measured. This approach, while 
providing only “snapshots” and not a full “movie” of the 
reaction paths as could be computed using a forward 
reaction path modeling approach, assumptions involved 
in applying such a numerical model (e.g., reactive surface 
areas and the forms of rate laws) which would introduce 
such potential disadvantages that it is unlikely that we 
could reliably test the utility of the partial equilibrium 
model. It is important first to establish the evaluation of 
the partial equilibrium hypothesis without these assumptions.

2. BACKGROUND

To facilitate the discussions that follow, let us start with 
the classical reaction path model of feldspar hydrolysis 
(Helgeson, 1968, 1971, 1979; Helgeson et al., 1969), which 
states that: (1) dissolution of primary feldspar is the rate-
limiting irreversible reaction and the driver of all processes 
in the system; (2) precipitation of all secondary minerals is 
instantaneous; (3) the aqueous solution is at equilibrium 
with or undersaturated with respect to all secondary miner-
als at all times; (4) fluid chemistry obeys the phase rule,
such that on our two-dimensional activity–activity diagrams (abbreviated as $a-a$ diagrams hereafter) at constant $T$ and $P$, if three phases are present then the fluid must follow a linear phase boundary until one of the solid phases is no longer present; and (5) secondary minerals dissolve and precipitate in a paragenesis sequence as aqueous chemistry evolves. This model has been described in nearly all geochemistry textbooks (see Anderson and Crerar, 1993; Krauskopf and Bird, 1995; Drever, 1997; Faure, 1998).

Consider the classic example of K-feldspar (microcline) hydrolysis at 25°C and 1 bar in a closed system (Fig. 1a). Because it is out of equilibrium, feldspar dissolves in pure water (with a little HCl) that initially contains essentially no Si, K, or Al. When the solution reaches point 1 on Fig. 1a, gibbsite forms and the solution is located in the gibbsite stability field. The aqueous solution has a saturation index (SI) of zero for gibbsite and is undersaturated with respect to kaolinite and muscovite. At point 2, kao-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point number</th>
<th>Time (y)</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Microcline</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Gibbsite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-4.21</td>
<td>-15.04</td>
<td>-11.85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.61</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.29</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.472</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.395</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.710</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Reaction path of the dissolution of microcline in pure water at 25°C. (a) Equilibrium activity–activity diagram; (b) calculated mineral saturation indices; and (c) mineral abundance versus time. Thermodynamic data were from Table 1a, except for gibbsite (Robie and Hemingway, 1995). A linear rate law with a rate constant of $10^{-12}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and a surface area of 0.13 m$^2$/g (for total of 0.8 mol feldspar) for microcline was used.
limite starts to precipitate. The coexistence of gibbsite and kaolinite buffers the activity of silica, and the fluid composition moves along the gibbsite–kaolinite boundary on the a–a diagram. As feldspar continues to dissolve, gibbsite is converted to kaolinite. Along 2 to 3, the saturation indices for both gibbsite and kaolinite are zero (at equilibrium) and for muscovite negative (undersaturated) (Fig. 1b). After all of the gibbsite is consumed, the fluid composition departs from the gibbsite–kaolinite boundary and traverses the kaolinite stability field toward microcline. Within the kaolinite stability field (point 4), the solution is undersaturated with respect to gibbsite (SI < 0), saturated with respect to kaolinite (SI = 0), and undersaturated with respect to muscovite (Fig. 1b). Between points 4 and 5, as K and Si concentrations increase, the solution reaches saturation with respect to muscovite, and muscovite starts to precipitate. The coexistence of muscovite and kaolinite buffers the activity ratio \( aK^+/aH^+ \), and the fluid composition moves horizontally along the muscovite–kaolinite boundary (points 5, 6). After all the kaolinite is consumed, the fluid composition departs from the kaolinite–muscovite boundary and evolves across the muscovite stability field towards K-feldspar. When the reaction path reaches point 7, quartz saturation is achieved. There are two different paths depending on whether quartz precipitates. If quartz precipitation is inhibited, the solution continues to evolve along the direction of point 6 → point 7, towards the K-feldspar field. If quartz precipitates, the solution would evolve along the direction of point 7 → point 8, i.e., along the quartz saturation line (dashed line). In the second case, muscovite and quartz both precipitate because the solution is supersaturated with both muscovite and quartz. It is also possible, depending on the starting point, that the reaction path would not encounter the muscovite stability but would reach the microcline field through the kaolinite–muscovite field (Helgeson, 1979; Steinmann et al., 1994). While the a–a diagrams depict the evolution of fluid chemistry (i.e., reaction path) in the feldspar–water system, the mineral abundance versus time diagram (Fig. 1c) depicts the sequential appearance and disappearance of gibbsite, kaolinite, muscovite, and quartz as the fluid moves from one stability field to another following the well known paragenesis sequence determined by thermodynamics.

However, Lasaga (1998) used different ratios of rate constants \( k_i/k_{f, i} \), where \( i \) stands for clay minerals gibbsite, kaolinite, or muscovite, and showed that reaction paths could deviate from the classic reaction path model if the secondary minerals are not at equilibrium with the aqueous fluid. These calculations used a simplified rate law \( R_i = k_i \Delta G_i \), where \( R_i \) stands for the rate of ith chemical reactions, \( \Delta G_i \) the Gibbs free energy of ith reaction, \( R \) the gas constant, and \( T \) temperature in Kelvin. The rate dependence on the hydrogen ion (Helgeson et al., 1984), adsorbed aluminum (Oelkers et al., 1994), or other complicating factors were not considered in these illustrative calculations.

The deviations from partial equilibrium are manifested in two forms. First, the fluid chemistry no longer evolves along the mineral stability boundaries, but enters into another mineral stability field across the boundary at an oblique angle (hence, the reaction path is different from the classic reaction path model in terms of fluid chemistry). Second, the secondary minerals precipitated earlier no longer dissolve completely as fluid moves into the stability field of another mineral lower in the paragenesis sequence, but persist for a duration determined by the rate constant ratios. For example, some gibbsite persists when the solution chemistry is in the stability field of kaolinite. There may be a region of coexistence of gibbsite and kaolinite, and even a region of coexisting gibbsite, kaolinite, and muscovite. These regions become larger as the ratios of \( k_i/k_{f, i} \) become smaller. Zhu et al. (2004a,b) showed that when the \( k_i/k_{f, i} \) ratios (or more precisely, the effective rate constants defined as \( k^* = k \times \text{surface area} \)) are in \( 10^{-2} \) to \( 10^{-4} \), clay precipitation becomes the rate limiting step and a steady state persisted at which feldspar continues to dissolve near equilibrium at a much reduced rate due to the free energy effect. The term of “slow clay precipitation” in this communication refers to this scenario (i.e., \( k_i/k_{f, i} \ll 1 \)). However, these numerical predictions were intuitive and illustrative. The partial equilibrium hypothesis has not been hitherto tested systematically against experimental data.

### 3. MODELING RESULTS

In this paper, saturation indices (SI) for relevant minerals were calculated from the measured temperatures \( T \), pressures \( P \), and chemical compositions of experimental aqueous solutions. SI is defined as \( \log(Q/K) \), where \( Q \) denotes the activity quotient and \( K \) the equilibrium constant (Zhu and Anderson, 2002, p. 45). Equilibrium activity–activity diagrams for mineral stability and phase relations were constructed to trace the evolution of the aqueous chemistry during the batch experiments. For all thermodynamic calculations, the standard states for the solids are defined as unit activity for pure end-member solids at the \( T \) and \( P \) of interest. The standard state for water is the unit activity of pure water. For aqueous species other than \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), the standard state is the unit activity of the species in a hypothetical one molal solution referenced to infinite dilution at the \( T \) and \( P \) of interest. Standard state thermodynamic properties for mineral end-members were taken from Holland and Powell (1998) except for boehmite (see Appendix A), for water from Haar et al. (1984), for Al-bearing aqueous species from Tagirov and Schott (2001), KCl(\text{aq}) from Ho et al. (2000), and all other aqueous species from Shock and Helgeson (1988), Shock et al. (1989, 1997), and Sverjensky et al. (1997) (Table 1a). The \( T \) and \( P \) dependences of thermodynamic properties for aqueous species, when applicable, were predicted using the parameters of the revised HKF equations of state for aqueous species (Helgeson et al., 1981; Tanger and Helgeson, 1988; Shock et al., 1992).

Calculations of equilibrium constants were facilitated with a modified version of SUPCRT92 (Johnson et al., 1992) with the heat capacity function of Holland and Powell (1998) for minerals. Speciation and solubility calculations were aided with the computer codes PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) and EQ3/6 (Wolery, 1992) together with our own equilibrium constant databases for
The programs with thermodynamic properties noted above. Activity coefficients for the charged aqueous species were calculated from the extended Debye–Hückel equation or B-dot equation fitted to mean salt NaCl activity coefficients (Helgeson et al., 1978; Oelkers and Helgeson, 1990). Activity coefficients for neutral or uncharged aqueous species were either set to be unity (EQ3NR) or calculated from the Setchenow equation with a coefficient of 0.1 (PHREEQC).
Activities coefficients for end-members of feldspar solid solutions as a result from compositional impurities were first neglected, but the impacts on calculated SI were later assessed in Appendix A.

In evaluating the aqueous speciation and mineral saturation states at experimental conditions, we used the pH and total analytical concentrations of the constituents measured at ambient conditions (e.g., ~22 °C and 1 bar) as input into the modeling codes and then “re-heated” the solution to experimental T and P. This method calculates the “in situ” pH at the experimental conditions by taking account of the effects of T and P on the distribution of aqueous species (Reed and Spycher, 1984). Owing to the relatively low experimental T, however, the calculated in situ pH values were close to those measured at ambient conditions in most cases, with an increase of 0.1–0.4 units for Section 3.1, 0.1–0.4 for Section 3.2, and 0.1–0.8 for Section 3.3, and 0.1–0.2 for Section 3.4. The large differences between ambient and in situ pH values in Section 3.3 are due to the use of acetate and sodium acetate buffer, which has a large temperature variance. Note that the experimental design in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 allowed for sampling of aqueous fluids from the on-going reaction at constant T and P without quenching the reactor (Seyfried et al., 1987). Back reactions and precipitation of solids generally were not a concern.

In order to test whether the conclusions still stand when different sets of thermodynamic properties are used, SI values for primary minerals and product minerals were also calculated using three additional sets of thermodynamic properties for mineral end-members and aqueous species. These datasets are referred to A, B, and C in the following discussion, and their sources of thermodynamic properties are listed in Table 1b. The SI values calculated using these three datasets are presented as open symbols in figures of temporal evolution of SI values, together with the baseline case (“BL” represented by solid symbols) described in the preceding paragraphs. The equilibrium constants and calculated values of SI from these three datasets are shown in the Electronic Annex. Table 2 summarizes the batch experiments, for which geochemical modeling was carried out.

### 3.1. Alkali feldspar dissolution and clay precipitation

#### 3.1.1. Experimental design and results

Two batch experiments for alkali feldspar dissolution in ~0.20 m KCl solution at an initial pH of 3.1 were conducted at 200 °C and 300 bars in a flexible Au/Ti reaction cell, which was placed in a steel-alloy autoclave (Fu et al., 2009). “Orthoclase” crystals having an average size of ~0.5 cm were obtained from WARD’S Natural Sciences Establishment. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) results show that the feldspar samples were perthitic alkali feldspars and were composed of 35% low albite (C_0.04Na_0.95K_0.01Al_1.04Si_2.96O_8), 60% orthoclase (K_0.83Na_0.15Al_1.00Si_2.92O_8), and 5% quartz. A time series of in situ aqueous samples was analyzed for Cl, Si, Al, K, Na, and Ca as well as trace elements. One experiment was terminated at the end of 120 h, and the solids in the experiment were recovered for analysis. XRD and High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) results showed boehmite and trace amount of kaolinite. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) examination showed dissolution channels and the feldspar surfaces were covered with product minerals. The second experiment was terminated after 1872 h. XRD of the solids detected boehmite and kaolinite, but no detectable muscovite. SEM images of the reaction products after the batch experiments showed that hexagonally shaped secondary minerals were present on the grains of alkali feldspars, but with no clear orientation or preferential sites on the feldspar surfaces. Lamellar channels and etch pits on the surface of alkali feldspars indicated dissolution heterogeneity. The surface coverage of the secondary minerals was approximately 20%.

#### 3.1.2. Reaction paths and mineral saturation indices

The time evolution of aqueous chemistry in the system Na_2O–K_2O–(Al_2O_3)–SiO_2–H_2O–HCl system is traced on
a–a diagrams (Fig. 2). The parentheses on Al₂O₃ indicate that Al₂O₃ is used as the balancing component in writing reversible reactions for this system. Although three-dimensional (3D) phase diagrams with axes of logₐSiO₂aq−log(aK⁺/aH⁺)−log(aNa⁺/aH⁺) represent the reaction paths more realistically, the 3D diagrams in publications are difficult to view without the ability to rotate the axes. Therefore, although we constructed the 3D a–a diagrams and used them for data analysis, we present the a–a diagrams in two-dimensions (2D), which can be viewed as the 2D projection of the three-dimensional diagrams on the plane that intersects zero on the third axis. Because this experiment is a K⁺-dominated system with respect to Na⁺, we present the 2D logₐSiO₂aq−log(aK⁺/aH⁺) a–a diagrams, which approximately portray the phase relations pertaining to the experimental system. Microcline was used in place of orthoclase because thermodynamic properties for orthoclase are not available in the database of Holland and Powell (1998) or any other databases known to us. To illustrate the temporal evolution of the system, we added time as the vertical axis in Fig. 2b.

The solution chemistry fell within the boehmite stability field after 24 h. The 120 h sample showed that the aqueous fluid crossed the boehmite–kaolinite boundary and entered into the kaolinitic stability field. Fluid chemistry fell in the muscovite stability field from 216 to 1872 h, but muscovite was not detected in the products (Fu et al., 2009). Dissolution of the microcline should have stopped at 456 h because the microcline was supersaturated at this point (see below), but the fluid chemistry did not reach the microcline stability field even at the end of the experiment. It should be noted that the conventional “stability fields” represent equilibrium phase relationships, but the saturation indices were determined by speciation-solubility calculations with a numerical code.

Although albite continued to dissolve in the experiment (Fu et al., 2009), the 2D logₐSiO₂aq−log(aNa⁺/aH⁺) diagram is omitted here because it gives misleading projection that samples 3–7 fell within the kaolinite stability. In the 3D a–a diagram (not shown), it is clear that these samples fell within the muscovite stability field in this K⁺-dominated system.

SI values of the minerals of interest were calculated at each sampling time (Table 3). All sampled solutions were undersaturated with respect to albite (i.e., the major reactant), but the experimental solution changed from undersaturation with respect to microcline from 24 to 216 h to supersaturation at 456 h. The solutions reached supersaturation with respect to boehmite, kaolinite, and muscovite at the earliest sampling time of 24 h, and these phases continued to be supersaturated during the entire experiment (Fig. 3 and Table 3). Boehmite SI decreased slightly from 24 to 216 h coinciding with the fluid chemistry entries into the kaolinite field, increased to a maximum of 2.4 at 456 h, and then decreased toward the end of the experiment. Kao- linite SI showed a maximum at 456 h, and then decreased toward the end of the experiment.

The supersaturation of kaolinite and muscovite while the fluid chemistry is located in the boehmite stability field, and the supersaturation of boehmite while the fluid chemistry is located in the kaolinite and muscovite fields, indicate a departure from partial equilibria between the aqueous solution and the secondary phases. Lasaga (1998) found muscovite supersaturation while the fluid is in the kaolinite field in the reaction path simulations that incorporated kinetics of secondary mineral dissolution and precipitation.

The solution was undersaturated with respect to paragonite from 24 h to 216 h, but supersaturated from 456 h to 1872 h (Table 3) while the solution was located in the muscovite stability field. The sampled solutions were undersaturated with respect to quartz up to 816 h, but close to equilibrium with quartz after 1368 h (Table 3).

The conclusion of supersaturation of product minerals for the baseline case (with solid symbols and connecting

---

**Table 2**

Summary of feldspar dissolution experiments used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Reactant</th>
<th>SA (m²/g)</th>
<th>Initial pH at 25 °C</th>
<th>Solution chemistry</th>
<th>T °C, P bar (2)</th>
<th>Reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Secondary minerals</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Alkali–feldspar</em> (35% low albite; 60% orthoclase; 5% quartz)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.02 m KCl</td>
<td>200, 300</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>Boehmite + Kaolinite</td>
<td>Fu et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alkali–feldspar</em> (35% low albite; 60% orthoclase; 5% quartz)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.20 m KCl + 0.05 m CO₂</td>
<td>200, 300 648</td>
<td>Boehmite + Kaolinite</td>
<td>Appendix B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>0.00333 (m²/L)</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.03 m NaAc + HAc</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8520</td>
<td>Bhm + Mod. Bhm(3) Murakami et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>Bhm + Mod. Bhm(3) Murakami et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Bhm + Mod. Bhm(3) Murakami et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>+ Kaolinite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low albite</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.8–8.9</td>
<td>0.1 m KHCO₃</td>
<td>300, 88</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Alekseyev et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>8.8–8.9</td>
<td>0.1 m NaHCO₃</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Analcime</td>
<td>(1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) SA stand for specific BET surface area except for anorthite, which is geometric SA measured by microscopic observations; (2) T °C, P bar refer temperature and pressure; (3) Bhm and Mod. Bhm refer to boehmite and modified boehmite, respectively, as reported in Murakami et al. (1998).
lines in Fig. 3) seems to sustain when different sets of thermodynamic properties are used (Fig. 3, open symbols and labeled as “A”, “B”, “C”, see Table 1b). In other words, the uncertainties of the thermodynamic properties for mineral end-members and aqueous species are not large enough to result in indications of opposite reaction directions for the experiments examined. To evaluate the baseline case further, the propagated errors in SI values were calculated for 95% confidence interval from the reported standard deviation of enthalpy of formation at 25°C alone (Hemingway et al., 1991; Holland and Powell, 1998).

The reaction path from sample at 816 h to samples at 1368 h and 1872 h requires the total consumption of boehmite in the partial equilibrium case. However, as noted earlier, the prolonged experiment did not show the disappearance of boehmite even though the solution chemistry had drastically departed from the boehmite field (Fig. 2b). In other words, the experimental data did not show sequential mineral dissolution and precipitation or paragenesis from boehmite to kaolinite to muscovite, as predicted by theoretical calculations assuming partial equilibrium between the product minerals and the aqueous solution with evolving chemistry. It should also be noted that the solution reached boehmite supersaturation very quickly after the experiment commenced (the earliest sampling time was 24 h after the experiment started).

### 3.2. Alkali feldspar dissolution and clay precipitation in CO2 Charged systems

#### 3.2.1. Experimental design and results

These two batch experiments for alkali feldspar dissolution were similar in design to those presented in the preceding section. However, the experiments differed by adding 50 mM CO2 into the experimental charge. One experiment was terminated at the end of 120 h, and a second experiment was terminated after 648 h. SEM images of the reaction products showed extensive dissolution features and secondary mineral coverage on feldspar grain surfaces. TEM study detected boehmite in samples after 120 h reaction, and XRD patterns indicated boehmite and possibly muscovite in the 648 h sample. The fluid chemistry data are presented in Appendix B.

#### Table 3

Mineral saturation indices at each sampling time in experiments for the alkali feldspar dissolution in 0.2 m KCl solution at 200°C and 300 bars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>In situ pH</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Boehmite</th>
<th>Diaspore</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Microcline</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Paragonite</th>
<th>Pyrophyllite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>-2.75</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(120)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-4.80</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>816</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1368</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1872)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Solution chemistry data are from Fu et al. (2009). The parentheses on time in the first column on left denote to the time that the experiment was terminated and solids were recovered for characterization. In situ pH was calculated from the speciation modeling. Italic values highlight supersaturation.*
3.2.2. Reaction paths and mineral saturation indices

The reaction paths from these two batch experiments (Fig. 4) are different from those in the counterpart experiments without CO\textsubscript{2} (cf. Fig. 2) because they started with a higher initial pH so that the kaolinite field was skipped. SI values of the minerals of interest are listed in Table 4. All sampled solutions were undersaturated with respect to albite, but the experimental solution changed from undersaturation with respect to microcline to supersaturation after 24 h. As in the experiments without CO\textsubscript{2}, the solutions attained supersaturation with respect to boehmite, pyrophyllite, kaolinite, and muscovite at the earliest sampling time of 24 h, and these phases were supersaturated during the entire experiment (Fig. 5 and Table 4). The supersaturation of muscovite while the fluid chemistry has located in the boehmite stability field, and the supersaturation of boehmite while the fluid chemistry was located in the muscovite stability field are, again, indicative of a departure from partial equilibria between the aqueous solution and secondary phases (Lasaga, 1998).

Examining the partial equilibrium assumption in the feldspar–water systems

3.2.2. Reaction paths and mineral saturation indices

The reaction paths from these two batch experiments (Fig. 4) are different from those in the counterpart experiments without CO\textsubscript{2} (cf. Fig. 2) because they started with a higher initial pH so that the kaolinite field was skipped. SI values of the minerals of interest are listed in Table 4. All sampled solutions were undersaturated with respect to albite, but the experimental solution changed from undersaturation with respect to microcline to supersaturation after 24 h. As in the experiments without CO\textsubscript{2}, the solutions attained supersaturation with respect to boehmite, pyrophyllite, kaolinite, and muscovite at the earliest sampling time of 24 h, and these phases were supersaturated during the entire experiment (Fig. 5 and Table 4). The supersaturation of muscovite while the fluid chemistry has located in the boehmite stability field, and the supersaturation of boehmite while the fluid chemistry was located in the muscovite stability field are, again, indicative of a departure from partial equilibria between the aqueous solution and secondary phases (Lasaga, 1998).

Similar to what Steinmann et al. (1994) illustrated in classroom exercises at room temperature, the fluid chemistry would be fixed at a point on the boehmite–muscovite boundary for the partial equilibrium case because the reaction
\[
\text{AlOOH (Boehmite)} + \text{KAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 (\text{K-feldspar}) \\
\rightarrow \text{KAl}_3\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2 (\text{Muscovite})
\]
does not change aqueous compositions of the system. However, the experimental data show that the aqueous fluid departed from the boehmite–muscovite boundary while boehmite was still observed at the end of the experiment. Apparently, partial equilibria were not observed.

3.3. Anorthite dissolution batch experiments

3.3.1. Experimental design and results

Murakami et al. (1998) performed three series of batch experiments for anorthite (An\textsubscript{95}Ab\textsubscript{5}) dissolution in 0.03 M sodium acetate at 90, 150, and 210 °C with a room temperature initial pH of 4.56. Single crystals of anorthite were prepared by crushing and pieces with fresh surfaces approximately 1 × 1 × 1 mm in size were selected. The geometric surface areas of the single crystals were estimated under a light microscope (Table 2). The crystals were washed ultrasonically in acetone in an attempt to remove fine particles...
from the surface. Experimental runs were from 72 h to 8520 h. After the run, the reaction vessel was cooled to room temperature within 30 min, and the aqueous solution was filtered with 0.22 μm filters. Secondary minerals formed during the experiments were identified with XRD, SEM, and HRTEM. A sequence of secondary minerals, including boehmite, “modified boehmite” with silica, and kaolinite, was formed with increasing reaction time. Table 5 summarizes their reported experimental times when the different product minerals were observed.

3.3.2. Reaction path and mineral saturation indices

The time evolution of Murakami et al.’s (1998) aqueous solution chemistry in the system CaO–Na2O–(Al2O3)–SiO2–H2O–HCl–acetate was traced on the a–a diagrams (Fig. 6). In all experiments, the solution chemistry quickly evolved from the boehmite stability field to the kaolinite stability field after 240, 72, and 72 h, at 90, 150, and 210 °C, respectively. The solution chemistry stayed within the kaolinite field with little change for thousands more hours. This results in an almost vertical trend on the 3D a–a–t diagrams (Fig. 6b). Murakami et al. (1998) found that boehmite and “modified boehmite” are the main product minerals from anorthite dissolution at 90, 150, and 210 °C. Kaolinite was found after 2328 h of experiment at 210 °C, long after the solution chemistry had entered into the kaolinite stability field.

The solutions were supersaturated with respect to boehmite and kaolinite during the entire experiments at 90, 150, and 210 °C (Fig. 7 and Table 5). Kaolinite supersaturation occurred when the fluid chemistry was still located in the boehmite field. The solutions were undersaturated with respect to anorthite during the 90 °C experiment, but changed from undersaturation to supersaturation at 150 °C after 240 h, and 210 °C after 816 h (Fig. 7 and Table 5). At 90 and 150 °C, anorthite SI did not vary monotonically. There was an increase, and then a decrease with time, probably coincident with precipitation of product mineral(s). Murakami et al. (1998) believed that anorthite dissolution continued during the entire experiment as evidenced by the continued increase of Ca2+ concentrations. Therefore, the calculated SI (>0) of anorthite in the experiments could result from uncertainties of thermodynamic properties for anorthite.

![Figure 4. Activity–activity diagrams showing the phase relations in the system K2O–Al2O3–SiO2–H2O–HCl at 200 °C and 300 bars. The dashed line in (a) denotes quartz saturation. Solid dots represent experimental results of alkali feldspar dissolution in 0.2 m KCl and 0.05 m CO2 solution at 200 °C and 300 bars (cf. Section 3.2 and Appendix B). The activity and activity ratios were obtained from speciation modeling of the experimental system Na2O–K2O–Al2O3–SiO2–H2O–HCl–CO2, based on the experimental solution chemistry data in Appendix B and equilibrium constants listed in Table 1a. The red dashed line in (b) connecting experimental data is for visualization of fluid chemical evolution. (For interpretation of color mentioned in this figure legend the reader is referred to the Web version of the article.)](image)

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>In situ pH</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Boehmite</th>
<th>Diaspore</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Microcline</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Paragonite</th>
<th>Pyrophyllite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>–5.13</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>–0.88</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>–1.04</td>
<td>–0.96</td>
<td>–0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(120)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–2.41</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>–0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>–2.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>–1.94</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>–1.22</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>–0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(648)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>–1.17</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>–0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a See solution analytical data in Appendix B. The parentheses on time in the first column on left denote to the time that the experiment was terminated and solids were recovered for characterization. In situ pH was calculated from the speciation modeling. Italic values highlight supersaturation.
which show an unusually large range in the literature (Arnórsson and Stefánsson, 1999). The experimental solutions were also supersaturated with respect to paragonite. The experimental solutions were close to quartz saturation at the end of the experiments.

3.4. Sanidine and albite dissolution experiments

Alekseyev et al. (1997) conducted two series of batch experiments. The first one was for sanidine (KNa$_{0.03}$Al$_{0.99}$Si$_3$O$_8$) dissolution in 0.1 m NaHCO$_3$ solution and the second series for low albite (Na$_{0.97}$K$_{0.02}$AlSi$_{3.01}$O$_8$) dis-solution in 0.1 m KHCO$_3$ solution, both at 300 °C and 88 bars and with duration as long as 1848 h (Alekseyev et al., 1997). The pH was buffered by the dissolved carbonate and bicarbonate to near 9. XRD and SEM results indicate that the secondary minerals formed for sanidine and albite dissolution were analcime (NaAlSi$_2$O$_6$·H$_2$O) (after ~7 h) and sanidine (after ~16 h), respectively. Alekseyev et al. (1997) carried out speciation–solubility modeling to
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Product minerals</th>
<th>pH (in situ)</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Anorthite</th>
<th>Boehmite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Paragonite</th>
<th>Pyrophyllite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90/C0</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>-3.16</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>-3.16</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.1 Sanidine dissolution in NaHCO₃ solution

The evolution of the aqueous solution chemistry during Alekseyev et al.'s (1997) experiments is depicted in the a–a diagrams for the Na₂O–K₂O–(Al₂O₃)–SiO₂–H₂O–CO₂ system, projected for the zero log (aK⁺/aH⁺) for the Na-dominated experimental system (Fig. 8). The solution chemistry evolved from the paragonite stability field to the analcime stability field after 0.25 h. The solution stayed in the analcime stability field from 0.25 to 7 h, and entered into the albite stability field and stayed there until the end of the experiment (16–1848 h) (Fig. 8b). Even though the solution chemistry fell in the albite stability field, albite was not detected with either XRD or SEM and was undersaturated (see below). Alekseyev et al. (1997) observed analcime precipitation from 16 to 1848 h. Because both the pH of the solution was buffered around 9 and Na⁺ was predominant, the aNa⁺/aH⁺ ratios stayed nearly constant.

Saturation indices for minerals of interest were calculated for each experiment (Fig. 9 and Table 6). The solutions were undersaturated with respect to sanidine during the entire experiment (Fig. 9 and Table 6). The solutions were supersaturated with respect to analcime and albite during 7 to 72 h and 16 to 72 h reaction time, respectively. During the other time intervals, the solutions were undersaturated with respect to analcime and albite. The apparent conflict between calculated SI < 0 values and the observation of continued analcime precipitation (Alekseyev et al., 1997) is puzzling, but could be related to uncertainties in the thermodynamic properties for analcime. As a member of the zeolite group, analcime may have a range of chemical compositions and structural details. Our calculated analcime SI values are within ~0.2 units of those calculated by Alekseyev et al. (1997) who used different thermodynamic properties but also showed negative SI while reporting analcime precipitation. However, it is clear from the experimental data that, although the experiments had progressed into the albite stability field, analcime is the mineral that was detected.

3.4.2 Albite dissolution in KHCO₃ solution

Activity–activity diagrams projected for the zero log (aNa⁺/aH⁺) for this K-dominated experimental system in the K₂O–Na₂O–(Al₂O₃)–SiO₂–H₂O–CO₂ system are shown in Fig. 10. The solution chemistry evolved from the muscovite stability field to the sanidine stability field after only 0.5 h. For the next 16 h, fluid chemistry moved horizontally in the sanidine field as the aK⁺/aH⁺ ratios...
were buffered and silica activity increased with time. Thereafter, the fluid chemistry changed little from 16 to 1848 h within the sanidine field, which is manifested on overlapping data points on the 2D diagram (Fig. 10a).

Fig. 6. Activity–activity diagrams showing the phase relations in the system CaO–(Al₂O₃)–SiO₂–H₂O at 90 °C (a and b), 150 °C (c and d), and 210 °C (e and f) and pressures of vapor saturation. The dashed lines in (a, c and e) denote quartz saturation. Solid dots represent experimental results of anorthite dissolution in 0.03 M NaAc solutions, which were published in Murakami et al. (1998). The activity and activity ratios were obtained from speciation modeling of the experimental system CaO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–H₂O–HAc–NaAc, based on the experimental solution chemistry data from Murakami et al. (1998) and equilibrium constants listed in Table 1a. The red dashed lines in (b, d and f) connecting experimental data are for visualization of fluid chemical evolution. (For interpretation of color mentioned in this figure legend the reader is referred to the Web version of the article.)
Fig. 7. (a) Calculated saturation indices for anorthite dissolution experiments (Murakami et al., 1998) at 90 °C. See the caption for Fig. 3 for notations. The bars in the boehmite graph indicate the time scale when the respective phases were observed in the mineralogical analysis. (b) Calculated saturation indices for anorthite dissolution experiments (Murakami et al., 1998) at 150 °C. See the caption for Fig. 3 for notations. The bars in the boehmite graph indicate the time scale when the respective phases were observed in the mineralogical analysis. (c) Calculated saturation indices for anorthite dissolution experiments (Murakami et al., 1998) at 210 °C. See the caption for Fig. 3 for notations. The bars in the boehmite and kaolinite graphs indicate the time scale when the respective phases were observed in the mineralogical analysis.
and a straight vertical line on the 3D diagram (Fig. 10 b). Alekseyev et al. (1997) observed that sanidine precipitated during this period of time.

The solutions were undersaturated with respect to albite during the entire experiment and supersaturated with respect to sanidine after 1.25 h (Table 7 and Fig. 11), shortly after the solution chemistry entered into the sanidine field at 0.5 h. Alekseyev et al. (1997) observed no sanidine precipitation during this time period, and their analysis of solution chemistry stoichiometry showed that albite dissolution was congruent. The solution was undersaturated with respect to muscovite during the time (0–0.5 h) while the fluid composition was located in the muscovite stability field. After that, for the duration of the experiment, muscovite was either supersaturated (7–48 h) or undersaturated (all other times).

Alekseyev et al. (1997) concluded that slow precipitation of secondary minerals dominated the overall reactions in these two experimental series. The relatively higher temperature (300 °C) in the experiments resulted in fast chemical evolution into the field of more stable phases, but a steady state was reached for the coupled dissolution–precipitation reactions (Figs. 8b and 10b), which prevailed during the majority of the experiments. Zhu et al. (2004a, b) used reaction path modeling to show that such a steady state could be reached when the effective precipitation rate constants are lower than the dissolution effective rate constants.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Uncertainties of thermodynamic properties on calculated saturation indices

The calculated saturation states depend on the values of the standard state thermodynamic properties of both the minerals and aqueous species. Various internally consistent databases for thermodynamic properties for mineral species have been compiled (Helgeson et al., 1978; Berman, 1988, 1990; Robie and Hemingway, 1995; Holland and Powell, 1998). However, controversies and discrepancies still exist, particularly for the alumino-silicate minerals that are the primary focus of this study (see Pokrovskii and Helgeson, 1995; Arnórsson and Stefánsson, 1999). We chose to use the thermodynamic properties for mineral species from Holland and Powell (1998) as the base case because this database is internally consistent and it has incorporated recent experimental data as compared to other databases. Thermodynamic properties for boehmite are not available from the Holland and Powell (1998) database, and are discussed in Appendix A.

It is known that thermodynamic properties of minerals derived from calorimetric and phase equilibrium experiments rarely can predict experimental solubility results accurately (Sverjensky et al., 1991). The Holland and Powell thermodynamic properties for feldspars, kaolinite, and muscovite were derived from calorimetric and phase equi-
librium measurements. However, Holland and Powell (1998) showed (their Fig. 2) that although the solubility data in the K₂O–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–H₂O–HCl system reported by Sverjensky et al. (1991) were not used simultaneously together with phase equilibrium and calorimetric data in regressing thermodynamic properties for alumino-silicates, the off-sets of calculated phase stability fields from experimental data are small. This adds confidence to our calculations of the two. The Electronic Annex Electronic Annex of this paper shows the detailed results of these calculations. The conclusion of supersaturation of product minerals still holds for the batch experiments examined in this study. In other words, the calculated saturation states are valid with the known uncertainties of thermodynamic properties.

Another layer of uncertainties may arise that amorphous or poorly crystalline metastable phases may be present in addition to the crystalline phases during the feldspar hydrolysis experiments (Helgeson, 1971, 1972; Petrovic, 1976). Further, metastable or poorly crystalline phases may transform during the experiments (e.g., Murakami et al., 1998). Appendix C shows that partial equilibrium between possible amorphous phases and aqueous solutions may explain the initial stage of the experiments, but not the entire experiments.

4.2. The coupling of dissolution and precipitation reaction kinetics

Lasaga (1998) showed, analytically, how the precipitation kinetics of secondary minerals could be coupled to the rate of feldspar dissolution reaction. The kinetics of secondary mineral formation comes into play in the mass balance equations. Starting with the mass balance on Al and assuming the metastable coexistence of kaolinite and boehmite, we have:

\[
R_{\text{cld}} = -R_{\text{blm}} - 2R_{\text{Al}}
\]

where \(R_i\) is the net rate \((\partial c_i/\partial t)\) of dissolution of feldspar, boehmite, and kaolinite \((R_i < 0\) means precipitation). \(n\) denotes the moles of \(j\)th Al aqueous species and \(\zeta\) the overall...
progress variable (Helgeson, 1968). Eq. (1) is equivalent to Lasaga’s (1998) Eq. (1.235).

If we expand the net rate expression and divide the right hand side by $k_{\text{feld}}$, we have,

$$ R_{\text{feld}} = \frac{k_{\text{blm}} / k_{\text{feld}} S_{\text{blm}} f(\Delta G_{\text{blm}})}{\sum_{i} \frac{S_{\text{blm}}}{k_{i} S_{\text{blm}}} - 1} / k_{\text{feld}} $$

where $k_i$ denotes the rate constant, $S_i$ the surface area, and $\Delta G_i$ the Gibbs free energy of the $i$th reactions. $f(\Delta G_i)$ stands for the relationship between rate and Gibbs free energy of reaction.

Eq. (2) shows the inter-dependence between the rate constants of feldspar dissolution and those of boehmite and kaolinite precipitation. The net feldspar dissolution rate is a function of $k_{i}/k_{\text{feld}}$ ratios. The smaller the $k_{i}/k_{\text{feld}}$ ratio, the slower feldspar dissolves, provided that all other quantities are kept the same. In fact, the experiments discussed in Section 3 showed that secondary minerals were several SI units supersaturated. Zhu et al. (2004a, 2004b) explored the inter-connections numerically via reaction path modeling. When the effective rate constant ratios $k_i^*/k_{i,\text{feld}}$ (defined as $k \times S$) are between $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-4}$, slow clay precipitation became the limiting step, and the net feldspar dissolution rates are orders of magnitude slower than that at conditions at far from equilibrium.

Note that the above discussion following Lasaga (1998) is a necessary oversimplification as a starting point and the rate law expression in Eq. (2) only means to facilitate further discussions. Obviously, the expression neglects other terms such as those accounting for the well known effects of hydrogen and Al on the rates (Aagaard and Helgeson, 1982; Helgeson et al., 1984; Oelkers et al., 1994; Oelkers, 2001). In a sense, the rate constant $k_i$ is only a nominal; the relationship between dissolution and precipitation kinetics still stands when other factors also play a role in the rate laws (e.g., H, Al). One could just replace $k_i^*$ with $k_i$, with $k_i^*$ lumping all other factors except the $f(\Delta G_i)$ term. This statement is necessary because while $k_i$ is meant to represent the intrinsic kinetics properties, in reality it is a value derived after subtraction of all “known” environmental factors from experimental data. In other words, the coupled relationship between dissolution and precipitation reactions originates from the principle of mass balance and the sharing of same aqueous components in the $f(\Delta G_i)$ term (Lasaga, 1998). It is broader than what Eq. (2) represents.

It follows then it is difficult to extrapolate experimental results from elevated temperatures and pressures, as examined here, to ambient conditions where silicate weathering occurs. The precipitation rates of clay minerals as a function of temperatures have not been completely understood. If the temperature dependence of $k_i$ for feldspar dissolution,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t, h</th>
<th>Sanidine</th>
<th>Analcime</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Boehmite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Paragonite</th>
<th>Pyrophyllite</th>
<th>Kalsilite</th>
<th>Nepheline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>−4.66</td>
<td>−2.14</td>
<td>−2.86</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>−2.37</td>
<td>−7.01</td>
<td>−7.52</td>
<td>−6.22</td>
<td>−9.44</td>
<td>−4.71</td>
<td>−2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−4.19</td>
<td>−1.86</td>
<td>−2.59</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>−2.10</td>
<td>−6.46</td>
<td>−6.51</td>
<td>−5.40</td>
<td>−8.89</td>
<td>−4.24</td>
<td>−1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−3.45</td>
<td>−1.42</td>
<td>−1.95</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
<td>−2.04</td>
<td>−5.95</td>
<td>−5.66</td>
<td>−4.64</td>
<td>−8.00</td>
<td>−3.89</td>
<td>−1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−2.92</td>
<td>−1.04</td>
<td>−1.48</td>
<td>−1.01</td>
<td>−1.82</td>
<td>−5.34</td>
<td>−4.68</td>
<td>−3.73</td>
<td>−7.22</td>
<td>−3.53</td>
<td>−1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−2.41</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>−1.04</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td>−1.76</td>
<td>−4.96</td>
<td>−4.04</td>
<td>−3.16</td>
<td>−6.58</td>
<td>−3.27</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>−2.46</td>
<td>−0.76</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
<td>−1.75</td>
<td>−5.00</td>
<td>−4.08</td>
<td>−3.22</td>
<td>−6.67</td>
<td>−3.27</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−2.18</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td>−0.85</td>
<td>−1.70</td>
<td>−4.77</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>−2.88</td>
<td>−6.32</td>
<td>−3.11</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1.88</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>−0.76</td>
<td>−1.69</td>
<td>−4.59</td>
<td>−3.38</td>
<td>−2.62</td>
<td>−5.98</td>
<td>−2.98</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>−1.97</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>−1.70</td>
<td>−4.66</td>
<td>−3.48</td>
<td>−2.71</td>
<td>−6.09</td>
<td>−3.01</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1.63</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>−1.61</td>
<td>−4.35</td>
<td>−2.97</td>
<td>−2.26</td>
<td>−5.66</td>
<td>−2.80</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>−1.64</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−1.60</td>
<td>−4.36</td>
<td>−2.96</td>
<td>−2.26</td>
<td>−5.69</td>
<td>−2.79</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.24</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>−1.54</td>
<td>−4.05</td>
<td>−2.42</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
<td>−5.21</td>
<td>−2.56</td>
<td>−0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>−1.49</td>
<td>−3.92</td>
<td>−2.18</td>
<td>−1.61</td>
<td>−5.04</td>
<td>−2.45</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−1.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>−1.49</td>
<td>−3.97</td>
<td>−2.22</td>
<td>−1.69</td>
<td>−5.13</td>
<td>−2.44</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>−1.54</td>
<td>−3.99</td>
<td>−2.25</td>
<td>−1.72</td>
<td>−5.08</td>
<td>−2.45</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analcime started to precipitate

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>−1.44</td>
<td>−3.58</td>
<td>−1.58</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>−4.46</td>
<td>−2.18</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−1.58</td>
<td>−3.82</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>−1.46</td>
<td>−4.66</td>
<td>−2.20</td>
<td>−0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−0.50</td>
<td>−1.59</td>
<td>−3.87</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>−1.52</td>
<td>−4.73</td>
<td>−2.15</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>−1.73</td>
<td>−4.17</td>
<td>−2.14</td>
<td>−1.94</td>
<td>−5.05</td>
<td>−2.17</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>−0.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.50</td>
<td>−1.96</td>
<td>−4.59</td>
<td>−2.69</td>
<td>−2.57</td>
<td>−5.45</td>
<td>−2.29</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>−0.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−2.06</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
<td>−2.99</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
<td>−5.60</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>−0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−1.88</td>
<td>−4.42</td>
<td>−2.45</td>
<td>−2.31</td>
<td>−5.25</td>
<td>−2.22</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>−2.07</td>
<td>−4.76</td>
<td>−2.93</td>
<td>−2.81</td>
<td>−5.55</td>
<td>−2.36</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>−2.31</td>
<td>−5.18</td>
<td>−3.45</td>
<td>−3.42</td>
<td>−5.91</td>
<td>−2.47</td>
<td>−1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−2.36</td>
<td>−5.25</td>
<td>−3.52</td>
<td>−3.53</td>
<td>−5.96</td>
<td>−2.46</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.50</td>
<td>−5.58</td>
<td>−3.97</td>
<td>−4.01</td>
<td>−6.33</td>
<td>−2.59</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.57</td>
<td>−5.72</td>
<td>−4.17</td>
<td>−4.22</td>
<td>−6.47</td>
<td>−2.64</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>−1.01</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.60</td>
<td>−5.78</td>
<td>−4.32</td>
<td>−4.32</td>
<td>−6.54</td>
<td>−2.73</td>
<td>−1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1176</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.53</td>
<td>−5.64</td>
<td>−4.10</td>
<td>−4.11</td>
<td>−6.40</td>
<td>−2.65</td>
<td>−1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.58</td>
<td>−5.74</td>
<td>−4.17</td>
<td>−4.25</td>
<td>−6.50</td>
<td>−2.62</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1512</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.53</td>
<td>−5.65</td>
<td>−4.11</td>
<td>−4.13</td>
<td>−6.42</td>
<td>−2.64</td>
<td>−1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.57</td>
<td>−5.72</td>
<td>−4.18</td>
<td>−4.22</td>
<td>−6.47</td>
<td>−2.65</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−2.57</td>
<td>−5.72</td>
<td>−4.19</td>
<td>−4.22</td>
<td>−6.48</td>
<td>−2.66</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Experiments conducted by Alekseyev et al. (1997). SI values were calculated in this study using their solution chemistry data. Italic values highlight supersaturation.*
or more broadly, all the terms in the rate law, is significantly higher than those for secondary mineral formation, the $k_f/k_{fold}$ ratio or the inter-dependence of dissolution–precipitation reaction kinetics may possibly change at ambient temperature and pressure. However, coupled reactions under ambient conditions are too slow to be measured in laboratory experiments. The temperature dependence remains to be an unknown factor at the present time.

In summary, through systematic analysis of a broad range of experimental data, we confirm early conjecture that secondary minerals might not be at equilibrium with the fluid (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990; Lasaga, 1998). This conjecture was previously supported by specific experiments and limited modeling analysis (Alekseyev et al., 1997). Here, we have conducted a comprehensive modeling study and have shown that the slow kinetics nature of secondary mineral precipitation was evident from both the fluid chemistry, which crossed the phase boundaries on the $a$–$a$ diagrams, and from mineral product analysis, which show persistent boehmite presence even after the fluid chemistry had evolved into the kaolinite and muscovite stability fields.

### 4.3. Extrapolation to natural systems

Natural systems differ from the laboratory experiments examined here mainly in two aspects. First, experimental results from batch systems cannot be applied directly to systems where advective and dispersive fluxes are significant. However, even in the case of fluid flow in a hydrological system flushed with fresh dilute water, the downstream water receives the solute fluxes from upstream, and the effects of supersaturation with respect to secondary minerals must be similar if the flow system has persisted for a while. These scenarios can be tested in a coupled reactive mass transport model in the future.

Second, laboratory experiments are brief on the scale of geological time. It is therefore necessary to examine samples from geological systems. Assessments of SI in the field are difficult, however, because of the absence or difficulty of aluminum analyses, the complex chemistry and structures of clay minerals, and the lack of internally consistent thermodynamic properties for clay minerals with such complex chemistry and structures. Nevertheless, Kwicklis (2004) calculated SI values of alumino-silicate minerals in the volcanic tuff aquifer in southern Nevada in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain. While the groundwater there are undersaturated with respect to albite, they are supersaturated with respect to smectite and Ca-clinoptilolite. The more meaningful aspect of his findings is probably the areal distribution of the SI values – the SI values increase four to five fold southward along the groundwater flow from the Yucca Mountain and Forty-mile Wash area to the Amargosa desert. These solubility-speciation modeling exercises are marred by the general problems with Al analysis and clay mineral properties discussed above, and specifically by the assumption of equilibrium between kaolinite and groundwaters. Nevertheless, the southward increase of SI for smectite and Ca-clinoptilolite along the flow path appears to support Kwicklis’s (2004) assertion that “silicate weathering reactions are providing ions to the groundwater faster than they can be removed by smectite precipitation.”

### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Feldspars comprise over 50% of the volume of the earth’s crust. Establishing reliable rates for low-temperature feldspar dissolution is essential to quantify many basic geological and environmental processes. Among these are the functional relationship between silicate weathering and the global climate over geologic time (Berner and Berner, 1997), controls on surface and groundwater quality, global elemental cycling (Lasaga et al., 1994), the availability of inorganic nutrients in soils (Federer et al., 1989; Likens et al., 1998), impacts of acid mine drainage, neutralization of acid precipitation in watersheds (Drever and Clow, 1995), safety of nuclear waste repositories (Spy-
Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t, \ h$</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Sanidine</th>
<th>Microcline</th>
<th>Boehmite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Paragonite</th>
<th>Pyrophyllite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Kalsilite</th>
<th>Nepheline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>−7.50</td>
<td>−4.08</td>
<td>−3.89</td>
<td>−2.49</td>
<td>−8.49</td>
<td>−7.17</td>
<td>−11.1</td>
<td>−12.2</td>
<td>−1.92</td>
<td>−2.87</td>
<td>−5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−5.18</td>
<td>−1.99</td>
<td>−1.79</td>
<td>−2.13</td>
<td>−6.62</td>
<td>−4.37</td>
<td>−8.05</td>
<td>−9.15</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
<td>−1.93</td>
<td>−4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−4.09</td>
<td>−0.99</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>−1.86</td>
<td>−5.59</td>
<td>−2.83</td>
<td>−6.43</td>
<td>−7.64</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
<td>−1.43</td>
<td>−3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−3.20</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−1.63</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
<td>−1.62</td>
<td>−5.07</td>
<td>−6.48</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>−3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−3.15</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−1.64</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
<td>−1.60</td>
<td>−5.03</td>
<td>−6.45</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>−3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>−3.14</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−1.64</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
<td>−1.61</td>
<td>−5.03</td>
<td>−6.46</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>−3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−2.61</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>−4.30</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−4.24</td>
<td>−5.76</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>−1.46</td>
<td>−4.08</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>−3.86</td>
<td>−5.44</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>−2.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>−1.48</td>
<td>−4.12</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>−3.95</td>
<td>−5.45</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>−2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−2.23</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
<td>−3.96</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>−3.67</td>
<td>−5.26</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>−2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>−2.19</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−1.45</td>
<td>−4.00</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>−5.29</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>−2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−2.20</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>−1.46</td>
<td>−4.01</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>−3.72</td>
<td>−5.28</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>−2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−1.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>−1.36</td>
<td>−3.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−3.21</td>
<td>−4.85</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−2.02</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>−1.40</td>
<td>−3.85</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−3.43</td>
<td>−5.07</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>−2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>−1.36</td>
<td>−3.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−3.14</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>−1.70</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>−1.37</td>
<td>−3.60</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>−3.04</td>
<td>−4.65</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>−2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sanidine started to precipitate

| 24       | −1.63  | 1.06     | 1.25      | −1.35    | −3.54     | 0.24      | −2.94      | −4.55       | −0.58  | −0.41    | −2.31    |
| 48       | −1.83  | 0.87     | 1.06      | −1.37    | −3.69     | 0.019     | −3.17      | −4.82       | −0.63  | −0.48    | −2.39    |
| 72       | −1.93  | 0.77     | 0.96      | −1.40    | −3.80     | −0.15     | −3.34      | −4.98       | −0.66  | −0.54    | −2.44    |
| 120      | −1.87  | 0.76     | 0.96      | −1.42    | −3.83     | −0.18     | −3.31      | −5          | −0.66  | −0.55    | −2.39    |
| 166      | −1.79  | 0.86     | 1.05      | −1.42    | −3.76     | −0.09     | −3.23      | −4.87       | −0.62  | −0.52    | −2.37    |
| 216      | −1.78  | 0.83     | 1.02      | −1.37    | −3.72     | −0.02     | −3.13      | −4.88       | −0.65  | −0.49    | −2.32    |
| 288      | −1.69  | 0.84     | 1.03      | −1.39    | −3.75     | −0.06     | −3.09      | −4.89       | −0.64  | −0.5     | −2.25    |
| 360      | −1.72  | 0.76     | 0.95      | −1.42    | −3.85     | −0.2      | −3.17      | −5.03       | −0.66  | −0.54    | −2.23    |
| 504      | −1.55  | 0.72     | 0.92      | −1.45    | −3.93     | 0.3       | −3.07      | −5.13       | −0.67  | −0.56    | −2.05    |
| 672      | −1.44  | 0.74     | 0.94      | −1.46    | −3.93     | −0.29     | −2.96      | −5.13       | −0.67  | −0.55    | −1.94    |
| 840      | −1.40  | 0.67     | 0.86      | −1.51    | −4.07     | −0.48     | −3.04      | −5.29       | −0.68  | −0.59    | −1.88    |
| 1008     | −1.51  | 0.50     | 0.69      | −1.52    | −4.20     | −0.65     | −3.15      | −5.55       | −0.74  | −0.64    | −1.86    |
| 1176     | −1.44  | 0.55     | 0.74      | −1.50    | −4.15     | −0.57     | −3.06      | −5.47       | −0.73  | −0.61    | −1.81    |
| 1344     | −1.44  | 0.49     | 0.68      | −1.56    | −4.28     | −0.74     | −3.17      | −5.62       | −0.74  | −0.65    | −1.79    |
| 1512     | −1.43  | 0.50     | 0.70      | −1.54    | −4.24     | −0.69     | −3.13      | −5.58       | −0.74  | −0.64    | −1.79    |
| 1680     | −1.46  | 0.43     | 0.61      | −1.57    | −4.35     | −0.83     | −3.22      | −5.72       | −0.76  | −0.68    | −1.78    |
| 1848     | −1.43  | 0.47     | 0.67      | −1.56    | −4.30     | −0.76     | −3.16      | −5.65       | −0.75  | −0.65    | −1.77    |

*a Experimental data are from Alekseyev et al. (1997). SI values were calculated in this study using their solution chemistry data. Italic values highlight supersaturation."
cher et al., 2003), and geological carbon sequestration (White et al., 2003).

The pioneering work by Helgeson and co-workers (Garrels and Mackenzie, 1967; Helgeson, 1968; Helgeson et al., 1969, 1984; Aagaard and Helgeson, 1982; Helgeson and Murphy, 1983) to model feldspar hydrolysis as a process of coupled dissolution and precipitation reactions transformed the study of water–rock interactions into a quantitative science and opened up vast new fields of geochemistry in the following decades. The early model, however, assumed partial equilibria between the aqueous solution and the secondary phases. Although the assumption of partial equilibrium has been questioned in the intervening years (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990; Nagy and Lasaga, 1993; Small, 1993; Lasaga et al., 1994; Alekseyev et al., 1997; Lasaga, 1998; Zhu et al., 2004a; Price et al., 2005; Zhu, 2006; Ganor et al., 2007), until now there has never been a rigorous examination of this hypothesis. Here, we systematically analyzed our own feldspar hydrolysis batch experiments (Fu et al., 2009) and those in the literature. We find three lines of experimental evidence that contradict the partial equilibrium hypothesis in the experimental feldspar–water system: saturation indices, reaction paths, and secondary mineral paragenesis. However, we must emphasize that the partial equilibrium assumption between secondary minerals and aqueous solutions often produces a useful approximation of geochemical processes. Partial equilibrium may well exists for systems that involve rapidly precipitating secondary minerals (e.g., pyrite oxidation and precipitation of amorphous iron oxyhydroxides and in the case of evaporation and the resultant deposition of salt minerals as modeled by Garrels and Mackenzie (1967)) or even for the feldspar–water system at higher temperatures and pressures.

In the feldspar–water system, the slow kinetics of secondary mineral precipitation results in close inter-dependence of the dissolution and precipitation reaction rates (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990; Lasaga et al., 1994; Alekseyev et al., 1997; Lasaga, 1998; Zhu et al., 2004a; Price et al., 2005; Zhu, 2006; Ganor et al., 2007), until now there has never been a rigorous examination of this hypothesis. Here, we systematically analyzed our own feldspar hydrolysis batch experiments (Fu et al., 2009) and those in the literature. We find three lines of experimental evidence that contradict the partial equilibrium hypothesis in the experimental feldspar–water system: saturation indices, reaction paths, and secondary mineral paragenesis. However, we must emphasize that the partial equilibrium assumption between secondary minerals and aqueous solutions often produces a useful approximation of geochemical processes. Partial equilibrium may well exists for systems that involve rapidly precipitating secondary minerals (e.g., pyrite oxidation and precipitation of amorphous iron oxyhydroxides and in the case of evaporation and the resultant deposition of salt minerals as modeled by Garrels and Mackenzie (1967)) or even for the feldspar–water system at higher temperatures and pressures.

In the feldspar–water system, the slow kinetics of secondary mineral precipitation results in close inter-dependence of the dissolution and precipitation reaction rates (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990; Lasaga et al., 1994; Alekseyev et al., 1997; Lasaga, 1998), which, if clay precipitation $k^*$ are sufficiently slower than feldspar dissolution $k^*$, could rationalize the well-known discrepancy between field feldspar dissolution (bulk, effective) rates and rates measured in laboratory dissolution experiments at conditions far from equilibrium (Zhu et al., 2004a). Field studies often focused on the dissolution rates only. However, as seen in the batch experiments examined by this study, the congruent dissolution stage in the closed systems is short, probably a matter of hours at 90–300 °C. A steady state or near steady state of aqueous chemistry for some constituents persisted as a consequence of the coupled dissolution and precipitation reactions. Zhu et al. (2004a) used a numerical reaction path model to show that such a steady state can result if the effective rate constants of clay precipitation are orders of magnitude smaller than those of feld-

![Fig. 11. Calculated saturation indices (SI) from experimental data for albite dissolution in 0.1 m KHCO$_3$ solutions at 300 °C and 88 bars. Experimental data from Alekseyev et al. (1997). See the caption for Fig. 3 for notations.](image)
spar. Note that although the reaction Gibbs free energy effect on dissolution rates is a widely cited reason to explain the field–laboratory rate discrepancy, there is a need of a control that such a state of proximity to equilibrium can be maintained in natural systems for prolonged time and over long distance. Slow clay precipitation may serve this role.

Clearly, interpretation of field based reaction rates needs to consider dissolution reactions within a network of dissolution–precipitation reactions. Future work should employ numerical modeling of reaction paths to match the experimental data and hence to quantitatively evaluate the inter-dependence of dissolution–precipitation reactions. However, the challenge is to measure precipitation rates and derive proper rate laws to describe precipitation processes. While the details may evolve over time (e.g., Al adsorption on feldspar surface as a retardation mechanism (Oelkers et al., 1994) and slow clay precipitation kinetics discussed here), the framework of modeling mass transfer in geological systems developed by Helgeson and co-workers will continue to serve as the foundation for rigorous and quantitative geochemical predictions.
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APPENDIX A. DISCUSSION OF THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

A.1. Thermodynamic properties for Boehmite

The only aluminum oxyhydroxide mineral listed in the Holland and Powell (1998) database is diaspore. However, boehmite was detected in the experiments that we examined in this study (Murakami et al., 1998; Fu et al., 2009). Here, we adopted the heat capacity, entropy, and enthalpy of formation for boehmite from Hemingway et al. (1991). The experimental heat capacity values were fitted to the Holland and Powell heat capacity function. Hemingway et al. (1991) derived their $\Delta H^\circ_f$ of $-996.4 \pm 2.2$ kJ/mol from their third-law entropy measurements and solubility data in alkaline solutions taken from the literature. Hemingway et al.’s (1991) $\Delta H^\circ_f$ was used by Tagirov and Schott (2001) in their derivation of Al hydrolysis constants (see below). Later, McHale et al. (1997) measured calorimetrically a $\Delta H^\circ_f$ of $-995.4 \pm 1.6$ kJ/mol. These two values are within each other’s experimental errors. Note that the solubility-based $\Delta G^\circ_f$ proposed by Hemingway et al. (1991) is only half to 1 kJ/mol different from those of Bouvier et al. (1993) and Pokrovskii and Helgeson (1995), who also derived $\Delta G^\circ_f$ for boehmite from solubility data.

To examine the consistency of these adopted thermodynamic properties for boehmite with the rest of the Holland and Powell (1998) database, we compared the calculated phase boundary against the kaolinite–boehmite experimental data of Hemley et al. (1980) and Hemley’s andalusite–boehmite experiment reported by Helgeson et al. (1978, p. 114) (Fig. A1). Hemley et al. (1980) warned that the scattering of the kaolinite–boehmite at low temperatures might have resulted from boehmite being metastable and being re-crystallized to diaspore. For this reason, we also calculated the diaspore–kaolinite boundary (the dashed line). The comparison shows that most experimental data match more closely to the diaspore–kaolinite equi-

Fig. A1. Comparison of experimental (symbols) and calculated (lines) phase equilibrium involving boehmite. Thermodynamic properties for kaolinite and andalusite are from Holland and Powell (1998), for $\text{SiO}_2^{aq}$ from Shock et al. (1989), and for boehmite from Hemingway et al. (1991). As in Hemley et al. (1980), the only aqueous silica species is assumed to be $\text{SiO}_2^{aq}$ and the activity coefficient for this neutral species is assumed to be unity. The andalusite–boehmite experiment was conducted by Hemley, but as cited in Helgeson et al. (1978).
librium curve than to the boehmite–kaolinite equilibrium curve although experiments with lower SiO₂ concentrations match with the boehmite–kaolinite equilibrium curve. The maximum discrepancies between the experimental and calculated boehmite–kaolinite boundary are about 0.25–0.3 log unit of $a_{SiO_2}^{aq}$.

No detailed description of the boehmite–andalusite experiment was given, but the one experimental datum matches the calculation. These comparisons demonstrate that the adopted boehmite thermodynamic properties are approximately consistent with other Al-bearing minerals in Holland and Powell (1998) in the temperature and pressure range of our interest. Note that Holland and Powell (1998) have included other phase equilibrium experiment data of Hemley et al. (1980) in their derivation of $\Delta H^f$ values for diaspore, kaolinite, andalusite, and pyrophyllite.

A.2. Thermodynamic properties for aqueous Al species

Various competing Al hydrolysis constants and Al-metal complexes were proposed at the temperatures and pressures of our interest (among them: Apps and Neil, 1990; Bourcier et al., 1993; Pokrovskii and Helgeson, 1995; Shock et al., 1997; Tagirov and Schott, 2001). We adopted the species and equilibrium constants from Tagirov and Schott (2001) because their constants are consistent with the latest boehmite solubility measurements (Bénézeth et al., 1997, 2001; Palmer et al., 2001) and at the same time, they adopted the Hemingway et al. (1991) thermodynamic properties for boehmite, which we also adopted. Thus, the calculated saturation indices for boehmite should be consistent with the knowledge of boehmite solubilities in the temperature and pressure range of our interest.

Fig. A2. Fraction of Al aqueous species as a function of time for alkali feldspar dissolution and clay precipitation experiment at 200 °C and 300 bar. (a) Alkali feldspar + 0.2 m KCl (described in Section 3.1) and (b) alkali feldspar + 0.2 m KCl + 0.05 m CO₂ (described in Section 3.2). Al aqueous speciation was calculated with the thermodynamic properties from Table 1a. Solution pH increased from the start of the experiment to end from 3.3 to 4.8 for (a) and from 4.9 to 5.7 for (b).
As suspected, our calculations show that Al speciation is dependent on pH, solution chemistry, and temperature (Figs. A2–A4). For the experiments of alkali feldspar dissolution in KCl solution at 200 °C and 300 bars (Section 3.1), the dominant Al species are Al(OH)$_2^{2+}$, Al(OH)$_3^{+}$, Al(OH)$_3^{0}$, AlH$_3$SiO$_4^{2+}$ at pH 3.3–4.0, and Al(OH)$_4^{0}$ and Al(OH)$_3^{0}$ (aq) at pH 4.5–4.8 (Fig. A2a). Thus, the Al aqueous complex AlH$_3$SiO$_4^{2+}$ proposed by Tagirov and Schott (2001) is an important Al species at the early stage of the experiment when pH was below 4.0. The omission of this species would result in higher calculated SI values for boehmite, kaolinite, muscovite, and feldspars. For the experiment of alkali feldspar dissolution in 0.2 m KCl and 0.05 m CO$_2$ solution at 200 °C and 300 bars (Section 3.2),
the pH varied from 4.9 at the start of the experiment to 5.7 at the end of the experiment. The dominant species is Al(OH)$_4^-$, with less than 10% as Al(OH)$_3^{2-}$ at the early stage of the experiment (Fig. A2b). Arnórsson and Stefánsson (1999) argue that the thermodynamic properties for Al(OH)$_3^{2-}$ is well known.

For the anorthite dissolution experiments published by Murakami et al. (1998) (Section 3.3), the dominant species are Al(OH)$_2^+$, Al(OH)$_3^{2-}$, Al(OH)$_4^-$ (aq), Al(OH)$_4^-$, but AlH$_3$SiO$_4^{2+}$ comprises of about 10% of the Al species at 90 °C. The Al–acetate complexes, although included in the speciation model, only make up <5% of the total. The calculated in situ pH was about 4.7 at 90 °C. The experiments at 150 °C had pH around 5.0, and the dominant Al species are Al(OH)$_4^-$ (aq) and Al(OH)$_3^{2-}$. The Na–Al complex proposed by Tagirov and Schott (2001) comprises about 5% of total Al species, which does not significantly affect the calculated SI values. The experiments at 210 °C had pH around 5.4 and the dominant species is Al(OH)$_4^-$. Neither Al–Na complex or Al–acetate complexes are significant. As mentioned earlier, calculated SI values for anorthite are >0 for the baseline thermodynamic dataset (Fig. 7) while Murakami et al. (1998) demonstrated continued anorthite dissolution. Using the thermodynamic properties from Helgeson et al. (1978) would result in anorthite undersaturation (Fig. 7). There is a difference of >16 kJ/mol for the standard enthalpy of formation at 25 °C and 1 bar between those values chosen by Helgeson et al. (1978) and by Holland and Powell (1998). Arnórsson and Stefánsson (1999) also showed that the temperature variation of the anorthite solubility can also differ significantly when different sets of thermodynamic properties are used. These problems, however, seem to rest with the anorthite properties and should not affect our conclusions of secondary mineral supersaturation.

For the sanidine dissolution in 0.1 m NaHCO$_3$ solution at 300 °C and 88 bars, experiments conducted by Aleksyev et al. (1997) (Section 3.4), Al(OH)$_4^-$ (65%) and NaAl(OH)$_4^{2-}$ (aq) (35%) are the dominant species. The solution pH was around 9. Whether or not to include the species NaAl(OH)$_4^{2-}$ (aq) could contribute significantly to the calculated SI values (Fig. 7). However, even with this uncertainty of Al speciation, the calculated SI values do not reverse the signs. For the albite dissolution in 0.1 m NaHCO$_3$ solution (Fig. A4). Fraction of Al species in the experiments conducted by Aleksyev et al. (1997) at 300 °C and 88 bars (described in Section 3.4). (a) Sanidine dissolution in 0.1 m NaHCO$_3$ solution; (b) albite dissolution in 0.1 m KHCO$_3$ solution Al speciation was calculated with the thermodynamic properties from Table 1a. Solution pH was buffered around 9 for both (a) and (b).
KHCO₃ solution at 300 °C and 88 bars conducted by Alekseyev et al. (1997), the calculated in situ pH are also around 9, and the dominant Al species is Al(OH)₄⁻ (~95%) (Fig. A4b).

A.3. Chemical impurities and crystallinity

Another source of error is that the standard thermodynamic properties used for calculations are derived from pure end-members (typically measured from gem quality crystals) while the primary minerals (feldspars) and secondary mineral products in the experiments may not be chemically pure or may have different crystallinity from those used to derive thermodynamic properties. While Murakami et al. (1998) used an An₉₅Ab₀.₅ anorthite, Fu et al. (2009) used a perthitic feldspar with a K-feldspar laminae with 15% Na component. According to Arnórsson and Stefánsson (1999), the ΔGₘₐₓ of alkali feldspar is positive, indicating that alkali-feldspar solid solutions are more soluble than the respective pure end-members. However, they showed that the ΔGₘₐₓ is less than 1 kJ/mol for composition of 10% Na low albite in the low albite–microcline series, which translates to about 0.1–0.2 in log K at 200 °C. Therefore, taking into account of the solid solution effects, the calculated albite SI values reported in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 would be ~0.2 unit lower. The Na component in the anorthite will reduce the solubility of anorthite. At 90–210 °C, the 5% Na will most likely introduce about 0.25 in log K.

Murakami et al. (1998) noted that the boehmite in their experiments contained high concentrations of Si. The effect of this on thermodynamic properties is unknown. Kaolin mineral occur with varying degrees of structural order and hence free energy (Drever, 2004). The errors on the SI are not assessed.

### APPENDIX B. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

As briefly described in Section 3.2, two batch experiments for alkali feldspar dissolution in ~0.2 mol/kg KCl, 50 mmol/kg CO₂ solution were conducted at 200 °C and 300 bars in Professor W.E. Seyfried's lab at the University of Minnesota. A time series of fluid samples were taken in situ and the solution chemistry was analyzed (Table B1). Detailed experimental and analytical procedures are described in Fu et al. (2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>Al³⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>pH (25 °C)</th>
<th>Calculated (aq)-pH (200 °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>196.31</td>
<td>199.22</td>
<td>47.90</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>200.0⁰</td>
<td>200.0⁰</td>
<td>50.0⁰</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>194.30</td>
<td>196.51</td>
<td>44.47</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>195.35</td>
<td>197.48</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>196.19</td>
<td>199.40</td>
<td>45.35</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>195.40</td>
<td>198.77</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Terminated after 5 days.
* Assumed, not measured concentrations.

### APPENDIX C. POSSIBLE AMORPHOUS PHASES AND PHASE TRANSITION

Incongruent hydrolysis of feldspars is known to produce reaction products that are disordered, amorphous, or cryptocrystalline metastable phases (Helgeson, 1971, 1972; Petrovic, 1976). Here, we examined a working hypothesis that the solution chemistry in the experiments discussed in Section 3 was controlled by partial equilibrium with metastable amorphous phases that were not detected by XRD, SEM, or TEM. These amorphous phases have higher solubility and the partial equilibrium between these phases and aqueous solution resulted in persistent supersaturation with crystalline boehmite and kaolinite as discussed in Sections 3 and 4.1.

Let’s assume that there was an amorphous boehmite in the experiments of Fu et al. (2009) discussed in Section 3.1. The amorphous boehmite has the same chemical stoichiometry as crystalline boehmite but a ΔG° value 9 kJ/mol higher than that of the crystalline boehmite used in previous calculations. The calculated SI for this amorphous phase is one unit higher than that of the crystalline boehmite. The first three experimental samples (after 24, 120, and 216 h) would be consistent with partial equilibrium between this phase and aqueous solutions. However, the SI of the stoichiometric boehmite (whatever it is, amorphous or crystalline) increased with time from 0.90 to 2.4 at 456 h (Fig. 3). If partial equilibrium between the amorphous boehmite and aqueous solution dominated solution chemistry during the entire experimental run, the SI values of the amorphous phase should decrease with time (see Section 2, the phase at partial equilibrium with the solution chemistry can only have SI ≤ 0).

Now, let’s further assume that there was a phase transition during the 1872 h experiment. The first precipitated phase was an amorphous boehmite and it completely disappeared after 216 h. A crystalline or more crystalline boehmite took control of the solution chemistry from 456 h. The SI > 0 values for this crystalline or more crystalline phases for the rest of the experiments still indicate that partial equilibrium was not held between this crystalline phase and aqueous solutions or between any phase and aqueous solution throughout the experiments. Furthermore, XRD and SEM analyses of reaction products demonstrated crystalline boehmite after 120 h and 1872 h (Fu et al., 2009).

We can further test the working hypothesis that a halloysite with the same chemical stoichiometry as kaolinite but a ΔG° 16.8 kJ/mol high than that of kaolinite (Robie and Hemingway, 1995) was present in the experiments discussed in Section 3.1. The calculated SI values for this halloysite is 1.85 SI units higher than that of kaolinite at 200 °C and is represented by a solid line in Fig. 3 at SI of 1.85. Robie and Hemingway (1995) gave a two standard deviation of 10 kJ/mol, which were represented as two dashed lines bracketing the solid line in Fig. 3. We can see that it would be consistent with the two experimental data points at 120 and 216 h. However, the SI values for the stoichiometric kaolinite jumped to 5.13 at 456 h. The calculated SI values are outside of the halloysite SI range. This could be explained by the incomplete disappearance of the
amorphous halloysite, and the high SI values represent the control of a more crystalline phase. For the same reasons discussed in the previous paragraph, the experimental data could not be consistent with partial equilibrium with the amorphous phase throughout the experiments or at partial equilibrium with the crystalline or more crystalline kaolinite. Note that XRD and SEM analyses of reaction products demonstrated crystalline boehmite after 120 h and 1872 h (Fu et al., 2009).

In the case of experiments in Section 3.2, one could argue that partial equilibrium with an amorphous boehmite persisted throughout the experiment run within thermodynamic and analytical data uncertainties (Fig. 5). However, kaolinite and muscovite SI increase with time. Regardless of crystallinity, they cannot be at partial equilibrium with the aqueous solutions. Crystalline boehmite were detected with XRD and SEM. Only traces of muscovite were detected.

The experiments conducted by Murakami et al. (1998) discussed in Section 3.3 could be alternatively explained by partial equilibrium between an amorphous boehmite and aqueous solutions at 90, 150, and 210 °C except for the first points in the experiments. At 90 °C, it could be that the second and third points were at partial equilibrium with this amorphous boehmite with a Δ𝐺° value 24 kJ/mol higher than that of crystalline boehmite. Later, the Al concentrations in the aqueous solutions were controlled by an even more soluble “modified boehmite”. At 150 °C, a similar case cannot be made. SI of boehmite increased and changed with time. Apparently, this is not a case of partial equilibrium. The SI for kaolinite or halloysite changed with 90 and 150 °C. The calculated SI values for kaolinite at 210 °C could be argued to stay almost constant, but modified boehmite did not disappear, but persisted the whole time.

Therefore, while the presence of amorphous phases could not be ruled out, despite the deliberate efforts by Murakami et al. (1998) and Fu et al. (2009), and amorphous phases would introduce more complexity into the interpretations of the feldspar hydrolysis experiments, their presences, however, would not change our conclusions that partial equilibrium was generally not held in these laboratory experimental systems.

APPENDIX D. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.03.015.
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